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REVISION NOTES

Please be advised that the NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide (PAPPG) includes revised guidelines to implement the mentoring provisions of the America COMPETES Act (ACA) (Pub. L. No. 110-69, Aug. 9, 2007.) As specified in the ACA, each proposal that requests funding to support postdoctoral researchers must include a description of the mentoring activities that will be provided for such individuals. Proposals that do not comply with this requirement will be returned without review (see the PAPP Guide Part I: Grant Proposal Guide Chapter II for further information about the implementation of this new requirement).

As announced on May 21, 2009, proposers must prepare and submit proposals to the National Science Foundation (NSF) using the NSF FastLane system at http://www.fastlane.nsf.gov/. This approach is being taken to support efficient Grants.gov operations during this busy workload period and in response to OMB direction guidance issued March 9, 2009. NSF will continue to post information about available funding opportunities to Grants.gov FIND and will continue to collaborate with institutions who have invested in system-to-system submission functionality as their preferred proposal submission method. NSF remains committed to the long-standing goal of streamlined grants processing and plans to provide a web services interface for those institutions that want to use their existing grants management systems to directly submit proposals to NSF.

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

General Information

Program Title:
Innovation and Organizational Sciences (IOS)

Synopsis of Program:
The Innovation and Organizational Change (IOC) program supports scientific research directed at advancing understanding of innovation and organizational phenomena. Levels of analysis may include (but are not limited to) individuals, groups and/or institutional arrangements. Research may involve industrial, educational, service, government, not-for-profits, voluntary organizations or interorganizational arrangements. IOC-funded research must be grounded in theory, relevant to an operational or applied context, and generalizable. It must advance our scientific understanding of innovation and organizations. Proposals that aim to implement or evaluate innovations or particular organizational changes rather than to advance fundamental, generalizable knowledge about innovation and organizations are not appropriate for IOC.

Cognizant Program Officer(s):
- Jacqueline Meszaros, Program Director, 995 N, telephone: (703) 292-7261, fax: (703) 292-9068, email: jmeszaro@nsf.gov
- Louie Rivers, 995 N, telephone: (703) 292-7323, email: lrivers@nsf.gov
- Robbie Brown, Program Assistant, 995 N, telephone: (703) 292-7264, email: rbrown@nsf.gov

Applicable Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number(s):
- 47.075 --- Social Behavioral and Economic Sciences
Award Information

Anticipated Type of Award: Standard Grant or Continuing Grant

Estimated Number of Awards: 10 to 20 estimated number of new awards. See Section III for AWARD INFORMATION.

Anticipated Funding Amount: $2,000,000 pending the availability of funds in FY2007

Eligibility Information

Organization Limit:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Universities or colleges, including two- and four-year colleges and community colleges, acting on behalf of their faculty members may submit proposals. Non-profit organizations in the US that are directly associated with educational or research activities, such as independent museums, observatories, research laboratories, professional societies and similar organizations, may submit proposals. NSF encourages proposals for collaboration with international researchers, for-profit corporations, and national laboratories. For-profit organizations, government laboratories, and foreign organizations may not apply directly; however, they may participate in subawards. Subawards should be justified by explaining the unique capabilities being made available.

PI Limit:

None Specified

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:

None Specified

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI:

None Specified

Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

- Letters of Intent: Not Applicable
- Preliminary Proposal Submission: Not Applicable
- Full Proposal Preparation Instructions: This solicitation contains information that supplements the standard NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide, Part I: Grant Proposal Guide (GPG) proposal preparation guidelines. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information

B. Budgetary Information

- Cost Sharing Requirements: Cost Sharing is not required under this solicitation.
- Indirect Cost (F&A) Limitations: Not Applicable
- Other Budgetary Limitations: Not Applicable

C. Due Dates

- Full Proposal Target Date(s):
  - February 02, 2007
  - February 2 Annually

Proposal Review Information Criteria

Merit Review Criteria: National Science Board approved criteria. Additional merit review considerations apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

Award Administration Information

Award Conditions: Standard NSF award conditions apply.

Reporting Requirements: Standard NSF reporting requirements apply.
I. INTRODUCTION

The Innovation and Organizational Change (IOC) program supports scientific research directed at advancing understanding of innovation and organizational phenomena. Levels of analysis may include (but are not limited to) individuals, groups and/or institutional arrangements. Research may involve industrial, educational, service, government, not-for-profits, voluntary organizations or interorganizational arrangements.

