

## I/UCRC Impact on National Resources

### **Moderator: B.J. Meadows**

- I. Jill Johnson, NCI
  - a. I/UCRC model is (surprisingly) viable for drug discovery acceleration
  - b. Existing IP policies apply
  - c. NCI investment (~\$3M to 6 centers) will be large; NCI > members, making membership attractive
  - d. Also leveraged with preferred access to NCI; late development
  - e. Discussion: Tax credit would help
- II. Ed Haug
  - a. Early steps in the existing I/UCRC to enable/demonstrate feasibility. Scope of problem arguably \$230B/year (41,000 deaths)
  - b. Leverage with state and federal funds: \$29K → \$80M
  - c. Continuing operation is also leveraged heavily
  - d. DOT has 2/3 of time; Center and members get 1/3
  - e. Members contributing to development don't pay surcharge
- III. Dennis Ray, PSerc
  - a. Multidisciplinary teams are important assets
  - b. Focus on big problem: grid reliability
  - c. 13 universities - pool all dues/income
  - d. Developing tools (visualization) that can improve effectiveness of human intercession
  - e. Test market designs (simulation)
  - f. Building relations is critical
  - g. Helped form DOE-supported Consortium for Electric Reliability
  - h. Responsiveness is challenging; data repositories