Directorate for Biological Sciences UPDATED Information about the Data Management Plan Required for Full Proposals October 1, 2015

BACKGROUND

NSF considers the Data Management Plan (DMP) to be an integral part of all full proposals (http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/dmp.jsp¹), that will be "considered under Intellectual Merit or Broader Impacts or both, as appropriate for the scientific community of relevance" (PAPPG, pg. II-21²). BIO recognizes that different research communities may have their own data management practices and standards; that these norms will change over time; and, that lifecycles of usefulness will vary for different data types. As such, it is essential for scientific communities to guide needed standards development, and to shape expectations for sharing or archiving. While we anticipate variation in DMPs across research communities, each DMP should be appropriate for the data being generated and reflect the best practices and standards in the area of research being proposed.

REQUIREMENTS

All proposals must include a supplementary document of no more than two pages labeled "Data Management Plan." Any specific instructions and exceptions to the two page limit will be found in specific Program Solicitations. In general:

- The DMP is NOT part of the 15 page Project Description.
- Even if no data will be produced (e.g., a workshop proposal), a DMP should be submitted that states: "No data are expected to be produced from this project."
- Proposals that do not include a Data Management Plan will be returned without review.

Data Management Plans are evaluated as part of merit review and monitored by program officers during the period of the award. For collaborative proposals or proposals involving sub-awards, the lead PI is responsible for the DMP for the entire project. The lead PI is also responsible for reporting in the Annual and Final Reports on the implementation of the DMP.

CONTENT of the DMPs

Data Management Plans should describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results³. DMPs submitted to BIO programs should describe how PI(s) will manage data (digital and analog) and physical materials (samples and collections) gathered or generated during the time of the award. This should include description of data handling processes to protect the data (e.g. to ensure quality and/or security), as well as preparations for dissemination and access after the period of the award.

DMPs must include detail sufficient for evaluation of the plan (and past performance if any) during merit review. To facilitate the merit review process and post-award management, and as appropriate, please organize the DMP as follows:

1. Describe the types of data, physical samples or collections, software, curriculum materials, and other materials to be produced in the course of the project. (For collaborative proposals, the DMP must cover all the various data types being collected by each collaborator.)

¹ For the full policy implementation, see the NSF Grant Proposal Guide (GPG) Chapter II.C.2.j, in the NSF Proposal.

² Award Policies and Procedure Guide - http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/policydocs/pappguide/nsf13001/gpg_2.jsp#dmp

³ See AAG Chapter VI.D.4 (http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/policydocs/pappguide/nsf10_1/aag_6.jsp)

- 2. Describe the standards to be used for all the data types anticipated, including data or file format and metadata. [Note: Where existing standards are absent or deemed inadequate, this should be documented along with any proposed solutions or remedies.]
- 3. Describe the roles and responsibilities of all parties with respect to the management of the data (including contingency plans for the departure of key personnel from the project).
- 4. Describe the dissemination methods that will be used to make data and metadata available to others during the period of the award, and any modifications or additional technical information regarding data access after the grant ends.
- 5. Describe the Pl's policies for data sharing, public access and re-use, including re-distribution by others and the production of derivatives. Where appropriate, include provisions for protection of privacy, confidentiality, security, intellectual property rights and other rights.
- 6. Where relevant, describe plans for archiving data, samples, software, and other research products, and for on-going access to these products through their lifecycle of usefulness to research and education. Consider which data (or research products) will be deposited for long-term access and where. (What physical and/or cyber resources and facilities (including third party resources) will be used to store and preserve the data after the grant ends?)

POST-AWARD MANAGEMENT

As noted above, after an award is made, implementation of the DMP will be monitored through the annual and final report process by BIO Program Directors and Committees of Visitors. Annual project reports required for all NSF multi-year awards must include information about progress made in data management and sharing of research products (e.g., identifier or accession numbers for data sets, citations of relevant publications, conference proceedings, and other types of data sharing and dissemination).

Final project reports required for all NSF awards should describe the implementation of the DMP including any changes from the original DMP and contain the following information:

- the data produced during the award period, and which data that will be retained after the award expires;
- how the data is to be disseminated and made available for sharing;
- the standards that will be used to make the data available to others, including data format and any metadata;
- where the data generated by the project has been deposited/is being stored for long-term public access, including data identifiers and/or accession numbers, and current URLs.

FUTURE PROPOSALS

DMP implementation will also be considered during evaluation of subsequent proposals. Data management must be reported in subsequent proposals by the PI and Co-PIs under "Results of prior NSF support," which must include "evidence of research products and their availability, including, but not limited to: data, publications, samples, physical collections, software, and models, as described in any Data Management Plan." As described for Annual and Final reporting, including locations (e.g. university storage, or named repository), and identifiers or accession numbers will facilitate the review process.

⁴ Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide (PAPPG), NSF 15-1, pg. II-1; http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/policydocs/pappguide/nsf15001/index.jsp?org=EF

DATA MANAGEMENT RESOURCES

There are many sites that provide specific guidance on data management practices. The following list of resources are provided as an entry point for assistance, and may be updated from time to time. Please note that inclusion of a particular resource is not intended as an endorsement.

- Journals and data repositories may have specific formatting and metadata requirements for data publishing or archival deposit.
- Professional and scholarly societies may provide guidance for the community. For example, the Ecological Society of America provides a list of resources and tools for data sharing: http://www.esa.org/esa/science/data-sharing/resources-and-tools/
- Non-governmental organizations are now offering resources and training; see, for example:
 - DataOne https://www.dataone.org/best-practices
 - Data Carpentry http://datacarpentry.org/
 - Software Carpentry http://software-carpentry.org/
- The US Geological Survey, while intended for USGS researchers, has a wealth of training and best practices materials available: http://www.usgs.gov/datamanagement/index.php
- Repository registry (and search) service: http://www.re3data.org/
- Finally, many university libraries now provide resource guides or direct services for data management planning and best practices; some provide direct support for DMP development.