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SUMMARY OF PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

General Information

Program Title:
Enhancing Access to the Radio Spectrum (EARS)
Opportunities for interdisciplinary research that increases the efficiency of the radio spectrum, expanding the access to wireless-enabled services for all Americans.

Synopsis of Program:
The National Science Foundation's Directorates for Mathematical and Physical Sciences (MPS), Engineering (ENG), and Computer and Information Science and Engineering (CISE) are coordinating efforts to identify bold new concepts with the potential to contribute to significant improvements in the efficiency of radio spectrum utilization, protection of passive sensing services, and in the ability for traditionally underserved Americans to benefit from current and future wireless-enabled goods and services. EARS seeks to fund innovative collaborative research that transcends the traditional boundaries of existing programs, such as research that spans disciplines covered by two or more of the participating NSF directorates.

Cognizant Program Officer(s):

Please note that the following information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

- Glen Langston, telephone: (703) 292-4937, email: glangsto@nsf.gov
- Lawrence S. Goldberg, ENG/ECCS, telephone: (703) 292-8339, email: lgoldber@nsf.gov
- George Haddad, ENG/ECCS, telephone: (703) 292-8897, email: ghaddad@nsf.gov
- Wenjing Lou, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703) 292-8950, email: wlou@nsf.gov
- Muralidharan S. Nair, ENG SBIR/STTR, telephone: (703) 292-7059, email: mnair@nsf.gov
- Thyagarajan Nandagopal, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703) 292-8950, email: tnandago@nsf.gov

Applicable Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number(s):

- 47.041 --- Engineering
- 47.049 --- Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- 47.070 --- Computer and Information Science and Engineering
Award Information

Anticipated Type of Award: Standard Grant
Estimated Number of Awards: 20 to 25
Each proposal may request up to $750,000 in total funding over a period of up to three years.
Anticipated Funding Amount: $15,000,000

Eligibility Information

Who May Submit Proposals:
Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Universities and Colleges - Universities and two- and four-year colleges (including community colleges) accredited in, and having a campus located in, the US acting on behalf of their faculty members. Such organizations also are referred to as academic institutions.
- Non-profit, non-academic organizations: Independent museums, observatories, research labs, professional societies and similar organizations in the U.S. associated with educational or research activities.

Who May Serve as PI:
There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:
There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or Co-PI:
A proposer may be a Principal Investigator (PI) or co-PI on up to two proposals.

Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

- Letters of Intent: Not required
- Preliminary Proposal Submission: Not required
- Full Proposals:

B. Budgetary Information

- Cost Sharing Requirements: Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.
- Indirect Cost (F&A) Limitations: Not Applicable
- Other Budgetary Limitations: Not Applicable

C. Due Dates

- Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. proposer's local time):
  - June 02, 2015

Proposal Review Information Criteria

Merit Review Criteria: National Science Board approved criteria. Additional merit review considerations apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

Award Administration Information

Award Conditions: Standard NSF award conditions apply.
Reporting Requirements: Standard NSF reporting requirements apply.
I. INTRODUCTION

The radio frequency (RF) spectrum is a finite but exceedingly valuable natural resource that facilitates a tremendous variety of applications and services. Some of the most prevalent examples include radio and television broadcasting, cellular phones, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, broadband wireless Internet access, GPS, radar, solar flares forecasting, weather satellites, near-Earth asteroid monitoring, and military/government/public safety communications, among a multitude of others. During the past two decades, the use of the radio spectrum has intensified and expanded enormously. Wireless systems have proven to be a major productivity tool for every sector of the national economy and have become integrated into the fabric of our society. As they have proliferated and new applications emerge, precious spectrum resources are in ever-greater demand.

A number of recent reports have demonstrated the need for research, development, experimentation, and testing of innovative spectrum-sharing technologies. In 2010, the President issued a memorandum entitled "Unleashing the Wireless Broadband Revolution," which, among other directives, calls on the federal government to identify 500 MHz of spectrum to be made available for wireless broadband use, and specifically calls on the NSF to work with the Department of Commerce and other federal agencies to "create and implement a plan to facilitate research, development, experimentation, and testing by researchers to explore innovative spectrum-sharing technologies. In 2012, the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) released a report that recommended sharing of up to 1,000 MHz of federal government radio spectrum with non-government entities. In 2013, the President issued another memorandum - "Expanding America's Leadership in Wireless Innovation" - which once again called for increased collaboration in enabling spectrum sharing.

