

WEBVTT

1

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:00.299

Good.

2

00:00:03.480 --> 00:00:07.859

David Corman NSF: So for us that's the definition of ready to implement.

3

00:00:09.840 --> 00:00:12.690

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thanks David, we have a question.

4

00:00:13.710 --> 00:00:18.870

Vishal Sharma | NSF: That actually has to do with the webinar that we had last time, and this is directly worth you mark.

5

00:00:19.980 --> 00:00:30.600

Vishal Sharma | NSF: The question is asking mobility, was one of the few tracks and last year's civic association, but it is not explicitly listed in this year's you crack.

6

00:00:31.110 --> 00:00:44.430

Vishal Sharma | NSF: However, in the webinar that we had on February 25, mark you talk about a lot about mobility, so if their mobility lens over the resources and equity track for this one of seven.

7

00:00:45.480 --> 00:00:52.350

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: yeah so as we looked at this round the civic we really thought that mobility is something that could lend itself to either track so.

8

00:00:53.070 --> 00:01:05.820

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: I would say present us your story your idea and and how mobility fits into that and we're anxious to see your ideas again we're we're not trying to.

9

00:01:06.690 --> 00:01:19.710

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: put on tight guardrails to say you know it has to it has to include mobility or if it doesn't include mobility certain certain forms and mobility so it's really about about presenting your your idea to us.

10

00:01:21.210 --> 00:01:24.750

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Okay, our next question out there right to UK.

11

00:01:25.860 --> 00:01:31.980

Vishal Sharma | NSF: what's the port this awkward Stage one these ones awarded you help them get ready for implementation.

12

00:01:33.750 --> 00:01:41.640

Kate Burns | MetroLab: So metro lab does a few things to the teams of our stage blend awardees we develop a community of practice which.

13

00:01:42.210 --> 00:01:49.860

Kate Burns | MetroLab: includes providing a expert networks that you can talk to so those in the field around the country, who have done similar pilot implementation, you can.

14

00:01:50.310 --> 00:02:03.540

Kate Burns | MetroLab: speak with them, we do peer to peer sharing we do roundtables so you can hear how other teams are going, and we do have several workshops and these workshops include things like how to use your data for the pilot.

15

00:02:05.670 --> 00:02:14.460

Kate Burns | MetroLab: shareholder analysis and working with partners and aligning of research and practice questions so there's there's quite a few things and resources once your Stage one team.

16

00:02:16.620 --> 00:02:16.860

Kate Burns | MetroLab: Thank you.

17

00:02:17.730 --> 00:02:20.850

Vishal Sharma | NSF: next question I load right David Alexander.

18

00:02:22.110 --> 00:02:37.230

Vishal Sharma | NSF: David, you have a question that's asking what is the recommended for proposals to have a technical or technological contribution orbit Community engagement and innovation speed consider sufficient for research tasks in the climate change.

19

00:02:39.600 --> 00:02:50.670

David Alexander, DHS: Sure, thank you, so I think our perspective is that we look at technology from a very broad lens so technology may mean different implementing new approaches and practices.

20

00:02:50.880 --> 00:03:08.970

David Alexander, DHS: At the same time, it could mean implementing more material based or or information technology based solutions so we're encouraging communities and partners to really be creative in terms of what they're proposing against that that topic and track.

21

00:03:12.360 --> 00:03:16.920

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you, David next question i'll direct you UNOCAL.

22

00:03:18.090 --> 00:03:21.570

Vishal Sharma | NSF: What type of projects have previously been funded by Sir.

23

00:03:24.210 --> 00:03:38.940

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: Sure, so the best way to find that out, is to go to the website that we had up previously in the show Maybe you can just put that up one more time for people to see so it's an nsf so the.

24

00:03:39.810 --> 00:03:54.810

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: innovation.org and you can find a page there on the projects from our Stage one and stage two from the first round of the program with lots of interesting information and also websites from any of the teams.

25

00:03:58.140 --> 00:03:58.470

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you.

26

00:03:59.310 --> 00:04:00.150

Vishal Sharma | NSF: yeah no.

27

00:04:00.180 --> 00:04:02.490

David Corman NSF: SOFA shall can I add one more thing on that.

28

00:04:03.030 --> 00:04:03.480

Vishal Sharma | NSF: first day.

29

00:04:04.740 --> 00:04:14.160

David Corman NSF: One of the things that you'll see there is that there's a fairly large geographic distribution of of Stage one and, as well as stage two projects.

30

00:04:14.940 --> 00:04:38.280

David Corman NSF: Just because a region has received a stage one project or stage two project in our previous competition doesn't mean at all that you shouldn't consider hey let me build a stage one project for this year in that geographic region.

31

00:04:40.080 --> 00:04:48.780

David Corman NSF: You know we're looking for great ideas great partnerships not Oh, we funded this location already.

32

00:04:51.120 --> 00:04:58.470

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Good point, thank you, David i'll stay with you, David for this next question so.

33

00:04:59.010 --> 00:05:06.810

Vishal Sharma | NSF: We have a question that's saying you know they're curious about the timeline of the program which seems shorter than normal nsf grants.

34

00:05:07.170 --> 00:05:17.100

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So, can you talk about that in terms of you know, the puzzles the review process and how phase those Stage one and stage two relate to each other, and can you also clarify.

35

00:05:17.550 --> 00:05:30.210

Vishal Sharma | NSF: What the starting time frame with me for Stage you, it seems that there's only four months for Stage one before the stage for you, so can you go over these.

36

00:05:31.290 --> 00:05:48.150

David Corman NSF: Sure, civic is really viewed by us at nsf as well as our federal partners is something different from the usual nsf solicitation where a project will last or.