IOC-funded research must be grounded in theory, relevant to an operational or applied context, and generalizable. It must advance our scientific understanding of innovation and organizations. Proposals that aim to implement or evaluate innovations or particular organizational changes rather than to advance fundamental, generalizable knowledge about innovation and organizations are not appropriate for IOC.

IOC-supported research should ordinarily combine theory with empirical validation. Projects that use functioning organizations as data sources are encouraged but such inquiries should be theory based and samples should be such that findings are generalizable. Projects that develop or build on research perspectives that cross disciplinary lines are also encouraged.

IOC research might draw on theories and finding from fields including, but not limited to, organization theory, organizational behavior, industrial engineering, industrial/organizational psychology, organizational sociology, risk management, public administration, computer science, information science and management science.

Research methods may span a broad variety of qualitative and quantitative methods, including but not limited to archival analyses, surveys, simulation studies, comparative case studies, and network analyses.

II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

PROPOSALS SUBMITTED UNDER THIS PROGRAM SOLICITATION MUST:

-- explain explicitly how the proposed work fits within streams of social science theory and past research so that the potential contribution to social science is clear; and

-- indicate potential contributions to practice.

Research will be supported in any area or discipline relevant to the core mission of the program. Potential research topics may include, but are not limited to:

1. innovation, including creation, management, diffusion and measurement of the effects of innovation;

2. persistent barriers to and/or enablers of innovation or organizational effectiveness, including individual capabilities, team dynamics, organizational and institutional arrangements, competitive forces, social and political considerations, crossboundary coordination, and governance;

3. organizational structures and design, including cross-functional arrangements, networks and emergent forms;

4. patterns of interaction among organizations, including how interorganizational configurations emerge, change, affect members and
affect society;

5. organizational life cycles, including entrepreneurship, formation of new organizations, organizational change or transformation, and organizational crisis or decline;

6. organizational effectiveness and change under emerging conditions, such as globalization, cultural and social evolution, public policy changes, and technological innovations;

7. managerial and organizational cognition;

8. diversity, including how diversity affects innovation and organizations and vice versa;

9. technology’s roles in innovation and organizations;

10. performance metrics for innovation and organizational outcomes; and

11. development of infrastructure, such as databases and methodologies, which can be used by many social scientists to advance research on innovation and organizations.

III. AWARD INFORMATION

Estimated program budget, number of awards and average award size/duration are subject to the availability of funds. Anticipated funding amount for FY 2007 for the IOC program is $2 million.

The NSF expects to make approximately 10 to 20 new standard or continuing IOC grants, typically from one to three years in duration. Funding for multi-year awards will be contingent upon acceptable progress in implementing program objective, including monitoring and evaluation activities, and the availability of funds.

IV. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

Organization Limit:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Universities or colleges, including two- and four-year colleges and community colleges, acting on behalf of their faculty members may submit proposals. Non-profit organizations in the US that are directly associated with educational or research activities, such as independent museums, observatories, research laboratories, professional societies and similar organizations, may submit proposals. NSF encourages proposals for collaboration with international researchers, for-profit corporations, and national laboratories. For-profit organizations, government laboratories, and foreign organizations may not apply directly; however, they may participate in subawards. Subawards should be justified by explaining the unique capabilities being made available.

PI Limit:

None Specified

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:

None Specified

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI:

None Specified

V. PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

Full Proposal Instructions: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the guidelines specified in the NSF Grant Proposal Guide (GPG). The complete text of the GPG is available electronically on the NSF website at: http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=gpg. Paper copies of the GPG may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-PUBS (7827) or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.

The following information and instructions supplement guidelines in the GPG.

PROGRAM SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS
For projects that require consent of organizational partner(s), letter(s) confirming access to organizational data and signed by an appropriate level of management must be included as Supplementary Documentation in the proposal.

Proposers are reminded to identify the program solicitation number (Populated with NSF Number at Clearance) in the program solicitation block on the NSF Cover Sheet For Proposal to the National Science Foundation. Compliance with this requirement is critical to determining the relevant proposal processing guidelines. Failure to submit this information may delay processing.

B. Budgetary Information

Cost Sharing: Cost sharing is not required under this solicitation.

