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Revision Summary

1. Proposals will be considered from all areas of science and engineering research which are supported by the NSF.
2. Depending on research topic and partner location, additional funding for U.S. PIs or foreign collaborators may be available from the following agencies:
   - China-Ministry of Science and Technology of the People’s Republic of China (MOST);
   - Finland-Academy of Finland;
   - Finland-Tekes-The Finnish Funding Agency for Innovation;
   - France-Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR);
   - France-Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS);
   - Germany-Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG);
   - India-Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB);
   - Japan-Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS);
   - Japan-Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST);
   - Republic of Korea-National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF);
   - Mexico-The National Council for Science and Technology (CONACYT);
   - Russia-The Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (MES);
   - Spain-Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO);
   - Taiwan-Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan (MOST);
   - US Agency for International Development (USAID) through the Partnerships for Enhanced Engagement in Research Program (PEER Science).

Any proposal submitted in response to this solicitation should be submitted in accordance with the revised NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide (PAPPG) *(NSF 15-1)*, which is effective for proposals submitted, or due, on or after December 26, 2014. The PAPPG is consistent with, and, implements the new Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) *(2 CFR § 200)*.

**SUMMARY OF PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS**

**General Information**

Program Title:

Partnerships for International Research and Education (PIRE)

Synopsis of Program:

Partnerships for International Research and Education (PIRE) is an NSF-wide program that supports international activities across all NSF supported disciplines. The primary goal of PIRE is to support high quality projects in
which advances in research and education could not occur without international collaboration. PIRE seeks to
catalyze a higher level of international engagement in the U.S. science and engineering community.

International partnerships are essential to addressing critical science and engineering problems. In the global
context, U.S. researchers and educators must be able to operate effectively in teams with partners from different
national environments and cultural backgrounds. PIRE promotes excellence in science and engineering through
international collaboration and facilitates development of a diverse, globally-engaged, U.S. science and engineering
workforce.

This PIRE competition will be open to all areas of science and engineering research which are supported by the
NSF.

Cognizant Program Officer(s):

Please note that the following information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of
contact.

- Cassandra M. Dudka, telephone: (703) 292-7250, email: PIRE-info@nsf.gov
- Cassidy Burke, telephone: (703) 292-2464, email: PIRE-info@nsf.gov

Applicable Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number(s):

- 47.041 --- Engineering
- 47.049 --- Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- 47.050 --- Geosciences
- 47.070 --- Computer and Information Science and Engineering
- 47.074 --- Biological Sciences
- 47.075 --- Social Behavioral and Economic Sciences
- 47.076 --- Education and Human Resources
- 47.079 --- Office of International Science and Engineering
- 47.083 --- Office of Integrative Activities (OIA)

Award Information

Anticipated Type of Award: Continuing Grant

Estimated Number of Awards: 10 to 15

10 to 15 Pending the availability of funds.

Anticipated Funding Amount: $10,000,000 to $15,000,000

$10,000,000 to $15,000,000 Annually, for all awards, pending the availability of funds; the average award size is expected to be
approximately $4 million over 5 years.

Estimated program budget, number of awards and average award size/duration are subject to the availability of funds.

Eligibility Information

Who May Submit Proposals:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- U.S. academic institutions with Ph.D. granting programs that have awarded doctoral degrees in the 2012
  or 2013 academic years in any area of research supported by NSF. Any institution not listed at
  regarding eligibility. Institutions that have not participated in past PIRE awards are especially encouraged
to submit.

Who May Serve as PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization: 1

A single organization may submit one preliminary proposal as the lead institution. Full proposals will be accepted
by invitation only. There is no limit on the number of proposals in which an institution can participate as a partner.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or Co-PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

- Letters of Intent: Not required

- Preliminary Proposals: Submission of Preliminary Proposals is required. Please see the full text of this solicitation for
  further information.

- Full Proposals:
  - Full Proposals submitted via FastLane: NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide, Part I: Grant
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**B. Budgetary Information**

- **Cost Sharing Requirements**: Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.
- **Indirect Cost (F&A) Limitations**: Not Applicable
- **Other Budgetary Limitations**: Not Applicable

**C. Due Dates**

- **Preliminary Proposal Due Date(s) (required)** (due by 5 p.m. proposer's local time):
  
  October 21, 2014

- **Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. proposer's local time):**
  
  May 15, 2015

**Proposal Review Information Criteria**

**Merit Review Criteria**: National Science Board approved criteria. Additional merit review considerations apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

**Award Administration Information**

**Award Conditions**: Standard NSF award conditions apply.

**Reporting Requirements**: Additional reporting requirements apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.
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**I. INTRODUCTION**

Recognizing the value of international partnerships in addressing critical science and engineering questions, NSF established the Partnerships in International Research and Education (PIRE) program in 2005. PIRE is an NSF-wide program that supports fundamental, international research and education in physical, living, human, and engineered systems. PIRE awards enable research at the leading edge of science and engineering by facilitating partnerships with others nationally and internationally, by educating and preparing a diverse, world-class STEM workforce, and by fostering institutional capacity for international collaboration. This agenda is designed to encourage high-risk/high-reward activities and the pursuit of potentially transformative ideas.
International engagement will be critical to keeping the U.S. globally competitive at the frontiers of knowledge. As science and engineering expertise and infrastructure advance across the globe, it is expected that the U.S. will increasingly benefit from international collaborations and a globally engaged workforce leading to transformational science and engineering breakthroughs. Therefore, PIRE will promote cooperation among scientists and engineers from all nations, and will fund international collaborative activities through all areas of research supported by the NSF. NSF PIRE is also working with counterpart funding agencies to lower barriers to international collaboration for U.S. scientists, engineers and students, and to encourage jointly funded, bilateral and multilateral projects.

This fifth round of the PIRE competition will be open to all areas of science and engineering research which are supported by the NSF (including Education research). Interdisciplinary proposals are encouraged.

II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

A. PROGRAM OBJECTIVES:

1. Support excellence in science and engineering research and education through international collaboration.
2. Promote opportunities where international collaboration can provide unique advantages of scope, scale, flexibility, expertise, facilities, or access to phenomena, enabling advances that could not occur otherwise.
3. Engage and share resources and research infrastructure within and across institutions to build strong international partnerships.
4. Create and promote opportunities for students and early career researchers to participate in substantive international research experiences.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF PIRE PROJECTS

PIRE partners share an ambitious research vision that integrates research and education. The project theme may involve any area of science and engineering research that is supported by the NSF. PIRE projects may vary in size and exhibit diverse forms of organization, collaboration, and operation suited to their individual needs. PIRE projects must include collaboration with foreign research partners and international research experiences for students to promote a diverse internationally competitive science and engineering workforce.