37

00:05:48.900 --> 00:06:05.850

David Corman NSF: 323 or four or five years before it actually may have an impact on that on society, when we created civics had several years ago we were looking for one.

38

00:06:07.020 --> 00:06:10.080

David Corman NSF: How do we accelerate that transition.

39

00:06:11.730 --> 00:06:12.690

David Corman NSF: And too.

40

00:06:13.770 --> 00:06:26.790

David Corman NSF: Many of our three year projects are on the order of about a million dollars, so our view was let's really look at how can we accelerate that impact.

41

00:06:27.480 --> 00:06:43.530

David Corman NSF: With roughly the same level of funding and instead of looking for projects that are creating fundamental research itself look at how are these projects.

42

00:06:45.120 --> 00:06:49.440

David Corman NSF: going to be applying research artifacts.

43

00:06:50.490 --> 00:07:08.700

David Corman NSF: research capability research based pilots not doing the fundamental research, but using those pilots and impacting those communities, not in three or four years, but over a one year, plus horizon.

44

00:07:10.260 --> 00:07:22.500

David Corman NSF: The nominally I believe stage Stage one is will begin roughly October 1 roughly fall of.

45

00:07:24.180 --> 00:07:27.630

David Corman NSF: 2022 I guess.

46

00:07:29.130 --> 00:07:42.360

David Corman NSF: It will be followed by a solicitation for the stage to with proposals to I believe sometime in February of 2023.

47

00:07:43.860 --> 00:08:01.620

David Corman NSF: Yes, we recognize that it isn't a full, six months before you put together your proposal, but at the same time, what we hope to see is partnerships that have not.

48

00:08:02.130 --> 00:08:26.400

David Corman NSF: just been created specifically for civic partnership partnerships like civic requires take time to gel and we give you six months or months before you turn in the proposal to really refine your concept with your civic partner.

49

00:08:27.660 --> 00:08:30.600

David Corman NSF: and looked at how do you.

50

00:08:31.860 --> 00:08:32.430

David Corman NSF: build.

51

00:08:33.570 --> 00:08:58.950

David Corman NSF: bring in additional partners bring in additional capabilities, so that in the 12 months that would follow the start of Stage two you would have a pilot that can be demonstrated and have impact within the Community it's really accelerated impact and it's not civic it's not for everyone.

52

00:09:02.190 --> 00:09:16.020

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thanks a lot David next question, I know, very few yo yo yo yo we have a question that's asking us each project has specific project has to be a new pilot or a canopy a continuation of past.

53

00:09:19.980 --> 00:09:30.510

Yueyue Fan | NSF: was a pilot at a different scale in the past and now you are expanding the effort to engage maybe making broader impact it to are.

54

00:09:31.530 --> 00:09:43.800

Yueyue Fan | NSF: applied to a different geographical area of different natures that brings new challenges for your team to work on, I would say yes now would it be appropriate.

55

00:09:47.850 --> 00:09:50.880

Vishal Sharma | NSF: If the call i'll ask you the next question.

56

00:09:52.440 --> 00:10:08.250

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So, if one of the partners on the project because international is that Okay, and does it make a difference there only wanted funny sense of budget or project actually So what are the rules around compensation.

57

00:10:10.200 --> 00:10:14.010

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: So we outline in the solicitation that.

58

00:10:15.480 --> 00:10:25.140

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: You can you could have international partners, but you cannot direct funds to your international partners from the nsf fun, so if they want to come with their own funds.

59

00:10:25.500 --> 00:10:33.960

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: Then you can do that that would be fine so if that enhances your project, and you can work that out and that's Okay, but new funds from from nsf.

60

00:10:34.980 --> 00:10:39.180

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: or from the program I should say that joint nsf to DHS.

61

00:10:41.310 --> 00:10:46.620

Vishal Sharma | NSF: thanks for the call next question i'll direct you, sir.

62

00:10:48.930 --> 00:10:56.640

Vishal Sharma | NSF: We have a question that's asking specific sector partnerships and Community world seem to be a big focus of the Program.

63

00:10:57.120 --> 00:11:10.320

Vishal Sharma | NSF: But How important is it for teams to have more conventionally academic partners so, for example, numerous faculty how important are these partners and implementation of the survey research.

64

00:11:15.360 --> 00:11:17.520

Sara Klesler | NSF: i'm sorry I.

65

00:11:19.620 --> 00:11:23.550

Sara Klesler | NSF: I couldn't hear the is is the question.

66

00:11:25.980 --> 00:11:26.760

Vishal Sharma | NSF: can repeat the question is.

67

00:11:27.420 --> 00:11:30.960

Sara Klesler | NSF: Is it on it on Q amp a I don't see it i'm sorry.

68

00:11:33.210 --> 00:11:37.410

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So i'll just ask the question again, the question is asking.

69

00:11:38.580 --> 00:11:51.990

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Civic has a focus on yes partnerships with the civic Center you know, maybe our parents our big focus program but How important is it for kids to have your conventionally academic partners so university faculty.

70

00:11:53.460 --> 00:11:53.880

Sara Klesler | NSF: Oh.

71

00:11:55.650 --> 00:11:58.950

Sara Klesler | NSF: Yes, I think it is important to have a partnership.

72



00:12:00.450 --> 00:12:11.070

Sara Klesler | NSF: Academic researchers and and Community partners, but by academically also include nonprofit researchers.

73

00:12:12.180 --> 00:12:13.920

Sara Klesler | NSF: researchers who are.

74

00:12:15.540 --> 00:12:18.420

Sara Klesler | NSF: You know, professional scientists.

75

00:12:19.620 --> 00:12:25.200

Sara Klesler | NSF: You know, even if they don't work at a university, they could be at a nonprofit that would be fine.