C. Due Dates

- Full Proposal Target Date(s):
  - February 02, 2007
  - February 2 Annually

D. FastLane Requirements

Proposers are required to prepare and submit all proposals for this program solicitation through use of the NSF FastLane system. Detailed instructions regarding the technical aspects of proposal preparation and submission via FastLane are available at: http://www.fastlane.nsf.gov/a1/newstan.htm. For FastLane user support, call the FastLane Help Desk at 1-800-673-6188 or e-mail fastlane@nsf.gov. The FastLane Help Desk answers general technical questions related to the use of the FastLane system. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this funding opportunity.

Submission of Electronically Signed Cover Sheets. The Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) must electronically sign the proposal Cover Sheet to submit the required proposal certifications (see Chapter II, Section C of the Grant Proposal Guide for a listing of the certifications). The AOR must provide the required electronic certifications within five working days following the electronic submission of the proposal. Further instructions regarding this process are available on the FastLane Website at: https://www.fastlane.nsf.gov/fastlane.jsp.

VI. NSF PROPOSAL PROCESSING AND REVIEW PROCEDURES

Proposals received by NSF are assigned to the appropriate NSF program where they will be reviewed if they meet NSF proposal preparation requirements. All proposals are carefully reviewed by a scientist, engineer, or educator serving as an NSF Program Officer, and usually by three to ten other persons outside NSF who are experts in the particular fields represented by the proposal. These reviewers are selected by Program Officers charged with the oversight of the review process. Proposers are invited to suggest names of persons they believe are especially well qualified to review the proposal and/or persons they would prefer not review the proposal. These suggestions may serve as one source in the reviewer selection process at the Program Officer's discretion. Submission of such names, however, is optional. Care is taken to ensure that reviewers have no conflicts of interest with the proposal.

A. NSF Merit Review Criteria

All NSF proposals are evaluated through use of the two National Science Board (NSB)-approved merit review criteria: intellectual merit and the broader impacts of the proposed effort. In some instances, however, NSF will employ additional criteria as required to highlight the specific objectives of certain programs and activities.

The two NSB-approved merit review criteria are listed below. The criteria include considerations that help define them. These considerations are suggestions and not all will apply to any given proposal. While proposers must address both merit review criteria, reviewers will be asked to address only those considerations that are relevant to the proposal being considered and for which the reviewer is qualified to make judgements.

What is the intellectual merit of the proposed activity?
How important is the proposed activity to advancing knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields? How well qualified is the proposer (individual or team) to conduct the project? (If appropriate, the reviewer will comment on the quality of the prior work.) To what extent does the proposed activity suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts? How well conceived and organized is the proposed activity? Is there sufficient access to resources?

What are the broader impacts of the proposed activity?
How well does the activity advance discovery and understanding while promoting teaching, training, and learning? How well does the proposed activity broaden the participation of underrepresented groups (e.g., gender, ethnicity, disability, geographic, etc.)? To what extent will it enhance the infrastructure for research and education, such as facilities, instrumentation, networks, and partnerships? Will the results be disseminated broadly to enhance scientific and technological understanding? What may be the benefits of the proposed activity to society?
Examples illustrating activities likely to demonstrate broader impacts are available electronically on the NSF website at:

Mentoring activities provided to postdoctoral researchers supported on the project, as described in a one-page supplementary
document, will be evaluated under the Broader Impacts criterion.

NSF staff also will give careful consideration to the following in making funding decisions:

Integration of Research and Education
One of the principal strategies in support of NSF’s goals is to foster integration of research and education through the programs,
projects, and activities it supports at academic and research institutions. These institutions provide abundant opportunities where
individuals may concurrently assume responsibilities as researchers, educators, and students and where all can engage in joint
efforts that infuse education with the excitement of discovery and enrich research through the diversity of learning perspectives.

Integrating Diversity into NSF Programs, Projects, and Activities
Broadening opportunities and enabling the participation of all citizens -- women and men, underrepresented minorities, and persons
with disabilities -- is essential to the health and vitality of science and engineering. NSF is committed to this principle of diversity and
deems it central to the programs, projects, and activities it considers and supports.

Additional Review Criteria:
Proposals will also be evaluated in accordance with the Program Specific Requirements described in Section V.A. of this
program solicitation.

B. Review and Selection Process
Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation will be reviewed by Ad hoc Review and/or Panel Review.

Reviewers will be asked to formulate a recommendation to either support or decline each proposal. The Program Officer assigned to
manage the proposal’s review will consider the advice of reviewers and will formulate a recommendation.