NSF is committed to the principle of diversity and expects PIRE projects to involve groups traditionally underrepresented in science and engineering at all levels (faculty, students and postdoctoral researchers). Underrepresented groups include women, persons with disabilities, African Americans, Hispanic Americans, Native Americans, Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and other Pacific Islanders. Increasing the participation of a diverse U.S. citizenry by creating opportunities and enabling them to contribute is essential to the health and vitality of science, engineering, and education.

C. PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

The Principal Investigator (PI) will be the director of the PIRE project. The PI is expected to provide intellectual leadership and to be an essential participant in research and related educational activities. The PI will have overall responsibility for the administration of the award, for the management of the project, and for serving as the main point of contact with NSF.

D. ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES

NSF is working jointly with counterpart national and international funding organizations to enhance opportunities for collaborative activities in S&E research and education between U.S. investigators and their colleagues abroad. Funding for international collaborators on PIRE projects may be available from agencies identified in the sections below. Details in the sections below describe coordinated and supplemental funding that may be available for PIRE awardees’ international collaborators from the US Agency for International Development (USAID) through the Partnerships for Enhanced Engagement in Research Program (PEER Science), and from these counterpart agencies abroad: China: the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST); Finland: Academy of Finland and Tekes; the Finnish Funding Agency for Innovation; France: Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS); Germany: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG); India: Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB); Japan: Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS); and Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST); Republic of Korea: National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF); Mexico: Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT); Russia: Ministry of Education and Science (MES); Spain: Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO); Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan.

For those PIRE proposals where the foreign collaborators may be eligible for these additional funding opportunities, NSF will coordinate and manage the review of proposals in consultation with the participating domestic and foreign funding organizations, according to the respective arrangements with NSF (see Collaborations with Counterpart Agencies below). Relevant information about proposals and reviews of proposals will be shared between the participating organizations as appropriate, according to the respective arrangements with NSF.

For more information as to what is required of the foreign collaborators or PIRE PIs in order to apply for these additional funding opportunities refer to the External Agency Partners contact information in Part VIII. Agency Contacts below. Prior to final NSF funding recommendations, PIs whose proposals are selected for PIRE awards may be asked to submit additional information, including relevant budget details, to co-funding organizations to enable completion of their co-funding decisions. Award decisions by NSF are in no way contingent upon the funding decisions of partnering agencies.

It is important to note that NSF’s partnerships with the organizations listed below do not restrict applicants from submitting proposals with foreign collaborators from locations or support from agencies that are not on that list. Proposers are free to partner with foreign collaborators of their choice.

Models of Collaboration with NSF

For PIRE-2015, select counterpart national and international funding organizations are partnering with the NSF to enhance opportunities for collaborative activities between U.S. investigators and their colleagues abroad, according to the following models:

Model 1. Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals) - In this model agencies will work closely with NSF from the beginning of the PIRE process and will be sharing information during the preliminary proposal stage, the full proposal stage, the award stage, and the post award stage of the process. Information on PIRE proposals and awards (that involve partnerships with institutions served by that counterpart) will be shared with NSF counterpart agencies throughout the PIRE evaluation and award process. Representatives of each agency partnering according to Model 1 may recommend reviewers and panelists for the preliminary and
full proposal stages, and may be present during panels when preliminary and full proposals involving researchers served by their agency are being discussed.

**Model 2. Joint Review (Full Proposals)** - In this model, agencies will work closely with NSF from the beginning of the PIRE process. However, coordination and sharing of information with counterpart agencies will not occur at the preliminary proposal stage and will begin only at the time full proposals are invited. Representatives of each agency partnering according to Model 2 may recommend reviewers and panelists for the full proposal stage, and be present during panels when full proposals involving researchers served by their agency are being discussed.

**Model 3. Post-Award Recommendation Review** - In this model, agencies will work closely with NSF from the moment that the PIRE invited proposals are recommended by the Office of International and Integrative Activities (OIIA) for award. Counterpart agencies will opt out of the preliminary and full proposal stage. Coordination and sharing of information with counterpart agencies will begin at the time full proposals are recommended for award.

**Collaborations with Counterpart Agencies**

**D.1. Collaboration with Investigators in China:**

- The Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), China is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 3 – Post-Award Recommendation Review**. China-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from MOST. MOST, China is not planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in China.

**D.2. Collaboration with Investigators in Finland:**

- The Academy of Finland is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 2 – Joint Review (Full Proposals)**. Finland-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the Academy of Finland. The area of research supported by the Academy of Finland is Learning Sciences. The Academy of Finland is not planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in Finland.

**D.3. Collaboration with Investigators in France:**

- The Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)** (see above). Researchers from France who are partners on PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the ANR only for proposals that are bi-national (that only include collaborators from France). Proposals that have multilateral foreign collaborators (that include France and other countries) are invited to participate but will not receive support from ANR. ANR will only support projects in the area of energy, advanced manufacturing and the social sciences. The maximum project duration for the collaborators from France is 4 years. The ANR is not planning to conduct a separate competition for the researchers from France that are proposed as PIRE partners.

- The Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)**. France-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the CNRS. All areas of research supported by the NSF are eligible. The CNRS is planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in France.

**D.4. Collaboration with Investigators in Germany:**

- The Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)**. Germany-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the DFG. All areas of research supported by the NSF are eligible. The DFG is planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in Germany.

**D.5. Collaboration with Investigators in India:**

- The Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)**. India-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the SERB. All areas of research supported by the NSF are eligible. The SERB is planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in India.

**D.6. Collaboration with Investigators in Japan:**

- The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)**. Japan-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the JSPS. All areas of research supported by the NSF are eligible. The JSPS is planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in Japan.

- The Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)**. Japan-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects and who have active awards in the JST CREST and PRESTO programs may be eligible for joint funding from the JST. The JST is planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in Japan.

**D.7. Collaboration with Investigators in Republic of Korea:**

- The National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 2 – Joint Review (Full Proposals)**. Republic of Korea-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the NRF. All areas of research supported by the NSF are eligible. The NRF is not planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in the Republic of Korea.

**D.8. Collaboration with Investigators in Mexico:**

- The Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)**. Mexico-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the CONACYT. All areas of research supported by the NSF are eligible. The CONACYT is planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in Mexico.
D.9. Collaboration with Investigators in Russia:

- The Ministry of Education and Science (MES) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)**. Russia-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the MES. All areas of research supported by the NSF are eligible. The MES is planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in Russia.