76

00:12:28.800 --> 00:12:30.810

David Corman NSF: So shall can I add one thing.

77

00:12:32.640 --> 00:12:49.980

David Corman NSF: On on that question, what we really want teams to do is hey you've got civic partners look beyond just your traditional tier one universities, you may have local universities that you work with.

78

00:12:51.930 --> 00:13:09.840

David Corman NSF: It may be Community colleges, those are also I eligible to support this, it could be a minority serving institutions so look at how can you leverage the academic strengths.

79

00:13:11.220 --> 00:13:21.060

David Corman NSF: That one may help you write a more compelling proposal sadly nsf proposals aren't exactly easy to write.

80

00:13:22.440 --> 00:13:30.810

David Corman NSF: And having an academic partner can make that process from an administrative side.

81

00:13:31.890 --> 00:13:33.120

David Corman NSF: easier to do.

82

00:13:35.940 --> 00:13:37.380

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you, Sarah Thank you David.

83

00:13:38.850 --> 00:13:42.810

Vishal Sharma | NSF: next question i'll direct you to call the.

84

00:13:44.040 --> 00:14:02.760

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Question that's asking you know they're not sure if it's better for the civic program or for another nsf program called smart unity's contains submit a one pager or talk to an nsf representative, about which programs better fit for your project.

85

00:14:04.980 --> 00:14:11.250

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: yeah i'm sure we definitely welcome people submitting to us.

86

00:14:12.270 --> 00:14:33.030

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: Their project idea doesn't necessarily have to be as long as two pages, it can just be a couple paragraphs they'll be fine, we can set up a time to speak with one of the program directors, the best way to do that is to email our the program team at [civic@nsf.gov](mailto:civic@nsf.gov).

87

00:14:34.230 --> 00:14:37.350

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: And we can find a time to speak with you.

88

00:14:40.170 --> 00:14:40.530

Vishal Sharma | NSF: All.

89

00:14:42.090 --> 00:14:56.310

Vishal Sharma | NSF: next question is directed at it, though metro life killer the experts, experts for the community of practice activities in Stage one to the specific teams who are chosen or about everyone in Stage one have access to the same network.

90

00:14:58.680 --> 00:15:01.320

Kate Burns | MetroLab: They are tailored as a tailored list of experts.

91

00:15:02.370 --> 00:15:21.420

Kate Burns | MetroLab: After the that are specific to the projects and each team gets two opportunities to engage with that network, given the short timeframe of Stage one it's typically been once during Stage one and then an additional reach out to the expert network and stage two, but it is tailored.

92

00:15:26.340 --> 00:15:28.920

Vishal Sharma | NSF: next question i'll ask yo yo.

93

00:15:30.780 --> 00:15:40.230

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Technology solutions seem to be a large part of the funded projects from the last round of survey is a solution fire for.

94

00:15:44.400 --> 00:15:59.490

Yueyue Fan | NSF: The short answer is no, keeping in mind that your team has to convince the reviewers and the civic a team, where the nsf that the pilot will actually make a director impact so.

95

00:16:00.000 --> 00:16:17.610

Yueyue Fan | NSF: solution technical solutions tend to have a clear outcome for people to assess right, but if you have a creative a more innovative ideas for new process and you decision formation our.

96

00:16:18.120 --> 00:16:34.860

Yueyue Fan | NSF: Partnership and that could actually help either tracks either one of the track in civic challenge we would welcome your idea, and then, if you have specific questions feel free to reach out to anyone unless.

97

00:16:36.900 --> 00:16:40.200

Vishal Sharma | NSF: They Syria next question on directly McCall.

98

00:16:41.430 --> 00:16:51.960

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Our health related outcomes so, for example, oral health medical care emergency services and research questions along those lines appropriate so.

99

00:16:54.750 --> 00:16:57.420

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: I think that's just a short answer yes.

100

00:16:59.190 --> 00:17:07.590

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: Health and wellness does fit you just have to make the case again that's, just as with any area, how it fits into one of the tracks.

101

00:17:09.870 --> 00:17:10.260

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you.

102

00:17:11.460 --> 00:17:25.920

Vishal Sharma | NSF: next question i'll direct to you, then our feasibility assessments infrastructure implementation plans and or design having rules appropriate products or no separate projects.

103

00:17:29.220 --> 00:17:48.210

Daan Liang NSF/CMMI: I think my answer will be yes, I think again just echo what others have said so it's really up to the team to be creative and also making a converse the argument why those kind of your designs or your strategies.

104

00:17:49.470 --> 00:17:56.070

Daan Liang NSF/CMMI: After the piloting 13 can have a meaningful impact on the Community so.

105

00:17:57.120 --> 00:18:21.180

Daan Liang NSF/CMMI: We don't limits the civic project to you know, developing a piece of software or systems information systems, even though they have been founded in the first round, so in this competition definitely we're open to anything that's related to technology or focus on something else.

106

00:18:23.460 --> 00:18:27.450

Vishal Sharma | NSF: And then next question out there every corpsman.

107

00:18:29.880 --> 00:18:47.940

Vishal Sharma | NSF: David, this question is asking our planning project as industrial non office cvo academic partners what this fall under the goalie designation that stands for grant opportunities for academic liaison the industry for this.

108

00:18:49.800 --> 00:19:02.070

David Corman NSF: So my first suggestion is look beyond the goalie for Stage one for Stage one on the competition, yes, the stage one activity is really.

109

00:19:03.870 --> 00:19:05.700

David Corman NSF: \$50,000.

110

00:19:07.050 --> 00:19:13.320

David Corman NSF: Bringing a goalie in far a six month planning grant award is a little.