After scientific, technical and programmatic review and consideration of appropriate factors, the NSF Program Officer recommends to
the cognizant Division Director whether the proposal should be declined or recommended for award. NSF is striving to be able to tell
applicants whether their proposals have been declined or recommended for funding within six months. The time interval begins on
the deadline or target date, or receipt date, whichever is later. The interval ends when the Division Director accepts the Program
Officer's recommendation.

A summary rating and accompanying narrative will be completed and submitted by each reviewer. In all cases, reviews are treated
as confidential documents. Verbatim copies of reviews, excluding the names of the reviewers, are sent to the Principal
Investigator/Project Director by the Program Officer. In addition, the proposer will receive an explanation of the decision to award or
decline funding.

In all cases, after programmatic approval has been obtained, the proposals recommended for funding will be forwarded to the
Division of Grants and Agreements for review of business, financial, and policy implications and the processing and issuance of a
grant or other agreement. Proposers are cautioned that only a Grants and Agreements Officer may make commitments, obligations
or awards on behalf of NSF or authorize the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of NSF should be inferred from
technical or budgetary discussions with a NSF Program Officer. A Principal Investigator or organization that makes financial or
personnel commitments in the absence of a grant or cooperative agreement signed by the NSF Grants and Agreements Officer does
so at their own risk.

VII. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Notification of the Award
Notification of the award is made to the submitting organization by a Grants Officer in the Division of Grants and Agreements.
Organizations whose proposals are declined will be advised as promptly as possible by the cognizant NSF Program administering
the program. Verbatim copies of reviews, not including the identity of the reviewer, will be provided automatically to the Principal
Investigator. (See Section VI.B. for additional information on the review process.)

B. Award Conditions
An NSF award consists of: (1) the award letter, which includes any special provisions applicable to the award and any numbered
amendments thereto; (2) the budget, which indicates the amounts, by categories of expense, on which NSF has based its support
(or otherwise communicates any specific approvals or disapprovals of proposed expenditures); (3) the proposal referenced in the
award letter; (4) the applicable award conditions, such as Grant General Conditions (GC-1); * or Research Terms and Conditions *
and (5) any announcement or other NSF issuance that may be incorporated by reference in the award letter. Cooperative
agreements are also administered in accordance with NSF Cooperative Agreement Financial and Administrative Terms and
Conditions (CA-FATC) and the applicable Programmatic Terms and Conditions. NSF awards are electronically signed by an NSF
Grants and Agreements Officer and transmitted electronically to the organization via e-mail.

*These documents may be accessed electronically on NSF’s Website at http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/award_conditions.jsp?
org=NSF*. Paper copies may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from
nsfpubs@nsf.gov.

More comprehensive information on NSF Award Conditions and other important information on the administration of NSF awards is
contained in the NSF Award & Administration Guide (AAG) Chapter II, available electronically on the NSF Website at
C. Reporting Requirements

For all multi-year grants (including both standard and continuing grants), the Principal Investigator must submit an annual project report to the cognizant Program Officer at least 90 days before the end of the current budget period. (Some programs or awards require more frequent project reports). Within 90 days after expiration of a grant, the PI also is required to submit a final project report.

Failure to provide the required annual or final project reports will delay NSF review and processing of any future funding increments as well as any pending proposals for that PI. PIs should examine the formats of the required reports in advance to assure availability of required data.

PIs are required to use NSF’s electronic project-reporting system, available through FastLane, for preparation and submission of annual and final project reports. Such reports provide information on activities and findings, project participants (individual and organizational) publications; and, other specific products and contributions. PIs will not be required to re-enter information previously provided, either with a proposal or in earlier updates using the electronic system. Submission of the report via FastLane constitutes certification by the PI that the contents of the report are accurate and complete.