D.10. Collaboration with Investigators in Spain:

- The Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)**. Spain-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from the MINECO. All areas of research supported by the NSF are eligible. The MINECO is planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in Spain.

D.11. Collaboration with Investigators in Taiwan:

- The Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 1 – Joint Review (Preliminary and Full Proposals)**. Taiwan-based researchers who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from MOST, Taiwan. All areas of research supported by the NSF are eligible. MOST, Taiwan is planning to conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in Taiwan.

D.12. Collaboration with Investigators in USAID locations:

- The US Agency for International Development (USAID) through the Partnerships for Enhanced Engagement in Research (PEER Science) Program is partnering with the NSF PIRE Program according to **Model 3 - Post-Award Recommendation Review**. Researchers based in USAID locations who are partners in PIRE projects may be eligible for joint funding from USAID through the PEER Science Program. Research areas that may be eligible are a range of development-related topics, including but not limited to food security, climate change, water, biodiversity, disaster mitigation, and renewable energy. The PEER Science Program may conduct a separate competition for the proposed PIRE collaborators in USAID locations.

E. VISAS AND PERMITS

PIs are responsible for obtaining any required visas for foreign travel and for providing documentation through the U.S. research institution in support of U.S. visas for foreign counterpart investigators. PIs are also responsible for obtaining research permits and import/export documents where necessary. PIs should review NSF's web page "Information for U.S. Travelers", http://www.nsf.gov/od/iia/ise/for-travelers-main.jsp.

III. AWARD INFORMATION

Estimated number of awards is 10-15 pending availability of funds.

It is anticipated that $10,000,000 to $15,000,000 will be available annually, for all awards, pending the availability of funds; the average award size is expected to be approximately $4 million over 5 years.

Estimated program budget, number of awards and average award size/duration are subject to the availability of funds.

IV. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

Who May Submit Proposals:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- U.S. academic institutions with Ph.D. - granting programs that have awarded doctoral degrees in the 2012 or 2013 academic years in any area of research supported by NSF. Any institution not listed at http://www.nsf.gov/od/iia/ise/2015-PIRE-Eligible-Institutions.pdf should contact PIRE Program staff regarding eligibility. Institutions that have not participated in past PIRE awards are especially encouraged to submit.

Who May Serve as PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization: 1

A single organization may submit one preliminary proposal as the lead institution. Full proposals will be accepted by invitation only. There is no limit on the number of proposals in which an institution can participate as a partner.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or Co-PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Additional Eligibility Info:

Institutions holding current PIRE awards are eligible to apply only if submitted proposals are significantly different in scope from those previously awarded. Incremental expansions of funded projects do not qualify and will be returned without review.
V. PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

Preliminary Proposals (required): Preliminary proposals are required and must be submitted via the NSF FastLane system, even if full proposals will be submitted via Grants.gov.

The PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL should present the main concept of the proposed project.

The preliminary proposal should consist of the following elements:

1. Cover Sheet: Check the box indicating that this is a preliminary proposal. Provide an informative title that begins with "PIRE." The proposed PIRE Project Director must be shown as the Principal Investigator. Include the Requested Amount (for administrative purposes, enter $2 in the Requested Amount box on the FastLane Cover Sheet. Do not enter any other budget figures in FastLane. Check the international cooperative activities box and select appropriate countries from the pull-down list.

2. Project Summary: (1 page maximum) Describe the concept of the proposed PIRE project, including why the international partnership is critical to the project success. Separately address the intellectual merit and broader impacts of the project. The summary should be informative to those working in the same or related field(s), and understandable to a scientifically or technically literate reader.

3. Table of Contents: A table of contents is automatically generated for the proposal by the FastLane system. The proposer cannot edit this form.

4. Project Description (6 page maximum): The Project Description should take the form of a concept paper that clearly outlines the research challenges being addressed or breakthroughs being sought in the proposed PIRE project. The proposed approaches must be innovative and must show clear benefit from international collaboration (for example, expertise, facilities, resources, access to phenomena) and active engagement of US students and junior researchers.

Include the following elements:

1. Administrative Summary (1 page maximum) should include:
   - title of the project
   - principal investigator
   - length of study (maximum 5 years)
   - estimated total budget (does not need to be itemized)
   - lead institution
   - list of partner institutions and key researchers
   - if the proposal is to be considered for Additional Funding Opportunity(ies) as described in Section II.D., explicitly name the funding partner agency(ies).

2. Research Summary (3 page maximum): Summarize the main ideas and essence of the proposed research. Describe the issue/topic the proposed research is trying to address, the overall goals, approaches, expected outcomes, and the synergy that each participant brings to the project.

3. Educational Summary (2 page maximum): Describe the goals of the proposed education activities, and how the integration of research and education will advance the proposed PIRE project in a way that other funding mechanisms cannot. A justification for education programs and activities should be included and described in the context of current knowledge of teaching and learning.


6. Biographical Sketches: Required for PIRE Project Director (PI), Co-PIs, and key domestic and international partners. Use the required NSF Biographical Sketch format as specified in the NSF Grant Proposal Guide (Section II.C.2f).

7. Required Information on Conflicts of Interests: In addition to the information provided in the Biographical Sketches, a FastLane Single Copy Document should be provided giving an alphabetically ordered list of people in the academic or professional community who have Conflicts of Interest with the PIRE director, Co-PIs, or key domestic or international partners. This list should include the PIRE Director (PI), Co-PIs, and key domestic and international partners: people with whom they have collaborated within the past 48 months; all of their Ph.D. advisees and advisors; and people with whom they co-edited a journal or proceedings within the past 24 months. In this list, include the name of each individual in conflict and their current institutional or company affiliation.

Optional Supplemental Documents: Official letters of commitment are not required at the preliminary proposal stage; however, informal evidence indicating agreement to collaborate is encouraged for both U.S. and international partners. Note that partner institutions and key participants may not be changed in the subsequent full proposal.

9. Optional Reviewer Information: A list of suggested reviewers, or reviewers not to include, with a brief explanation or justification for why the reviewer should be excluded, may be submitted in the Single Copy Documents Section.

No other items or appendices are to be included in the preliminary proposal. Current and Pending Support Statements; Facilities, Equipment and Other Resources; Budget and Budget Justification; Data Management Plan; and Postdoctoral Mentoring Plan are not required for preliminary proposals and should not be included.

Preliminary proposals containing items other than those described above will be returned without review.