111

00:19:15.150 --> 00:19:16.380

David Corman NSF: Extra baggage.

112

00:19:17.880 --> 00:19:23.100

David Corman NSF: If you feel in Stage two that a goalie framework.

113

00:19:24.240 --> 00:19:29.790

David Corman NSF: is applicable then hey we may make some good sense to use that.

114

00:19:30.870 --> 00:19:31.170

David Corman NSF: But.

115

00:19:32.280 --> 00:19:41.370

David Corman NSF: Certainly, for Stage one I would always look at what is the shortest path to submitting a strong, coherent proposal.

116

00:19:43.650 --> 00:19:47.910

Vishal Sharma | NSF: It thanks David now stick with you for the next question as well.

117

00:19:49.350 --> 00:20:05.700

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So when can teams who are awarded civic brand when can they expect funding to see for their planning and Budgeting purposes, their scheme is interested in knowing if there's a timeframe for abundance 12 months, he will begin.

118

00:20:07.530 --> 00:20:08.010

David Corman NSF: So.

119

00:20:09.960 --> 00:20:12.300

David Corman NSF: Clearly, for Stage one.

120

00:20:13.410 --> 00:20:30.120

David Corman NSF: With may with them may proposal turn in we would anticipate project starts roughly October 1 with funding from beginning at that date, maybe slightly before.

121

00:20:31.380 --> 00:20:40.710

David Corman NSF: with proposals for Stage two that are submitted in February 2023.

122

00:20:42.180 --> 00:20:48.930

David Corman NSF: Our commitment is generally that it's six month process between.

123

00:20:51.270 --> 00:21:05.340

David Corman NSF: Proposal submit all till proposal award and we would anticipate that those projects would start with F Ly 20.

124

00:21:08.340 --> 00:21:23.430

David Corman NSF: This is 2022 23 \$24 and so likely start with funding that would be received roughly October that at year.

125

00:21:25.530 --> 00:21:25.830

David Corman NSF: yeah.

126

00:21:25.920 --> 00:21:27.150

David Corman NSF: Can all that is.

127

00:21:27.570 --> 00:21:32.220

David Corman NSF: To be determined based on if there are continuing.

128

00:21:34.710 --> 00:21:47.820

David Corman NSF: Continuing resolutions, etc, but our our plan usually his plan is always six months from time proposal submitted to the time award it's made.

129

00:21:49.770 --> 00:21:50.370

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thanks David.

130

00:21:51.750 --> 00:22:07.140

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Like special help direct you Barbara Barbara for groups who aren't as familiar with the nsf proposal process should they somehow use language that speaks to the nsf strategy building the future investing in discovery and.

131

00:22:09.120 --> 00:22:21.390

NSF- Barb Ransom: I would say, read the solicitation very carefully and then write your proposal to address those solicitation criteria and the goals in the solicitation.

132

00:22:21.930 --> 00:22:30.000

NSF- Barb Ransom: What you're talking about right now, or broader and assaf goals okay yeah anything that you would do that would actually fit inside the civic.

133

00:22:30.480 --> 00:22:42.990

NSF- Barb Ransom: umbrella will definitely fit inside those nsf goal, so I would not waste time and energy, focusing on things that are not directly related to your mission of trying to.

134

00:22:44.700 --> 00:22:55.380

NSF- Barb Ransom: provide sufficient information and also provide sufficient justification, as to why what it is you want to do, and the people that you want to do it with.

135

00:22:55.740 --> 00:23:05.010

NSF- Barb Ransom: Actually fulfill the criteria in the solicitation so really focus on that, and if you have never written an nsf proposal, let me give you a hot tip.

136

00:23:05.760 --> 00:23:20.070

NSF- Barb Ransom: You can go to the nsf award search and you can search on the keyword civic CIV ic and you can pull up the abstracts from awards that have been made previously under the civic Program.

137

00:23:20.670 --> 00:23:29.010

NSF- Barb Ransom: And the abstract, is there the person who was the person who wrote the proposals name is there, their contact information is there, you can call them up.

138

00:23:29.340 --> 00:23:35.580

NSF- Barb Ransom: and ask them and say like hey would you give me a, would you be, would you be willing to send me a copy of your.

139

00:23:36.090 --> 00:23:44.010

NSF- Barb Ransom: Successful civic proposal because i'm trying to you know be successful and civic many times, people will.

140

00:23:44.850 --> 00:23:51.600

NSF- Barb Ransom: So that way you can actually get to see what a successful proposal looks like now i'll just tell you right now, not every.

141

00:23:52.230 --> 00:24:00.000

NSF- Barb Ransom: proposal is the perfect proposal, even if it's awarded, but if you get two or three of these are three or four of these you can at least have an idea of.

142

00:24:00.390 --> 00:24:10.320

NSF- Barb Ransom: How, you need to talk about what it is that you're going to do that, at least in previous times was successful at nsf and that might help you.

143

00:24:11.820 --> 00:24:16.470

NSF- Barb Ransom: With your language and how you want to structure your proposal and that kind of stuff.

144

00:24:20.190 --> 00:24:24.030

Vishal Sharma | NSF: next question though back to David Gordon.



145

00:24:25.380 --> 00:24:37.680

Vishal Sharma | NSF: This team is asking that their stage one proposal focus on serving a smaller, more specific population, however, for Stage two they're hoping to expand the project is so broad.

146

00:24:38.760 --> 00:24:41.580

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Should this be communicated in Stage one.

147

00:24:43.320 --> 00:24:48.510

David Corman NSF: So remember Stage one proposal is all about vision.

148

00:24:50.490 --> 00:25:07.590

David Corman NSF: And so, if part of your vision is how you're going to expand it from this what you saw as a smaller, more specific population to this larger population would not be an unreasonable thing to tell us about that.

