

This amendment to Request for Proposals (RFP) No. DACS08P2215 shall amend RFP Standard Form 33 and Sections J, L and M as stated below.

I. RFP No. DACS08P2215 is amended as follows:

- A. Standard Form 33, block 14: See cover page of this amendment.
- B. SECTION J, ATTACHMENT 7, ASSIGNABLE SUBCONTRACTS, LEASES, CHARTERS AND OPERATOR AGREEMENT LISTING, is amended to include Attachment 1, Sample Award Fee Plan (see separate attachment to RFP Amendment 0002).
- C. SECTION L – INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS OR RESPONDENTS

RFP Paragraph L.7 Proposal Submission Information is amended as follows:

L.7.1 Early submittal is required for Cognizant Federal Agency information (including name of agency, point of contact at agency, telephone number, address, fax number and e-mail address) and Volume III, Past Performance, on or before **January 12, 2009, 2:00 p.m. local time**. All other volumes (Volumes I, II, IV and V) shall be submitted on or before **February 23, 2009, 2:00 p.m. local time**, at the designated Government address. If the offeror elects to forward the proposal by means other than U.S. mail, it assumes the full responsibility for ensuring that the proposal is received by the date and time specified in this paragraph. Proposals must be sealed as if for mailing. Proposals will not be accepted by e-mail. Late proposals, modifications, revisions, and withdrawals will be treated in accordance with FAR 52.215-1. Be aware that heightened and varying security requirements may preclude or delay access to NSF; however, such circumstances will not provide a basis for acceptance of a proposal that arrives at the place specified after the exact time specified.

D. SECTION M – EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

RFP paragraph M.4 is modified to include adjectival ratings and definitions as follows:

M.4 PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

The Past Performance Factor shall be evaluated at the factor level and will be assigned one of the following adjectival ratings:

- I. Outstanding: The offeror's record of past performance suggests that the Government can expect superior performance and customer satisfaction.
- II. Very Good: The offeror's record of past performance suggests that the Government can expect successful performance and customer satisfaction.

III. Satisfactory: The offeror's record of past performance suggests that the Government may expect satisfactory performance and customer satisfaction.

IV. Unacceptable: The offeror's record of past performance suggests that the Government may expect unsatisfactory performance and customer satisfaction.

V. Unknown: No relevant past performance record is identifiable or available. This is a neutral weighting which will not be reflected favorable or unfavorably in the offeror's past performance evaluation.

E. NOTE: The following items will be provided in RFP Amendment 003: 1) Section J, Attachment 7, Sample Surveillance Plan; 2) Adjectival ratings and definitions for Section M, Paragraph M.3 Technical Evaluation; and, 3) Adjectival ratings and definitions for Section M, Paragraph M.5 Extent of Participation of Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns Evaluation. Other items which are in process of being finalized at this time may also be included in RFP Amendment 003, e.g. RFP questions and answers, other changes to the RFP, etc . . .