Full Proposal Preparation Instructions: Proposers may opt to submit proposals in response to this Program Solicitation via Grants.gov or via the NSF FastLane system.

- Full proposals submitted via FastLane: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the guidelines contained in the NSF Grant Proposal Guide (GPG). The complete text of the GPG is available electronically on the NSF website at: [http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=gpg](http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=gpg). Paper copies of the GPG may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov. Proposers are reminded to identify this program solicitation number in the program solicitation block on the NSF Cover Sheet. For Proposals to the National Science Foundation, Compliance with this requirement is critical to determining the relevant proposal processing guidelines. Failure to submit this information may delay processing.

Important Proposal Preparation Information: FastLane will check for required sections of the full proposal, in accordance with Grant Proposal Guide (GPG) instructions described in Chapter II.C.2. The GPG requires submission of: Project Summary; Project Description; References Cited; Biographical Sketch(es); Budget; Budget Justification; Current and Pending Support; Facilities, Equipment & Other Resources; Data Management Plan; and Postdoctoral Mentoring Plan, if applicable. If a required section is missing, FastLane will not accept the proposal.

Please note that the proposal preparation instructions provided in this program solicitation may deviate from the GPG instructions. If the solicitation instructions do not require a GPG-required section to be included in the proposal, insert text or upload a document in that section of the proposal that states, “Not Applicable for this Program Solicitation.” Doing so will enable FastLane to accept your proposal.

Please note that per guidance in the GPG, the Project Description must contain, as a separate section within the narrative, a discussion of the broader impacts of the proposed activities. Unless otherwise specified in this solicitation, you can decide where to include this section within the Project Description.

FULL PROPOSALS WILL BE ACCEPTED BY INVITATION ONLY. Include the components described below. Consider these important notes.

- Proposals that exceed the specified page limitations given below will be returned without review.
- No additional information may be provided by links to web pages.
- For PIRE proposals that are collaborations which include more than one U.S. university, the PIRE proposal must be submitted as a single integrated proposal by the lead university, with proposed sub awards to the other partner institutions. Separate proposals from each partner will not be accepted, since separately submitted collaborative PIRE proposals are not allowed.
- U.S. Project Directors are advised to make sure that their foreign collaborators consult their funding agencies to determine whether they are eligible to submit a proposal, whether separate submission to their agency is required, and the agency submission requirements.
- If the project involves human subjects, the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the submitting organization must certify that the proposed project is in compliance with the Federal Government’s “Common Rule” for the protection of human subjects. If IRB approval has been obtained and the date of approval is listed on the cover sheet, no other certification is required. If IRB approval is still pending, submit certification of IRB approval in electronic form as soon as approval is obtained to the cognizant program officer. (The name of this program officer will be listed in the Proposal Status module of FastLane.) Delays in obtaining IRB certification may result in NSF being unable to make an award. For more information regarding the protection of human subjects, consult http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/hsfaqs.jsp.
- If the project involves the use of vertebrate animals, the project must be approved by the submitting organization’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) before an award can be made. For more detail, see NSF’s Proposal and Award Policy and Procedures Guide.
- PIs proposing work in the Arctic or Antarctic Polar Regions should contact the Division of Polar Programs program officer associated with the program most closely aligned with the proposed research for guidance on submission.

1. COVER SHEET:
   - Select this PIRE solicitation number from the FastLane pull-down menu. For Grants.gov users, the solicitation number will be prepopulated by Grants.gov.
   - Include the preliminary proposal number.
   - Show the proposed Project Director as the Principal Investigator.
   - Although NSF recognizes that international collaborators play an integral role in partnerships, list only U.S. participants as PI or co-PIs.
   - Check the international cooperative activities box and select the countries involved from the pull-down list.

2. PROJECT SUMMARY (1 page maximum):
   - Include project title, PI’s name, and name of the lead institution.
   - The Project Summary is required to consist of an overview, a statement on the intellectual merit of the proposed activity, and a statement on the broader impacts of the proposed activity (for additional instructions, see the NSF Grant Proposal Guide).
   - Provide clear and concise description of the project.
   - Indicate the unique opportunities that the international partners bring to the project.
   - Write a summary that is informative to those working in the same or related fields and, as far as possible, understandable to a scientifically or technically literate lay reader.

3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION (20 page maximum): In addition to the NSF Grant Proposal Guide, standard description, the guidelines below must be followed. Note that the 20 -page maximum includes the required section on Broader Impacts (see the NSF Grant Proposal Guide), Results of Prior NSF Support, and all tables, figures, and other graphical data.

   a) List of Participants: Include names and departmental and institution/organization affiliation of PI, co-PIs, and other Senior Personnel, both U.S. and international.

   b) Research Plan:
      - Describe the goals of the project, scientific and technical approaches, with expected outcomes and milestones. Illustrate how this research represents important advances achievable only through international collaboration. Descriptions must be sufficiently detailed to allow adequate review.
      - Explain how international collaboration will be integrated into the overall research plan. Highlight specific contributions (e.g., expertise, facilities, sites, data, approaches/methods, opportunities, etc.) of each U.S. and international partner.

   c) Plan for Educational Activities:
      - Make clear what activities provide international research experience for students and early career researchers. Describe training and/or educational activities that take advantage of unique and specific opportunities the proposed project would provide. Broadening participation of members of under-represented groups and small colleges and universities is especially encouraged. Underrepresented groups include women, persons with disabilities, African Americans, Hispanic Americans, Native Americans, Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and other Pacific Islanders.
      - Describe procedures, arrangements, and plans for recruiting, selecting, preparing, and sending student participants to international sites, including logistical arrangements (lodging, transportation, health care, safety, etc.), language and cultural issues, and administrative requirements.

   d) Management Plan:
• Describe the overall structure of the partnership; plans for internal means of communication; coordination of data and information management; allocation of funds and personnel; and other specific issues relevant to the proposed activities.
• Summarize the role of each investigator. Indicate the time commitment of each key project member in the management plan, regardless of any request for his/her salary from NSF.
• Present an evaluation and assessment plan that will facilitate the development and effective management of the research and educational components of the project, including relevant international collaborative oversight.