149

00:25:14.040 --> 00:25:18.240

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you, David and similar question along those lines i'll direct this to you are.

150

00:25:19.890 --> 00:25:34.170

Vishal Sharma | NSF: One of the components for a proposal he was considering the demonstration project will be implemented face to does this project has to be for me to sign up for this one.

151

00:25:37.170 --> 00:25:46.350

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: So actually the I guess what what this person who submitted this question is calling a demonstration project and guessing this is really the pilot project.

152

00:25:47.160 --> 00:25:57.210

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: So this is actually the main purpose of this program is to do some kind of demonstration project or some kind of pilot on so it's good that this team is thinking about that.

153

00:25:57.540 --> 00:26:07.800

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: And during your Stage one you want to give enough information that the panel can understand what idea, you have for Stage two.

154

00:26:08.340 --> 00:26:20.220

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: And you can still continue building the details of that during Stage one, including refining your project team figuring out the exact budgeting.

155

00:26:20.850 --> 00:26:37.320

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: But you do need to put forward a pretty clear idea of what you would want to do as your pilot or your demonstration stage two and a plan for in a convincing argument that you would actually be able to carry it out in the timeframe and with the budget that is allowed.

156

00:26:41.460 --> 00:26:55.320

Vishal Sharma | NSF: To the call next question of also direct David corman David this the federal in direct cost rate by stage one and stage two the civic cover indirect us for academics.

157

00:26:58.860 --> 00:27:04.830

David Corman NSF: So these are questions you should these are standard nsf proposals.

158

00:27:06.360 --> 00:27:09.180

David Corman NSF: If you have specific questions on.

159

00:27:10.410 --> 00:27:12.390

David Corman NSF: Indirect direct costs.

160

00:27:13.890 --> 00:27:18.780

David Corman NSF: You should be talking to your sponsored research office.

161

00:27:21.540 --> 00:27:23.130

Vishal Sharma | NSF: And thank you then.

162

00:27:24.630 --> 00:27:30.900

Vishal Sharma | NSF: The next question that we have is kind of an interesting question because it's asking us to differentiate in some ways to seeing.

163

00:27:31.140 --> 00:27:48.270

Vishal Sharma | NSF: You back so i'll post this to you, David, but I also felt like to get David Alexandra and marks that perspective on this, so this team is asking in a project fall under both pack a, in fact, be and, if so, is there a way to specify the project balls in both.

164

00:27:51.480 --> 00:27:56.790

David Corman NSF: So it's actually interesting question and somewhat of a difficult question.

165

00:27:58.020 --> 00:28:06.810

David Corman NSF: In part, we are creating, we will be creating panels and evaluate track day track the proposals separately.

166

00:28:08.010 --> 00:28:17.160

David Corman NSF: One of the things that we are going to ask you is to tell us under which track, it should be evaluated.

167

00:28:18.870 --> 00:28:19.860

David Corman NSF: That said.

168

00:28:21.090 --> 00:28:28.590

David Corman NSF: As we look to bring the results of track day and track the together.

169

00:28:30.630 --> 00:28:37.050

David Corman NSF: We may look and say you know this really fit well in track be.

170

00:28:39.030 --> 00:28:45.960

David Corman NSF: Instead of track a and you know, based on the discussions across the team.

171

00:28:47.010 --> 00:28:47.520

David Corman NSF: It would.

172

00:28:48.750 --> 00:28:50.040

David Corman NSF: be considered for both.

173

00:28:54.750 --> 00:29:08.490

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thanks David i'm also wondering marks that and David offender if you have anything to add that, in terms of how your agencies are kind of looking at both X and how you would differentiate some of the ideas that possibly followed they're both.

174

00:29:09.780 --> 00:29:13.800

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: yeah I think David Portman summed it up very well as long as they're.

175

00:29:14.190 --> 00:29:15.480

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: distinctively different.

176

00:29:16.440 --> 00:29:24.600

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: In terms of the proposal and the solutions for those particular tracks I think they would certainly be considered.

177

00:29:25.620 --> 00:29:41.640

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: always a concern if if one one applicant is submitting to you know to different areas of interest, if you will, that there is differentiation and not just slight tweaks to say well might fit here, it might fit there, I think you know, there really has to be you know.

178

00:29:42.720 --> 00:29:57.630

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: A distinctive case made for what why why that proposal is in fact a or track be or proposals and both I think if they're if they're too similar nature that that doesn't I don't think necessarily rules it out, but.

179

00:29:58.740 --> 00:30:04.950

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: You know, makes it a bit more harder to to differentiate what the what the real goal and the outcomes.

180

00:30:06.300 --> 00:30:07.950

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: proposed outcomes on the project.

181

00:30:10.740 --> 00:30:14.010

David Alexander, DHS: yeah I just I just concur with Martin David torment.

182

00:30:16.710 --> 00:30:17.550

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you everyone.

183

00:30:18.630 --> 00:30:34.350

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Out there, thank you, you the call for the civic engagement is there any guidance as to the ideal or desired number of civic partners any other guidance as to which set of partners are seeing is the most important.

184

00:30:35.940 --> 00:30:43.710

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: yeah, so this is a really good question what we want you to think about is your civic partners at various different.

185

00:30:44.850 --> 00:30:53.400

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: For serving I guess various different purposes, so you could have your partners who you are your stakeholders who have you reaching out to to get.

186

00:30:53.760 --> 00:31:00.450

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: The perspective of your end users or your residents and those who would really benefit from whatever you're trying to implement.

187

00:31:01.410 --> 00:31:17.430

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: You can think about the civic partners who would be the the champions, for your project, and if it was successful they could help carry this through to scaling it and sustaining it beyond the life of the award.