While additional spectrum for wireless broadband and communications uses is desirable, certain parts of the radio spectrum must be protected from any human-induced RF activity. These include parts of the radio spectrum allocated to passive services such as radio astronomy and earth exploration remote sensing, which provide many benefits to society such as El Niño and weather prediction, and solar storm forecast, among others. Unlike active communications wherein a signal is transmitted by a device and measured by another device at some distance, passive sensors only receive very weak noise-like RF signals emitted by natural phenomena. Therefore, these passive services are very vulnerable to RF interference (RFI) due to transmitter harmonics, modulation products and other unwanted emissions, even from neighboring frequency bands. The frequencies used to measure many space and earth parameters are dictated by the physical processes and cannot be chosen arbitrarily. In order to measure these weak signals, passive sensing devices have high sensitivities, often well below -200 dBW/m2/Hz and their receivers can easily be saturated or even damaged by RFI from active transmitters that may be far away from these sensors. Previous RFI mitigation techniques have focused on real-time antenna-based digital processing for beamforming that performs spatial excision with some data loss, adaptive noise cancellation filtering using FFT of incoming signals, which helps if no spectral information is important, and spatial null steering, among others. The further development of these and other innovative techniques would potentially allow effective sharing of RF spectrum for communications while minimizing the data loss to passive sensing applications used in radio astronomy and earth exploration.

In August 2010, NSF funded a workshop on the topic of Enhancing Access to the Radio Spectrum (EARS). The charge to the workshop was "to identify interdisciplinary research opportunities that will lead to future enhancements in the efficiency by which the radio spectrum is used, and that will enhance the ability of all Americans to access broadband wireless services and realize other benefits derived from efficient spectrum use." Researchers at the workshop had expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including physical sciences, mathematics, engineering, computer science, economics, and public policy. A key objective was to identify the intersections of the individual disciplines relevant to radio spectrum efficiency and access. The final report of the workshop is available at http://www.nsf.gov/mps/ast/ears_workshop_final_report.pdf. A federal inter-agency group, the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Wireless Spectrum R&D Senior Steering Group (WSRD) has engaged federal government agencies, academic and industrial representatives in a series of workshops. The reports of these workshops are available at https://www.nitrd.gov/nitrdgroups/index.php?title=WRSpring_Spectrum_Research_and_Development_%28WSRD%29. With these in mind, NSF seeks to help reach the nation's broadband goals, alleviate growing pressure on limited spectrum resources, and enhance access to spectrum for passive remote sensing. Innovative approaches, technologies, and policies will be required to enable more flexible and efficient access to the radio spectrum.
II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

While NSF funds a variety of wireless research and development within specific disciplines across the Foundation, the EARS program targets innovative and potentially transformational research that carefully considers the interplay of science, engineering, technology, applications, economics, social sciences, and public policy on spectrum efficiency and access. A unique merit review criterion for the EARS program is how a proposed research endeavor addresses the program's objectives across two or more disciplinary boundaries. Such considerations should be addressed through substantive components of the proposed research. The solicitation seeks effective collaborations in areas where such interdisciplinary collaboration is presently uncommon. The proposer is asked to show how the disciplines will be integrated in the context of the project as part of the research plan in the Project Description.

Please note: Projects supported under EARS must include a clear description of the nature of any collaboration as a separate component of the project description. Collaboration with a U.S. small business concern (http://www.nsf.gov/eng/ip/sbir/definitions.jsp#sbc) is allowed as a means to accelerate technology transfer. Such entities may participate only as a sub-awardee.

The key research areas of interest to the EARS program include, but are not limited to, those that impact a wide range of technologies, applications, and users. Some broad examples and general topic areas include, but are not limited to:

- Techniques enabling scientific use of frequency ranges allocated to commercial services. Some scientific programs such as radio astronomy and passive earth remote sensing require short duration, but very radio quiet, passive uses of particular frequency ranges determined by the physical properties under study.
- Spectral accounting techniques enabling equitable sharing are particularly relevant. The development and enhancement of RFI detection and suppression techniques for use in RFI cancellation and filtering such as kurtosis test, matched filtering and polarization based algorithm (used for highly polarized RFI sources), and multiple antenna algorithms (for interferometric radio telescope arrays) is encouraged so that more spectrum can be shared with active services.
- Spectral efficiency. Suitable topic areas include innovations that improve spectral efficiency on an instantaneous basis, such as mitigation of unwanted emissions, improvements in filter technology, interference cancellation, etc.; and improvements on a system-wide basis, such as dynamic spectrum access, frequency re-use, and innovative millimeter wave and THz frequency devices and systems. Advanced spectrum sensing techniques are needed to quickly and accurately identify transmission opportunities over a wide very spectrum pool that may host a large number of different wireless services.
- Reconfigurable wireless platforms, such as cognitive radio, software-defined radio, novel hardware/software co-design, adaptive antennas, etc., to dynamically implement incentive mechanisms and spectrum policy, facilitate the coexistence of multiple dynamic spectrum access networks, and optimize network performance. Implication of advances in software-defined networking on current spectrum management processes and emerging spectrum-sharing approaches.
- Security and privacy solutions in the context of spectrum sharing. Mechanisms that can enforce the proper operation of dynamic spectrum access and be robust against malicious attacks.
- Coexistence with legacy systems, such as backwards and forward interoperability and compatibility. A major challenge of moving to a new and more efficient spectrum-use model will be a lengthy and complex transition period that will allow for the co-existence of new systems and regulations with the multitude of existing legacy systems and regulations.
- Special-purpose wireless systems. Such systems may be difficult to accommodate within bold new spectrum-use models because of fundamental limitations on frequency agility due to basic operational requirements, extreme sensitivity to interference, or potentially drastic consequences due to failure of a radio frequency (RF) link. Innovative solutions for accommodating such systems are needed. These systems may include medical devices, surveillance, remote sensing, and passive systems such as radio telescopes.
- Wireless system tests, measurements, and validation. New technology that can result in improvements in spectrum efficiency and access will require new test and measurement solutions and standards and regulatory validation. In addition, measurements and metrics to establish existing and future levels of spectrum occupancy and efficiency will be required.
- Economic models for spectrum resource sharing. There exists a need for interdisciplinary research in the areas of market- and non-market-based mechanisms for spectrum access and usage to efficiently organize the sharing of scarce spectrum resources. Examples of research themes include, but are not limited to, real-time auctions, market design, spectrum valuation, spectrum management for the home user, and managing mixed-rights spectrum.
- New and novel measurement-based spectrum management techniques, including agent-based systems, policy-based spectrum management, and local and scalable spectrum management. Methods that enable math and physical science research such as passive sensing for radio astronomy and earth exploration are of particular interest.
- Novel network radio architecture facilitating the interplay between network layers and enabling more network functionalities, e.g., network topology awareness, network coding, cross-layer optimization, and multiple-input–multiple-output (MIMO).
- Energy-efficient and robust spectrum sensing and allocation mechanisms and protocol support.

Proposers may wish to refer to the EARS workshop report and to the reports of the WSRD workshops for more details and additional areas of interest. However, these lists are not meant to be limiting. EARS will give full consideration to all crosscutting proposals with viable innovative ideas for increasing radio spectrum efficiency and access. Crosscutting proposals that address the unique challenges facing passive remote sensing are strongly encouraged.

Other federal government agencies have expressed particular interest in research supported under the EARS program. Those agencies include the US Army Research Laboratory (ARL), Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA), Department of Energy/Idaho National Laboratory (DOE/INL), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Department of Justice (DOJ), National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), and the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA). While these agencies are not contributing directly to the program, it is the intent of NSF's EARS program to support research with as broad a range of applicability as possible. Some of these agencies may contribute their expertise in the proposal review process. In addition, PIs with a need for a large-scale wireless testbed may be able to arrange access to Idaho National Laboratory's 890-square-mile facility in southeastern Idaho as part of their EARS-funded project. Please contact a cognizant EARS program officer in advance of preparing your proposal.
It is the intent of the EARS program to develop a broad portfolio across the various topical areas in physical sciences, radio astronomy, engineering, computer and information science, mathematics, and social, behavioral, and economic sciences. New areas of collaboration are strongly encouraged. The aim of the EARS program is to support projects for which the collective effort by a group of researchers with complementary expertise is necessary to reach the scientific goals. The researchers in the group may come from more than one institution or organization. Awards made under EARS are intended to foster synergy between the disciplines and between the researchers in the group that cannot easily be achieved with individual grants. Proposals will be judged in part by the level of collaboration involved, and awardees will be expected to show evidence of collaboration in their annual progress reports. One measure of interdisciplinary is the extent to which the proposed research spans disciplines covered by two or more of the participating NSF directorates.

Prospective investigators in the EARS program should carefully consider whether a planned proposal is best suited for the EARS program or for an existing disciplinary program, keeping in mind that NSF does not accept substantially overlapping proposals that are submitted to different programs simultaneously without prior approval. EARS is not intended to be used for proposals that are appropriate for existing funding mechanisms or that continue well-established practices. Potential PIs are encouraged to contact one of the cognizant program officers before submitting a proposal.