International collaborative oversight may include:

• Adherence to common principles for the responsible conduct of research and misconduct, including the training of students and postdocs in an international context (for references, see NSF International Research Integrity at http://www.nsf.gov/od/lia/is/intl-research-integrity.jsp, and NIH Fogarty International Center materials at http://med.brown.edu/fogarty/codes.htm).
• Anticipated risks and challenges of the international collaboration.
• Compliance with regulations for the use of recombinant DNA, microbes, transgenic plants or animals, including any work involving vertebrate animals (see GPG Section II.D.6).
• Compliance with regulations relating to the US Agricultural Bioterrorism Act of 2002 (http://www.aphis.usda.gov/programs/ag_selectagent/).
• Financial accountability: monitoring of expenditures and reporting on outcomes, in the exceptional case of subawards to international institutions. The lead institution should also provide a description of any past experiences in dealing with subawards to foreign institutions, particularly in the location(s) where subawards would be made in this proposal.

e) Results from Prior NSF Support (5 page maximum): PI, co-PIs, and Senior Personnel who received NSF funding in the past five years must provide information on the prior award(s), and a summary of the results of the completed work, including accomplishments. The results must be separately described under two distinct headings, Intellectual Merit and Broader Impacts. Individuals who have received more than one prior award (excluding amendments) must report on the award most closely related to this proposal. Required information is described in the NSF Grant Proposal Guide.

4. REFERENCES CITED: Cite references relevant to both the research and educational plans, using the standard NSF format as per the NSF Grant Proposal Guide (GPG)

5. BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES:
   • Include biographical sketches of U.S. and international PIs, co-PIs, and other Senior Personnel.
   • Prepare NSF standard 2-page biosketches, including those for international collaborators, in accordance with the required NSF format, as specified in the NSF Grant Proposal Guide.
   • Emphasize information helpful for understanding the strengths, qualifications, and specific impact the individual brings to the PIRE project.

6. CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT: Include current and pending support for the PI, co-PIs, and U.S. Senior Personnel.

7. FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT and OTHER RESOURCES: Describe facilities and major instruments in both the U.S. and abroad in sufficient detail to allow assessment of the adequacy of resources available to perform the effort proposed.

8. SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION: Proposals that do not include the required supplementary documents, or that include non-required documents, will be returned without review.

1. Letters of Commitment: Include only official letters with specific commitments of resources from participating institutions, or organizations expected to receive subawards, or from organizations that will provide resources for the project. The following documents are required.
   1. Letters from international partner PIs, co-PIs or senior administrators expressing intent to collaborate and describing the potential benefits of the project to their side of the partnership and the related support available through their institutions and funding mechanisms.
   2. A letter from a senior administrative official of the submitting institution describing how PIRE resources will be leveraged for long-lasting impact on the institution’s engagement in international research and education collaborations.
   3. A letter from a senior international officer at the submitting institution describing how various institutional entities responsible for dealing with international research and education will support the proposed international activities.

2. Data Management Plan (2 page maximum): Describe how data and information resulting from the proposed project will be managed with details on how data will be shared among partnering researchers and institutions. See GPG Section II.C.2.j “Special Information and Supplementary Documentation” and NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide, Sections II.C.2.d(1), II.C.2.j, II.D.8, “Dissemination and Sharing of Research Results”.

3. Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan (1 page maximum): If the project requests funding to support any postdoctoral researcher(s), the proposal must include a description of mentoring activities that will be provided for such individuals. See GPG Section II.C.2.j “Special Information and Supplementary Documentation” and NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide.

4. Foreign Partner(s) Proposal or Funding Information:
   • If the foreign collaborators are applying (or planning to apply) for the coordinated and supplemental funding that may be available through the partnering counterpart agencies as discussed in this document, full PIRE proposals should provide information on that proposed support, including the name of the counterpart agency or agencies, proposal title, names of principal investigators, proposed scale of funding (including a draft budget if Model 1 or Model 2 (see above)), and proposed duration of award.
   • If the foreign collaborators propose to partner under existing foreign funding or award(s), or to apply to a foreign funding source for a supplement to an existing foreign award, the full PIRE proposal should provide information on the existing award, including the name of the agency or source, project title, names of the principal investigators, scale of funding, and duration of award.

5. Single Copy Documents: Provide a single, alphabetically ordered list of people in the academic or professional community who have Conflicts of Interest with any personnel involved in the proposed project, including the people with whom they have collaborated within the past 48 months; all of their Ph.D. advisees and advisors, people with whom they co-edited a journal or proceedings within the past 24 months, and members of all advisory boards. In this list, include the name of each individual in conflict, and the current institutional or company affiliation of each individual.

B. Budgetary Information

Cost Sharing: Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.
Budget Preparation Instructions:

Budget Justification (3-page limit):

- A Budget Justification should be provided (maximum 3 pages per budget and subaward budget). A careful and realistic budget that is consistent with the proposed activities will add to the overall strength of a proposal.
- Explore use of off-campus indirect cost rates whenever appropriate. Provide indirect cost rate calculations and the basis to which both campus and off-campus rates apply.

Required Costs: Include costs of travel for two project participants for one trip per year to the Washington, D.C. area to participate in a 1.5 day PIRE Grantees' meeting. In addition, include costs of travel for two project participants in year three of the project to attend a PIRE Reverse Site Visit in the Washington, D.C. area.

Allowable Costs for NSF PIRE Budget:

- A significant portion of direct costs should fund U.S. undergraduate students, graduate students, and/or early career researchers to conduct collaborative research-related activities at foreign sites.
- Salaries, wages, and fringe benefits for senior project personnel: Up to two months per year for the PI and up to one month per year for other senior project personnel, within the limits established in NSF's Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide (http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=nsf14001).
- Salaries, wages, and fringe benefits for postdoctoral scholars, other professionals, graduate students, secretarial-clerical, or administrative staff who will perform dedicated work on the PIRE project.
- Participant Support Costs: Stipends, travel, subsistence and other costs of participation for undergraduate students or K-12 teachers should be included under Participant Support Costs. Stipends for undergraduate students should be budgeted at rates comparable to those in the Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) program (http://www.nsf.gov/funding/pgm_summ.jsp?pims_id=5517) in addition to any travel and subsistence costs incurred while abroad. Travel, subsistence and other costs of participation in PIRE project meetings and workshops for faculty, researchers and students from non-grantee institutions (who are not included in subawards) should also be included under Participant Support Costs.
- Travel: Research-related travel support (i.e., airfare, lodging, meals, and incidental expenses). For living expenses abroad, applicants are encouraged to work with international counterparts to develop realistic budget requests. For example, access to university guest housing or similar facilities should be explored. Cost-effective arrangements should be made for individuals residing at the international site for extended periods and for projects involving on-going exchanges of short-term visitors. Costs for lodging, meals and incidental expenses (Mi&E) should not exceed the authorized U.S. Government per diem rates, calculated at the daily rate for the first 30 days of a project visit, and 50 percent of that rate for all time after that.
- Expenses related to project assessment: Should include consultant fees for internal or external evaluators and costs associated with tracking participating students beyond graduation.
- Other Direct Costs: May include PIRE-specific items, for example, research and education communication linkages between institutions, language training, non-travel costs associated with coordination meetings, and preparation/orientation of students for living abroad.
- All expected subawards should be included, regardless of amount.
- Equipment: PIRE is not intended to support the purchase, operation or maintenance of moderate to large equipment. Only limited equipment costs can be included.
- NSF awards normally support the U.S. portion of the collaboration. However, when collaborators are scientists and engineers from a developing country or from a country whose currency is not convertible, limited funds may be requested to support their participation in the project. Proposers should consult the cognizant OIA International Science and Engineering Section program officer for the country(ies) in question (http://www.nsf.gov/od/iia/ise/country-list.jsp). U.S. PIs planning to collaborate with developing country partners are encouraged to consider the potential for USAID collateral support of their partners through the Partnerships for Enhanced Engagement in Research Program (PEER Science), as described in section II.D.11.