188

00:31:19.260 --> 00:31:32.850

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: And so kind of along with that, who would be the civic partners who may ultimately also need to be engaged and kind of know about this as well, so that they can kind of have buy in and help shape it.

189

00:31:33.960 --> 00:31:49.650

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: So so think about all those different pieces as you're putting together your your proposal and the panel is certainly do look for for involvement of these seven partners at these who serve those different types of roles.

190

00:31:52.560 --> 00:31:56.400

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you, my next question direct David corman.

191

00:31:57.960 --> 00:32:00.750

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Who should be food it as collaborators.

192

00:32:00.840 --> 00:32:01.350

David Corman NSF: In the.

193

00:32:01.440 --> 00:32:08.640

Vishal Sharma | NSF: library raiders and other affiliations information section of the puzzle so for kochi is our Community partners and therefore.

194

00:32:11.610 --> 00:32:12.090

David Corman NSF: So.

195

00:32:13.260 --> 00:32:26.940

David Corman NSF: One has to be very specific on who are what who are real collaborators in those in specific activities if I typically.

196

00:32:28.110 --> 00:32:46.230

David Corman NSF: What those are used for our oh i've written a paper with such and such or i've had an ongoing nsf or do we or DHS project with this individual.

197

00:32:47.640 --> 00:32:52.890

David Corman NSF: It really is meant much more for that level of detail.

198

00:32:54.090 --> 00:32:55.230

David Corman NSF: Rather than.

199

00:32:56.700 --> 00:33:05.940

David Corman NSF: Oh, I you know the I plan to be working with them in the future so it's really who have you.

200

00:33:07.080 --> 00:33:09.930

David Corman NSF: worked with in the past.

201

00:33:11.220 --> 00:33:16.800

David Corman NSF: very specifically, who have you if you've received funding from them.

202

00:33:18.720 --> 00:33:21.480

And if you've published together.

203

00:33:23.220 --> 00:33:48.270

David Corman NSF: And i'm for i'll tell you something that seems always selling to us as we tear apart the proposals it looks like you get 15 pages to provide those answers and i've never seen anyone use more than a couple and when it's submitted it's still 15 pages.

204

00:33:51.090 --> 00:33:51.900

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thanks David.

205

00:33:53.310 --> 00:33:56.610

Vishal Sharma | NSF: next question out correct words sorry.

206

00:33:58.350 --> 00:34:06.690

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So we have a team who's asking if if focus on the year section of data privacy and racial equity be competitive for funding.

207

00:34:07.230 --> 00:34:20.310

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Specifically, this team has an idea for a project that implements is sitting data privacy guidelines and at the same time, words, words eliminating algorithmic bias visible discrimination.

208

00:34:23.580 --> 00:34:25.230

Sara Klesler | NSF: yeah it's it's.

209

00:34:26.310 --> 00:34:34.110

Sara Klesler | NSF: It sounds like you have two goals that have important values that.

210

00:34:35.670 --> 00:34:39.960

Sara Klesler | NSF: reviewers and we would welcome, but I don't hear.

211

00:34:40.980 --> 00:34:52.440

Sara Klesler | NSF: What it is you would actually do and I actually don't think that simply developing guidelines would represent.

212

00:34:53.610 --> 00:34:57.420

Sara Klesler | NSF: A significant innovation I wouldn't have to be.

213

00:34:58.560 --> 00:35:00.420

Sara Klesler | NSF: Some way of actually.

214

00:35:01.470 --> 00:35:02.700

Sara Klesler | NSF: Implementing.

215

00:35:05.220 --> 00:35:08.070

Sara Klesler | NSF: privacy, data privacy, data sharing.

216

00:35:11.040 --> 00:35:12.690

Sara Klesler | NSF: And and.

217

00:35:15.990 --> 00:35:16.950

Sara Klesler | NSF: Perhaps.

218

00:35:18.150 --> 00:35:18.870

Sara Klesler | NSF: Better.

219

00:35:20.640 --> 00:35:33.450



Sara Klesler | NSF: A better processes for avoiding algorithmic bias that you think that you see, but just working on guideline to don't think.

220

00:35:35.370 --> 00:35:40.530

Sara Klesler | NSF: Others may may differ, but it doesn't it, it sounds more like.

221

00:35:41.580 --> 00:35:45.510

Sara Klesler | NSF: Value goals but doesn't sound like.

222

00:35:46.620 --> 00:35:49.290

Sara Klesler | NSF: A project that might compete with others.

223

00:35:52.050 --> 00:35:52.830

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Okay, Sarah.

224

00:35:53.910 --> 00:35:59.610

Vishal Sharma | NSF: The next question out for a few minutes left, but others also feel free to chime in they have.

225

00:36:01.080 --> 00:36:12.930

Vishal Sharma | NSF: No additional perspective to share on this so mark in the previous founder of awardees for the civic mobility CAC based on being awarded less than the website, a team setting the stage to.

226

00:36:13.380 --> 00:36:20.760

Vishal Sharma | NSF: six out of the six projects focused on developing mobile Apps or deploy some sort of micro mobility solution.

227

00:36:21.480 --> 00:36:31.230

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Based on this pattern what it be accurate to say there is a questions for physical technology fixes that have an immediate visible and tangible impact.

228

00:36:31.650 --> 00:36:42.960

Vishal Sharma | NSF: As opposed to solutions that emphasize gradual process improvements by a new analytical data which typically happens on a slower time scale, but less tangible.

229

00:36:45.900 --> 00:37:06.270

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: yeah, so I think the short answer is probably a mix of both you know what we were looking for with last year's over the last round of civic was you know what is some of those solutions that help bridge those mobility gaps between homework jobs school health care, etc.