Important: The EARS program will not provide support for:

- Research and development of a specific wireless system, unless the results of the research are directly applicable to the broader goals of the EARS program and that connection is clearly established in the proposal
- The acquisition of general wireless infrastructure that is not for research purposes
- Ongoing operating costs of existing wireless facilities
- The acquisition of new or updated radio systems
- Routine spectrum management functions
- Legal fees related to the creation or protection of intellectual property rights

Proposals requesting funding for any of these items will be considered not responsive to this solicitation and returned without review.

Please note: Each proposal budget must include funding for travel to Washington DC for a PI or Co-PI and up to one other project participant to attend a two-day EARS PI meeting. It is anticipated that the meeting will be held once each year and the project team members are required to attend. Limited funding will be available for other interested parties to attend this meeting. Contact the cognizant program officer for further information.

III. AWARD INFORMATION

Anticipated Type of Award: Standard Grant

Estimated Number of Awards: Approximately 20-25 in FY 2015. Each proposal may request up to $750,000 in total funding over a period of up to three years.

Anticipated Funding Amount: $15,000,000 in FY 2015

IV. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

Who May Submit Proposals:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Universities and Colleges - Universities and two- and four-year colleges (including community colleges) accredited in, and having a campus located in, the US acting on behalf of their faculty members. Such organizations also are referred to as academic institutions.
- Non-profit, non-academic organizations: Independent museums, observatories, research labs, professional societies and similar organizations in the U.S. associated with educational or research activities.

Who May Serve as PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or Co-PI:

A proposer may be a Principal Investigator (PI) or co-PI on up to two proposals.

V. PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

Full Proposal Preparation Instructions: Proposers may opt to submit proposals in response to this Program Solicitation via
VI. NSF PROPOSAL PROCESSING AND REVIEW PROCEDURES

Proposals received by NSF are assigned to the appropriate NSF program for acknowledgement and, if they meet NSF requirements, for review. All proposals are carefully reviewed by a scientist, engineer, or educator serving as an NSF Program Officer, and usually by three to ten other persons outside NSF either as ad hoc reviewers, panelists, or both, who are experts in the particular fields.
represented by the proposal. These reviewers are selected by Program Officers charged with oversight of the review process. Proposers are invited to suggest names of persons they believe are especially well qualified to review the proposal and/or persons they would prefer not review the proposal. These suggestions may serve as one source in the reviewer selection process at the Program Officer's discretion. Submission of such names, however, is optional. Care is taken to ensure that reviewers have no conflicts of interest with the proposal. In addition, Program Officers may obtain comments from site visits before recommending final action on proposals. Senior NSF staff further review recommendations for awards. A flowchart that depicts the entire NSF proposal and award process (and associated timeline) is included in the GPG as Exhibit III-1.

A comprehensive description of the Foundation's merit review process is available on the NSF website at:


Proposers should also be aware of core strategies that are essential to the fulfillment of NSF's mission, as articulated in Investing in Science, Engineering, and Education for the Nation's Future: NSF Strategic Plan for 2014-2018. These strategies are integrated in the program planning and implementation process, of which proposal review is one part. NSF's mission is particularly well-implemented through the integration of research and education and broadening participation in NSF programs, projects, and activities.

One of the strategic objectives in support of NSF's mission is to foster integration of research and education through the programs, projects, and activities it supports at academic and research institutions. These institutions must recruit, train, and prepare a diverse STEM workforce to advance the frontiers of science and participate in the U.S. technology-based economy. NSF's contribution to the national innovation ecosystem is to provide cutting-edge research under the guidance of the Nation's most creative scientists and engineers. NSF also supports development of a strong science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) workforce by investing in building the knowledge that informs improvements in STEM teaching and learning.

NSF's mission calls for the broadening of opportunities and expanding participation of groups, institutions, and geographic regions that are underrepresented in STEM disciplines, which is essential to the health and vitality of science and engineering. NSF is committed to this principle of diversity and deems it central to the programs, projects, and activities it considers and supports.

A. Merit Review Principles and Criteria

The National Science Foundation strives to invest in a robust and diverse portfolio of projects that creates new knowledge and enables breakthroughs in understanding across all areas of science and engineering research and education. To identify which projects to support, NSF relies on a merit review process that incorporates consideration of both the technical aspects of a proposed project and its potential to contribute more broadly to advancing NSF's mission "to promote the progress of science; to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare; to secure the national defense; and for other purposes." NSF makes every effort to conduct a fair, competitive, transparent merit review process for the selection of projects.