Although reciprocal visits by international researchers and students to U.S. institutions are encouraged, NSF will not usually pay for the expenses of foreign scientists or students undertaking such visits; however, when projects involve exchanges of researchers and/or students, reciprocal arrangements for provision of housing and subsistence are encouraged, with adherence to the overall principle that each side supports equivalent costs.

C. Due Dates

- Preliminary Proposal Due Date(s) (required) (due by 5 p.m. proposer's local time):
  October 21, 2014
- Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. proposer's local time):
  May 15, 2015

D. FastLane/Grants.gov Requirements

For Proposals Submitted Via FastLane:

To prepare and submit a proposal via FastLane, see detailed technical instructions available at: https://www.fastlane.nsf.gov/a/newstan.htm. For FastLane user support, call the FastLane Help Desk at 1-800-673-6188 or e-mail fastlane@nsf.gov. The FastLane Help Desk answers general technical questions related to the use of the FastLane system. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this funding opportunity.

For Proposals Submitted Via Grants.gov:

Before using Grants.gov for the first time, each organization must register to create an institutional profile. Once registered, the applicant's organization can then apply for any federal grant on the Grants.gov website. Comprehensive information about using Grants.gov is available on the Grants.gov Applicant Resources webpage: http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants.html. In addition, the NSF Grants.gov Application Guide (see link in Section V.A) provides instructions regarding the technical preparation of proposals via Grants.gov. For Grants.gov user support, contact the Grants.gov Contact Center at 1-800-518-4726 or by email: support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Contact...
VI. NSF PROPOSAL PROCESSING AND REVIEW PROCEDURES

Proposals received by NSF are assigned to the appropriate NSF program for acknowledgement and, if they meet NSF requirements, for review. All proposals are carefully reviewed by a scientist, engineer, or educator serving as an NSF Program Officer, and usually by three to ten other persons outside NSF either as ad hoc reviewers, panelists, or both, who are experts in the particular fields represented by the proposal. These reviewers are selected by Program Officers charged with oversight of the review process. Proposers are invited to suggest names of persons they believe are especially well qualified to review the proposal and/or persons they would prefer not review the proposal. These suggestions may serve as one source in the reviewer selection process at the Program Officer’s discretion. Submission of such names, however, is optional. Care is taken to ensure that reviewers have no conflicts of interest with the proposal. In addition, Program Officers may obtain comments from site visits before recommending final action on proposals. Senior NSF staff further review recommendations for awards. A flowchart that depicts the entire NSF proposal and award process (and associated timeline) is included in the GPG as Exhibit III-1.

A comprehensive description of the Foundation’s merit review process is available on the NSF website at: http://nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/merit_review/.

Proposers should also be aware of core strategies that are essential to the fulfillment of NSF’s mission, as articulated in Investing in Science, Engineering, and Education for the Nation’s Future: NSF Strategic Plan for 2014-2018. These strategies are integrated in the program planning and implementation process, of which proposal review is one part. NSF’s mission is particularly well-implemented through the integration of research and education and broadening participation in NSF programs, projects, and activities.

One of the strategic objectives in support of NSF’s mission is to foster integration of research and education through the programs, projects, and activities it supports at academic and research institutions. These institutions must recruit, train, and prepare a diverse STEM workforce to advance the frontiers of science and engineering, and mathematics (STEM) workforce by investing in building the knowledge that informs improvements in STEM teaching and learning.

NSF’s mission calls for the broadening of opportunities and expanding participation of groups, institutions, and geographic regions that are underrepresented in STEM disciplines, which is essential to the health and vitality of science and engineering. NSF is committed to this principle of diversity and deems it central to the programs, projects, and activities it considers and supports.

A. Merit Review Principles and Criteria

The National Science Foundation strives to invest in a robust and diverse portfolio of projects that creates new knowledge and enables breakthroughs in understanding across all areas of science and engineering research and education. To identify which projects to support, NSF relies on a merit review process that incorporates consideration of both the technical aspects of a proposed project and its potential to contribute more broadly to advancing NSF’s mission “to promote the progress of science; to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare; to secure the national defense; and for other purposes.” NSF makes every effort to conduct a fair, competitive, transparent merit review process for the selection of projects.

1. Merit Review Principles

These principles are to be given due diligence by PIs and organizations when preparing proposals and managing projects, by reviewers when reading and evaluating proposals, and by NSF program staff when determining whether or not to recommend proposals for funding and while overseeing awards. Given that NSF is the primary federal agency charged with nurturing and supporting excellence in basic research and education, the following three principles apply:

- All NSF projects should be of the highest quality and have the potential to advance, if not transform, the frontiers of knowledge.
- NSF projects, in the aggregate, should contribute more broadly to achieving societal goals. These “Broader Impacts” may be accomplished through the research itself, through activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complementary to, the project. The project activities may be based on previously established and/or innovative methods and approaches, but in either case must be well justified.
- Meaningful assessment and evaluation of NSF funded projects should be based on appropriate metrics, keeping in mind the likely correlation between the effect of broader impacts and the resources provided to implement projects. If the size of the activity is limited, evaluation of that activity in isolation is not likely to be meaningful. Thus, assessing the effectiveness of these activities may best be done at a higher, more aggregated, level than the individual project.

With respect to the third principle, even if assessment of Broader Impacts outcomes for particular projects is done at an aggregated level, PIs are expected to be accountable for carrying out the activities described in the funded project. Thus, individual projects should include clearly stated goals, specific descriptions of the activities that the PI intends to do, and a plan in place to document the outputs of those activities.