230

00:37:07.440 --> 00:37:13.470

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: And realizing that there is some of that that research and data analytics that has has to take place.

231

00:37:14.160 --> 00:37:22.020

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: So I think we understand that that's an important part of it, but then wanting to see how then that that actually transfers to some sort of.

232

00:37:22.860 --> 00:37:35.610

Mark Smith U.S. Dept. of Energy: You know, real world applications through you know validating those technologies or some sort of demonstration project to show you know how that works to help improve affordable, accessible queen transportation.

233

00:37:40.470 --> 00:37:41.010

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you, my.

234

00:37:42.600 --> 00:37:54.540

Vishal Sharma | NSF: next question I looked at, right here to call out what is the actual acela that for the stage been also project description, it was just mentioned it's 15 but this.

235

00:37:55.710 --> 00:37:57.720

Vishal Sharma | NSF: audience Member believes that they have read some.

236

00:38:00.210 --> 00:38:17.460

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: Great so um so it's seven pages for the project description for the stage one proposals it's 15 for Stage two and I think David may have been referring to a different section when you said 15 page, I think that was the collaborators section.

237

00:38:17.730 --> 00:38:19.260

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: So it is it's seven pages.

238

00:38:20.100 --> 00:38:24.150

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: And you are correct so then we're glad glad to see you were reading the solicitation carefully.

239

00:38:26.190 --> 00:38:30.540

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you McCall next personality very to David Alexander.

240

00:38:31.770 --> 00:38:37.980

Vishal Sharma | NSF: And, before I get into this question folks I just want to remind you, we have about 13 minutes left in the Q amp a session.

241

00:38:39.360 --> 00:38:47.670

Vishal Sharma | NSF: We are approaching all the audience questions that i've been asked if you have any additional questions to ask, I would encourage you to go ahead and do that now.

242

00:38:49.050 --> 00:38:52.200

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So just a reminder i'm at the end of the session

243

00:38:54.180 --> 00:39:02.940

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So, moving on I sorry David Alexander, you have a question that's building on the question that someone asked earlier about both paki paki.

244

00:39:03.900 --> 00:39:16.740

Vishal Sharma | NSF: This team is fighting the health and wellness oriented pilot program to serve individuals in northern California, who have experienced multiple catastrophic mega fires and still live in a fire area.

245

00:39:17.430 --> 00:39:34.350

Vishal Sharma | NSF: be proposed project with build resilience and mitigate future impacts of wildfires but also simultaneously fill the enormous gap mental health resources, so you know it put me both ends of cracking at the what guidance, would you have for this.

246

00:39:36.270 --> 00:39:47.850

David Alexander, DHS: I think again, you know as we've noted, you really need to look at the descriptions for the research tracks think deliberately about how your research proposal will support either one of those tracks.

247

00:39:48.510 --> 00:40:02.700

David Alexander, DHS: But also be cognizant as David letterman mentioned that you know the panels will take a hard look at the proposals and and may make additional recommendations for the for the sponsors.

248

00:40:03.720 --> 00:40:05.820

David Alexander, DHS: So I mean that's that's our general guidance.

249

00:40:07.440 --> 00:40:10.440

Sara Klesler | NSF: I can I jump in here and add something.

250

00:40:12.150 --> 00:40:18.390

Sara Klesler | NSF: yeah, I guess, I would recommend focusing more on on.

251

00:40:21.060 --> 00:40:38.850

Sara Klesler | NSF: Say mental health, for example, or some other kind of resilience, such as schooling or or wildfire avoidance and housing, so the the funding for even for.

252

00:40:39.540 --> 00:40:51.330

Sara Klesler | NSF: The second phase isn't enough to do you know to solve all of northern california's problems, and so I think something focus that it builds on research.

253

00:40:52.980 --> 00:41:04.410

Sara Klesler | NSF: and has a lot of potential for application right now would be something you could you could have sort of a more convincing proposal.

254

00:41:09.690 --> 00:41:10.740

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thank you, Sarah.

255

00:41:12.420 --> 00:41:13.980

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So it looks like.

256

00:41:15.090 --> 00:41:19.680

Vishal Sharma | NSF: We have one additional question that just came in, are very close to you, David.

257

00:41:20.880 --> 00:41:32.190

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Is the cover page included in the seven page requirement for the project description is the cover page same as the one page summary of the other nsf proposals fired.

258

00:41:34.380 --> 00:41:38.160

David Corman NSF: So make sure you include a one page summary.

259

00:41:39.570 --> 00:41:43.050

David Corman NSF: That is your yeah for us that's.

260

00:41:44.490 --> 00:41:45.570

David Corman NSF: A sacred thing.

261

00:41:46.950 --> 00:42:02.460

David Corman NSF: Our system looks for a one page summary followed by in our case, as long as I have to look in specifics at the solicitation but if it says a seven page project.

262

00:42:03.810 --> 00:42:09.180

David Corman NSF: description, the project description is limited to seven pages in length.

263

00:42:10.470 --> 00:42:14.010

David Corman NSF: That does not include the one page project summary.

264

00:42:15.030 --> 00:42:18.210

David Corman NSF: And nsf proposals typically don't have a cover page.

265

00:42:20.550 --> 00:42:21.120

David Corman NSF: us.

266

00:42:22.140 --> 00:42:40.470

David Corman NSF: You know, a big thing to think about is make sure that it is compliant with what one expects to see and again, these are nsf proposals are different than many people are used to submitting.

267

00:42:41.580 --> 00:42:48.900

David Corman NSF: Especially if you're a an NGO you're used to submitting a different style proposal.

268

00:42:50.190 --> 00:42:52.110

David Corman NSF: read through the solicitation.

