



USAP Blue Ribbon Panel: International Partnerships

Strong scientific partnerships at many levels - illustrative examples include:

- 1) Large \$ and major resource impact
 - IceCube
 - ANDRILL
- 2) Medium size, investigator groups
 - PoleNet
 - AGAP
 - CONCORDIASI
- 3) Small, single investigator: many of these
 - Roosevelt Island
 - Atmospheric radars
 - LARISSA

Common characteristics:

- 1) Based on a strong science collaboration
- 2) Usually in-kind resources from all participating countries but some cash contributions
- 3) Support of field work is as flexible as National Programs allow
 - Dispersed with no on-ice coordination like PoleNet, mixed model like AGAP and ANDRILL, all through one NAP like IceCube, and other models
- 4) Need time to sort out agreements between National Antarctic Programs (NAPs)
- 5) Pre-proposal discussions between NAPs for complex projects are common



USAP Blue Ribbon Panel: Cooperative Logistics

Cooperative Logistics Support:

A. US-New Zealand Logistics Pool

- Intercontinental airlift
- Helicopter support
- Personnel (NZ and Antarctica – NZDF)
- Facilities and power in Antarctica

B. Quid pro quo support

- US-Australia agreement (Airbus Support – Casey opening)
- US-Italy
- US-UK

Common characteristics:

- 1) Must be based on science collaboration or long-standing cooperative relationship.
- 2) Logistics support not “for sale”
- 3) All contact through National Antarctic Program (generally via COMNAP framework)