	NSF 2010%	NSF 2011%	NSF 2012%	NSF Difference
Question	Positive	Positive	Positive	2011 to 2012
(6) I know what is expected of me on the job.	82.3%	<b>J</b> 78.4%	<b>J</b> 73.7%	-4.7%
(12) I know how my work relates to the agency's	<b>1</b> 01 00/	<b>↓</b> 88.8%	<b>05</b> 10/	2.70/
goals and priorities.	<b>1</b> 91.0%	<b>4</b> 00.0%	↓85.1%	-3.7%
(15) My performance appraisal is a fair reflection of				
my performance.	<b>1</b> 78.8%	<b>J</b> 71.7%	<b>↓</b> 67.2%	-4.5%
my periormanico.	1 0.0 / 0	• 1 111 /6	<b>\$</b> 01.1270	
(16) I am held accountable for achieving results.	<b>1</b> 87.6%	<b>4</b> 84.9%	₹83.2%	-1.7%
· ·				
(19) In my most recent performance appraisal, I understoon what I had to do to be rated at different performance levels (for example, Fully Successful,			<b>3</b> 00 101	0.00
Outstanding).	♣68.0%	<b>↓</b> 62.6%	<b>↓</b> 62.4%	-0.2%
(23) In my work unit, steps are taken to deal with a poor performer who cannot or will not improve.	<b>3</b> 1.4%	<b>1</b> 28.3%	<b>1</b> 32.6%	4.3%
(24) In my work unit, differences in performance	00.40/	<b>1</b> 24 20/	<b>A</b> 24 00/	0.70/
are recognized in a meaningful way.	<b>1</b> 39.1%	<b>1</b> 34.2%	<b>1</b> 34.9%	0.7%
(31) Employees are recognized for providing high quality products and services.	64.9%	<b>↓</b> 57.5%	<b>\$</b> 50.0%	-7.5%
(39) My agency is successful at accomplishing its mission.	90.2%	■89.1%	■83.8%	-5.3%
			_	
(44) Discussions with my supervisor/team leader about my performance are worthwhile.	<b>↓</b> 63.2%	<b>↓</b> 60.2%	<b>↓</b> 59.1%	-1.1%
(46) My suprevisor/team leader provides me with constructive suggestions to improve my job				
performance.	58.6%	<b>↓</b> 56.6%	<b>1</b> 56.8%	0.2%
/FO) In the least air months are a result and				
(50) In the last six months, my supervisor/team leader has talked with me about my performance.	78.1%	<b>↓</b> 74.4%	<b>1</b> 81.6%	7.2%

2012 Fed Gov % Positive 80.1%	2012 NSF% Gov% Difference -6.4%
83.7%	1.4%
68.8%	-1.6%
82.8%	0.4%
67.5%	-5.1%
29.4%	3.2%
33.8%	1.1%
48.4%	1.6%
76.4%	7.4%
62.2%	-3.1%
60.8%	-4.0%
76.8%	4.8%

2011 Fed Gov %	2011 NSF% - Gov%
Positive	Difference
80.2%	-1.8%
84.6%	4.2%
69.7%	2.0%
0.4.00/	0.9%
84.0%	0.370
68.7%	-6.1%
30.6%	-2.3%
35.9%	-1.7%
51.5%	6.0%
78.9%	10.2%
63.3%	-3.1%
61.9%	-5.3%
76.9%	-2.5%

## 2012 Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey: NSF Trend Analysis

Question	NSF 2010% Positive	NSF 2011% Positive	NSF 2012% Positive	NSF Difference 2011 to 2012
(53) In my organization, leaders generate high levels of motivation and commitment in the workforce.	<b>\$</b> 52.9%	<b>4</b> 4.1%	<b>J</b> 39.9%	-4.2%
(56) Managers communicate the goals and priorities of the organization.	<b>4</b> 64.6%	<b>J</b> 59.2%	<b>↓</b> 55.9%	-3.3%
(57) Managers review and evaluate the organization's progress toward meeting its goals and objectives.	<b>4</b> 68.1%	<b>↓</b> 58.9%	<b>↓</b> 55.9%	-3.0%
(58) Managers promote communication among different work units (for example, about projects, goals, needed resources).	<b>↓</b> 59.3%	<b>↓</b> 55.5%	<b>↓</b> 51.9%	-3.6%
(59) Managers support collaboration across work units to accomplish work objectives?	67.1%	<b>4</b> 64.3%	<b>↓</b> 62.4%	-1.9%

2012 Fed Gov % Positive	2012 NSF% Gov% Difference
42.9%	-3.0%
62.4%	-6.5%
62.0%	-6.1%
	T
53.3%	-1.4%
	I
56.9%	5.5%

-	
2011 Fed Gov % Positive	2011 NSF% - Gov% Difference
45.0%	-0.9%
64.4%	-5.2%
64.0%	-5.1%
55.2%	0.3%
58.4%	5.9%