These three merit review principles provide the basis for the merit review criteria, as well as a context within which the users of the criteria can better understand their intent.
2. Merit Review Criteria

All NSF proposals are evaluated through use of the two National Science Board approved merit review criteria. In some instances, however, NSF will employ additional criteria as required to highlight the specific objectives of certain programs and activities.

The two merit review criteria are listed below. Both criteria are to be given full consideration during the review and decision-making processes; each criterion is necessary but neither, by itself, is sufficient. Therefore, proposers must fully address both criteria. (GPG Chapter II.C.2.d.i. contains additional information for use by proposers in development of the Project Description section of the proposal.) Reviewers are strongly encouraged to review the criteria, including GPG Chapter II.C.2.d.i., prior to the review of a proposal.

When evaluating NSF proposals, reviewers will be asked to consider what the proposers want to do, why they want to do it, how they plan to do it, how they will know if they succeed, and what benefits could accrue if the project is successful. These issues apply both to the technical aspects of the proposal and the way in which the project may make broader contributions. To that end, reviewers will be asked to evaluate all proposals against two criteria:

- Intellectual Merit: The Intellectual Merit criterion encompasses the potential to advance knowledge; and
- Broader Impacts: The Broader Impacts criterion encompasses the potential to benefit society and contribute to the achievement of specific, desired societal outcomes.

The following elements should be considered in the review for both criteria:

1. What is the potential for the proposed activity to
   a. Advance knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields (Intellectual Merit); and
   b. Benefit society or advance desired societal outcomes (Broader Impacts)?
2. To what extent do the proposed activities suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts?
3. Is the plan for carrying out the proposed activities well-reasoned, well-organized, and based on a sound rationale? Does the plan incorporate a mechanism to assess success?
4. How well qualified is the individual, team, or organization to conduct the proposed activities?
5. Are there adequate resources available to the PI (either at the home organization or through collaborations) to carry out the proposed activities?

Broader impacts may be accomplished through the research itself, through the activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complementary to, the project. NSF values the advancement of scientific knowledge and activities that contribute to achievement of societally relevant outcomes. Such outcomes include, but are not limited to: full participation of women, persons with disabilities, and underrepresented minorities in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM); improved STEM education and educator development at any level; increased public scientific literacy and public engagement with science and technology; improved well-being of individuals in society; development of a diverse, globally competitive STEM workforce; increased partnerships between academia, industry, and others; improved national security; increased economic competitiveness of the United States; and enhanced infrastructure for research and education.

Proposers are reminded that reviewers will also be asked to review the Data Management Plan and the Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan, as appropriate.

Additional Solicitation Specific Review Criteria

To be considered by PIRE reviewers:

1. Value Added through International Partnership and perceived strength of proposed partnership and partner resources
   To what extent is the international partnership essential to the proposed project? How does each participating institution contribute to advancement of the PIRE project? Is the whole greater than the sum of its parts? Do all partners have or propose the funding and resources necessary to carry out the proposed project?
2. Internationally-Engaged Educational Activities
   How do the proposed educational activities of the PIRE project promote educational excellence via international collaboration and development of a globally-engaged U.S. science and engineering workforce?
3. Institutional Engagement
   How clearly presented are the roles and contributions of each participating organization? How well defined are anticipated benefits that each of the project's partners will gain in the proposed partnership?
4. Evaluation and Assessment
   How effective is the proposed plan likely to be in measuring project outputs and outcomes? How clear and appropriate are the proposed metrics and criteria for measuring project accomplishments according to a well-defined schedule?
5. Project Management
   How well is the management structure described and how appropriate is that structure for effective management, coordination, logistics and oversight of the PIRE activities?

B. Review and Selection Process

Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation will be reviewed by Ad hoc Review and/or Panel Review.

Reviewers will be asked to evaluate proposals using two National Science Board approved merit review criteria and, if applicable, additional program specific criteria. A summary rating and accompanying narrative will be completed and submitted by each reviewer. The Program Officer assigned to manage the proposal's review will consider the advice of reviewers and will formulate a recommendation.

After scientific, technical and programmatic review and consideration of appropriate factors, the NSF Program Officer recommends to the cognizant Division Director whether the proposal should be declined or recommended for award. NSF strives to be able to tell applicants whether their proposals have been declined or recommended for funding within six months. Large or particularly complex
VIII. AGENCY CONTACTS

Assessment and Management Plan. Reporting requirements will be detailed in the PIRE award letters. project must also provide metrics, demonstrating progress towards achieving PIRE program goals in accordance with the proposed

In addition to the standard reporting requirements described above, PIRE PIs must include information on: international location(s) visited, duration of stay, and research activity undertaken by all participants, noting the career stage of each participant. Each PIRE project must also provide metrics, demonstrating progress towards achieving PIRE program goals in accordance with the proposed Assessment and Management Plan. Reporting requirements will be detailed in the PIRE award letters.

VII. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Notification of the Award

Notification of the award is made to the submitting organization by a Grants Officer in the Division of Grants and Agreements. Organizations whose proposals are declined will be advised as promptly as possible by the cognizant NSF Program administering the program. Verbatim copies of reviews, not including the identity of the reviewer, will be provided automatically to the Principal Investigator. (See Section VI.B. for additional information on the review process).

B. Award Conditions

An NSF award consists of: (1) the award notice, which includes any special provisions applicable to the award and any numbered amendments thereto; (2) the budget, which indicates the amounts, by categories of expense, on which NSF has based its support (or otherwise communicates any specific approvals or disapprovals of proposed expenditures); (3) the proposal referenced in the award notice; (4) the applicable award conditions, such as Grant General Conditions (GC-1)*; or Research Terms and Conditions* and (5) any announcement or other NSF issuance that may be incorporated by reference in the award notice. Cooperative agreements also are administered in accordance with NSF Cooperative Agreement Financial and Administrative Terms and Conditions (CA-FATC) and the applicable Programmatic Terms and Conditions. NSF awards are electronically signed by an NSF Grants and Agreements Officer and transmitted electronically to the organization via e-mail.

*These documents may be accessed electronically on NSF's Website at http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/award_conditions.jsp?org=NSF. Paper copies may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.


C. Reporting Requirements

For all multi-year grants (including both standard and continuing grants), the Principal Investigator must submit an annual project report to the cognizant Program Officer at least 90 days prior to the end of the current budget period. (Some programs or awards require submission of more frequent project reports). Within 90 days following expiration of a grant, the PI also is required to submit a final project report, and a project outcomes report for the general public.