VIII. AGENCY CONTACTS

General inquiries regarding this program should be made to:

- Jacqueline Meszaros, Program Director, 995 N, telephone: (703) 292-7261, fax: (703) 292-9068, email: jmeszaro@nsf.gov
- Louie Rivers, 995 N, telephone: (703) 292-7323, email: irivers@nsf.gov
- Robbie Brown, Program Assistant, 995 N, telephone: (703) 292-7264, email: rbrown@nsf.gov

For questions related to the use of FastLane, contact:

- FastLane Help Desk, telephone: 1-800-673-6188; e-mail: fastlane@nsf.gov.
- C. M Jenkins, Program and Technology Specialist, 995 N, telephone: (703) 292-8762, fax: (703) 292-9195, email: cjenkins@nsf.gov

IX. OTHER INFORMATION

The NSF Website provides the most comprehensive source of information on NSF Directorates (including contact information), programs and funding opportunities. Use of this Website by potential proposers is strongly encouraged. In addition, National Science Foundation Update is a free e-mail subscription service designed to keep potential proposers and other interested parties apprised of new NSF funding opportunities and publications, important changes in proposal and award policies and procedures, and upcoming NSF Regional Grants Conferences. Subscribers are informed through e-mail when new publications are issued that match their identified interests. Users can subscribe to this service by clicking the “Get NSF Updates by Email” link on the NSF web site.

Grants.gov provides an additional electronic capability to search for Federal government-wide grant opportunities. NSF funding opportunities may be accessed via this new mechanism. Further information on Grants.gov may be obtained at http://www.grants.gov.

ABOUT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

The National Science Foundation (NSF) is an independent Federal agency created by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 USC 1861-75). The Act states the purpose of the NSF is “to promote the progress of science; [and] to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare by supporting research and education in all fields of science and engineering.”

NSF funds research and education in most fields of science and engineering. It does this through grants and cooperative agreements to more than 2,000 colleges, universities, K-12 school systems, businesses, informal science organizations and other research organizations throughout the US. The Foundation accounts for about one-fourth of Federal support to academic institutions for basic research.

NSF receives approximately 40,000 proposals each year for research, education and training projects, of which approximately 11,000 are funded. In addition, the Foundation receives several thousand applications for graduate and postdoctoral fellowships. The agency operates no laboratories itself but does support National Research Centers, user facilities, certain oceanographic vessels and Antarctic research stations. The Foundation also supports cooperative research between universities and industry, US participation in international scientific and engineering efforts, and educational activities at every academic level.

Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities provide funding for special assistance or equipment to enable persons with disabilities to work on NSF-supported projects. See Grant Proposal Guide Chapter II, Section D.2 for instructions regarding preparation of these types of proposals.

The National Science Foundation has Telephonic Device for the Deaf (TDD) and Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) capabilities that enable individuals with hearing impairments to communicate with the Foundation about NSF programs, employment
The National Science Foundation promotes and advances scientific progress in the United States by competitively awarding grants and cooperative agreements for research and education in the sciences, mathematics, and engineering.

To get the latest information about program deadlines, to download copies of NSF publications, and to access abstracts of awards, visit the NSF Website at http://www.nsf.gov

- **Location:** 4201 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22230
- **For General Information**
  - (NSF Information Center):
  - TDD (for the hearing-impaired):
- **To Order Publications or Forms:**
  - Send an e-mail to: nsfpubs@nsf.gov
  - or telephone: (703) 292-7827
- **To Locate NSF Employees:** (703) 292-5111

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENTS

The information requested on proposal forms and project reports is solicited under the authority of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended. The information on proposal forms will be used in connection with the selection of qualified proposals; and project reports submitted by awardees will be used for program evaluation and reporting within the Executive Branch and to Congress. The information requested may be disclosed to qualified reviewers and staff assistants as part of the proposal review process; to proposer institutions/grantees to provide or obtain data regarding the proposal review process, award decisions, or the administration of awards; to government contractors, experts, volunteers and researchers and educators as necessary to complete assigned work; to other government agencies or other entities needing information regarding applicants or nominees as part of a joint application review process, or in order to coordinate programs or policy; and to another Federal agency, court, or party in a court or Federal administrative proceeding if the government is a party. Information about Principal Investigators may be added to the Reviewer file and used to select potential candidates to serve as peer reviewers or advisory committee members. See Systems of Records, NSF-50, “Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records,” 69 Federal Register 26410 (May 12, 2004), and NSF-51, “Reviewer/Proposal File and Associated Records,” 69 Federal Register 26410 (May 12, 2004). Submission of the information is voluntary. Failure to provide full and complete information, however, may reduce the possibility of receiving an award.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 3145-0058. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 120 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions. Send comments regarding the burden estimate and any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Suzanne H. Plimpton
Reports Clearance Officer
Division of Administrative Services
National Science Foundation
Arlington, VA 22230