269

00:42:53.130 --> 00:42:58.380

David Corman NSF: If you have a question that specific put it in the.

270

00:42:59.670 --> 00:43:02.370

David Corman NSF: send it to the email address that.

271

00:43:03.390 --> 00:43:05.670

David Corman NSF: I think the Charlotte mentioned before.

272

00:43:07.170 --> 00:43:09.540

David Corman NSF: One last last thing which is.

273

00:43:11.760 --> 00:43:14.580

David Corman NSF: i'm very general general remark.

274

00:43:16.140 --> 00:43:28.500

David Corman NSF: We give some very detailed questions in the proposal description for the planning grants, what are we really interested in hearing about.

275

00:43:30.390 --> 00:43:34.110

David Corman NSF: we're really your planning grant is really a story.

276

00:43:35.610 --> 00:43:48.930

David Corman NSF: And it's a story about your vision, what you hope to achieve in Stage two and how you will get there, as you execute Stage one.

277

00:43:49.950 --> 00:43:55.890

David Corman NSF: We want you to talk to us a bit about scalability transfer ability.

278

00:43:57.090 --> 00:43:59.400

David Corman NSF: Sustainability and impact.

279

00:44:00.870 --> 00:44:04.500

David Corman NSF: These proposals are all going to be evaluated by a panel.

280

00:44:05.730 --> 00:44:22.260

David Corman NSF: Multiple panels will be many, many, many panels those panels will include people from technology people from the social sciences in people from the civic community.

281

00:44:23.940 --> 00:44:38.850

David Corman NSF: they're going to be putting they're going to be reading those proposals and thinking to themselves where they in that community, how would they How would this proposal impact them.

282

00:44:39.900 --> 00:45:03.990

David Corman NSF: So, think about it, as you write the proposal your own life's experiences and the questions that a reviewer of those ideas that you put would react so be very, very conscious of the questions we asked submit to us a good creative proposal.

283

00:45:05.250 --> 00:45:13.680

David Corman NSF: we're very you know this specific areas for track a and track be are really quite broad.

284

00:45:14.970 --> 00:45:17.820

David Corman NSF: But make it easy on the reviewer.

285

00:45:19.200 --> 00:45:23.400

David Corman NSF: That they can find the information that they're looking for.

286

00:45:24.870 --> 00:45:33.480

David Corman NSF: And that way one, it ensures that the story that you tell us gets read the way you intended it.

287

00:45:35.670 --> 00:45:41.820

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Thanks David, we have one more question that just came in and i'll stay with you did this one food.

288

00:45:43.170 --> 00:45:50.280

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Does nonprofit be a 501 C three organization and be some letter of employment proposal.

289

00:45:54.360 --> 00:45:55.200

David Corman NSF: Sad for me.

290

00:45:55.590 --> 00:45:56.790

David Corman NSF: yeah yes.

291

00:46:01.860 --> 00:46:05.160

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Okay, so we are at 3:55pm.

292

00:46:06.390 --> 00:46:17.280

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So we thought about five minutes left to the session, it looks like the bounce through all of the audience questions i'll give it another minute in case anyone has any last minute questions but.

293

00:46:17.700 --> 00:46:26.430

Vishal Sharma | NSF: While we wait for you know any other questions come in i'm just going to bring up the title slide again just to remind folks that.

294

00:46:26.940 --> 00:46:38.430



Vishal Sharma | NSF: You know, you can always visit our program website and SF civic innovation.org for you'll find lots of useful information, including faqs information about past and ongoing something awards.

295

00:46:38.790 --> 00:46:47.430

Vishal Sharma | NSF: And also refer you again to be program opener that was held on every 25th of recording you can also find the by page.

296

00:46:48.150 --> 00:46:59.640

Vishal Sharma | NSF: If you have any other questions that come up along the way you can always reach out to us that's when they get an sf.gov and we'll do our best to answer there there's also another Q amp a session.

297

00:47:00.840 --> 00:47:02.220

Vishal Sharma | NSF: That is coming up.

298

00:47:04.530 --> 00:47:18.780

Vishal Sharma | NSF: On march 22 we already saw that you know, several themes have started thinking about the types of ideas they have for their project, so one of our goals for the March 2 session was that you know as you develop your ideas further.

299

00:47:19.380 --> 00:47:23.130

Vishal Sharma | NSF: you'll get the opportunity to interact but Members, so the program team.

300

00:47:24.540 --> 00:47:26.670

Vishal Sharma | NSF: You know, ask questions.

301

00:47:28.140 --> 00:47:32.100

Vishal Sharma | NSF: So we actually just had a another question amen.

302

00:47:33.930 --> 00:47:49.080

Vishal Sharma | NSF: And i'll direct this to you McCall this question is asking scalability transfer ability or inability or mentioned suffocating the full proposal should these components, also be mentioned in Stage one.

303

00:47:52.800 --> 00:48:04.860

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: i'm saying you don't need to have we're not looking for a section specifically on that instinct one and what you do want to make a case for.

304

00:48:05.490 --> 00:48:19.200

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: Is that your project is not just a point solution, so we are looking for projects that do have the potential to be transferred elsewhere and scales.

305

00:48:20.040 --> 00:48:31.770

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: So as you're thinking about a project that's appropriate and how to describe it, I would suggest, putting it in those making sure first that that you're actually are selecting a project that.

306

00:48:32.580 --> 00:48:42.270

Michal Ziv-El | NSF: That has that potential and then making that come clearly across but you don't need to describe your plans for scaling sustaining and transferring.

307

00:48:45.690 --> 00:48:55.050

Vishal Sharma | NSF: Your call okay folks looks like we're approaching the end of your next session, I just want to thank everyone for joining us today.