Failure to provide the required annual or final project reports, or the project outcomes report, will delay NSF review and processing of any future funding increments as well as any pending proposals for all identified PIs and co-PIs on a given award. PIs should examine the formats of the required reports in advance to assure availability of required data.

PIs are required to use NSF's electronic project-reporting system, available through Research.gov, for preparation and submission of annual and final project reports. Such reports provide information on accomplishments, project participants (individual and organizational), publications, and other specific products and impacts of the project. Submission of the report via Research.gov constitutes certification by the PI that the contents of the report are accurate and complete. The project outcomes report also must be prepared and submitted using Research.gov. This report serves as a brief summary, prepared specifically for the public, of the nature and outcomes of the project. This report will be posted on the NSF website exactly as it is submitted by the PI.


In addition to the standard reporting requirements described above, PIRE PIs must include information on: international location(s) visited, duration of stay, and research activity undertaken by all participants, noting the career stage of each participant. Each PIRE project must also provide metrics, demonstrating progress towards achieving PIRE program goals in accordance with the proposed Assessment and Management Plan. Reporting requirements will be detailed in the PIRE award letters.
General inquiries regarding this program should be made to:

- Cassandra M. Dudka, telephone: (703) 292-7250, email: PIRE-info@nsf.gov
- Cassidy Burke, telephone: (703) 292-2464, email: PIRE-info@nsf.gov

For questions related to the use of FastLane, contact:

- FastLane Help Desk, telephone: 1-800-673-6188; e-mail: fastlane@nsf.gov.

For questions relating to Grants.gov contact:

- Grants.gov Contact Center: If the Authorized Organizational Representatives (AOR) has not received a confirmation message from Grants.gov within 48 hours of submission of application, please contact via telephone: 1-800-518-4726; e-mail: support@grants.gov.

For questions about proposals within specific disciplines, contact one of the following Program Officers:

- Biological Sciences
  - Sally14 - Connor - soconnor@nsf.gov, (703) 292-4552
- Computer and Information Science and Engineering
  - Dimitry Maslov - dmaslov@nsf.gov, (703) 292-8910
- Education and Human Resources
  - Richard Tankersley - rtankers@nsf.gov, (703) 292-5199
- Engineering
  - Richard Frazaszy - rfragasz@nsf.gov, (703) 292-7011
- Geosciences
  - Maria Uhle - muhle@nsf.gov, (703) 292-2250; or
  - Elizabeth (Lisa) Rom - elrom@nsf.gov, (703) 292-7709
- Mathematical and Physical Sciences
  - Leland (Lee) Jameson - ljameson@nsf.gov, (703) 292-4883
- Polar Programs
  - Lisa Clough – lclough@nsf.gov, (703) 292-4746
- Social, Behavioral & Economic Sciences
  - Shobhana Chelliah - schellia@nsf.gov, (703) 292-4381

For questions regarding co-funding opportunities with external agencies, use the following contacts:

- China:
  - Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Jia Lv, lvjia@most.cn
- Finland:
  - Academy of Finland: Risto Vilikko, Risto.Vilikko@aka.fi
  - Tekes-the Finnish Funding Agency for Innovation: Hanna Rantala, hanna.rantala@tekes.fi
- France:
  - Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR): Nakita Vodjdani, nakita.vodjdani@agenceresearche.re
  - Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS): Claire Giraud, Claire.GIRAUD@cnrs-dir.fr and Xavier Montse, Xavier.MORISE@cnrs-dir.fr
- Germany:
  - Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG): Max Vöglé, max.voegler@dfg.de
    - http://www.dfg.de/foerderung/info_wissenschaft/info_wissenschaft_14_40/index.html
- India:
  - Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB): Rajiv Kumar Tayal, tayal@nic.in
- Japan:
  - Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS): Hiroshi Ando, bottom-up@jps.jp
  - Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST): Takashi Ohama, ohama@jst.org
- Republic of Korea:
  - National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF): Gil Kyungsun, chemin@nrf.re.kr, +82 2 3460 5724
- Mexico:
  - Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT): Héctor Sámano Rocha, hsamano@conacyt.mx
- Russia:
  - Ministry of Education and Science (MES): Irina Kukilina, ikoukulina@mail.ru
- Spain:
  - Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO): David González Martínez, david.gonzalez@mineco.es
- Taiwan:
  - Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan: Jennifer Hu, jenh@most.gov.tw, (866) 2-2737-7560
    - http://www.most.gov.tw/newwp.aspx?act=Detail&did=b7d1b4bb24b4a98f177b0a3e63207e3&ctunit=31&cnode=42&mp=1
- USA:
  - US Agency for International Development (USAID) through the Partnerships for Enhanced Engagement in Research Program (PEER Science): Annica Wayman, awayman@usaid.gov, (202)-712-5977

IX. OTHER INFORMATION

The NSF website provides the most comprehensive source of information on NSF Directorates (including contact information), programs and funding opportunities. Use of this website by potential proposers is strongly encouraged. In addition, "NSF Update" is an information-delivery system designed to keep potential proposers and other interested parties apprised of new NSF funding opportunities and publications, important changes in proposal and award policies and procedures, and upcoming NSF Grants...
Conferences. Subscribers are informed through e-mail or the user's Web browser each time new publications are issued that match their identified interests. "NSF Update" also is available on NSF's website.

Grants.gov provides an additional electronic capability to search for Federal government-wide grant opportunities. NSF funding opportunities may be accessed via this mechanism. Further information on Grants.gov may be obtained at http://www.grants.gov.

Other useful information for submitting proposals to the PIRE Program is available on the PIRE Homepage.

Other programs managed by the Office of International Science and Engineering include:

- Catalyzing New International Collaborations (CNIC)
- East Asia Pacific Summer Institutes for U.S. Graduate Students (EAPSI)
- International Research Experiences for Students (IRES)
- Pan-American Advanced Studies Institutes Program (PASI)

Related Programs:

Investigators may also wish to view the Programs and Funding Opportunities section of the OIIA/ISE home page http://www.nsf.gov/od/ia/ise/index.jsp to view the lists of OIIA/ISE Managed Opportunities and other NSF Opportunities that Highlight International Collaboration.

ABOUT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

The National Science Foundation (NSF) is an independent Federal agency created by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 USC 1861-75). The Act states the purpose of the NSF is "to promote the progress of science; [and] to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare by supporting research and education in all fields of science and engineering."
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