1. Merit Review Principles

These principles are to be given due diligence by PIs and organizations when preparing proposals and managing projects, by reviewers when reading and evaluating proposals, and by NSF program staff when determining whether or not to recommend proposal for funding and while overseeing awards. Given that NSF is the primary federal agency charged with nurturing and supporting excellence in basic research and education, the following three principles apply:

- All NSF projects should be of the highest quality and have the potential to advance, if not transform, the frontiers of knowledge.
- NSF projects, in the aggregate, should contribute more broadly to achieving societal goals. These "Broader Impacts" may be accomplished through the research itself, through activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complementary to, the project. The project activities may be based on previously established and/or innovative methods and approaches, but in either case must be well justified.
- Meaningful assessment and evaluation of NSF funded projects should be based on appropriate metrics, keeping in mind the likely correlation between the effect of broader impacts and the resources provided to implement projects. If the size of the activity is limited, evaluation of that activity in isolation is not likely to be meaningful. Thus, assessing the effectiveness of these activities may best be done at a higher, more aggregated, level than the individual project.

With respect to the third principle, even if assessment of Broader Impacts outcomes for particular projects is done at an aggregated level, PIs are expected to be accountable for carrying out the activities described in the funded project. Thus, individual projects should include clearly stated goals, specific descriptions of the activities that the PI intends to do, and a plan in place to document the outputs of those activities.

These three merit review principles provide the basis for the merit review criteria, as well as a context within which the users of the criteria can better understand their intent.

2. Merit Review Criteria

All NSF proposals are evaluated through use of the two National Science Board approved merit review criteria. In some instances, however, NSF will employ additional criteria as required to highlight the specific objectives of certain programs and activities. The two merit review criteria are listed below. Both criteria are to be given full consideration during the review and decision-making processes; each criterion is necessary but neither, by itself, is sufficient. Therefore, proposers must fully address both criteria. (GPG Chapter II.C.2.d.i. contains additional information for use by proposers in development of the Proposal Description section of the proposal.) Reviewers are strongly encouraged to review the criteria, including GPG Chapter II.C.2.d.i., prior to the review of a proposal.

When evaluating NSF proposals, reviewers will be asked to consider what the proposers want to do, why they want to do it, how they plan to do it, how they will know if they succeed, and what benefits could accrue if the project is successful. These issues apply both to the technical aspects of the proposal and the way in which the project may make broader contributions. To that end, reviewers will be asked to evaluate all proposals against two criteria:

- **Intellectual Merit:** The Intellectual Merit criterion encompasses the potential to advance knowledge; and
- **Broader Impacts:** The Broader Impacts criterion encompasses the potential to benefit society and contribute to the achievement of specific, desired societal outcomes.

The following elements should be considered in the review for both criteria:

1. What is the potential for the proposed activity to
a. Advance knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields (Intellectual Merit); and
b. Benefit society or advance desired societal outcomes (Broader Impacts)?
2. To what extent do the proposed activities suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts?
3. Is the plan for carrying out the proposed activities well-reasoned, well-organized, and based on a sound rationale? Does the plan incorporate a mechanism to assess success?
4. How well qualified is the individual, team, or organization to conduct the proposed activities?
5. Are there adequate resources available to the PI (either at the home organization or through collaborations) to carry out the proposed activities?

Broader impacts may be accomplished through the research itself, through the activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complimentary to, the project. NSF values the advancement of scientific knowledge and activities that contribute to achievement of societal relevant outcomes. Such outcomes include, but are not limited to: full participation of women, persons with disabilities, and underrepresented minorities in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM); improved STEM education and educator development at any level; increased public scientific literacy and public engagement with science and technology; improved well-being of individuals in society; development of a diverse, globally competitive STEM workforce; increased partnerships between academia, industry, and others; improved national security; increased economic competitiveness of the United States; and enhanced infrastructure for research and education.

Proposers are reminded that reviewers will also be asked to review the Data Management Plan and the Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan, as appropriate.

Additional Solicitation Specific Review Criteria

Increasing spectrum efficiency and access requires careful consideration of the interplay of physical sciences; engineering; computer and information science; mathematics; technology; applications; the social, economic and behavioral sciences; and public policy. An EARS proposal must address questions at the interfaces of more than one discipline, as opposed to incorporating disciplinary contributions additively. The proposal must identify and justify how the project is interdisciplinary, for example by:

- Combining concepts/methods from multiple fields in new, surprising ways;
- Proposing problem-driven research that requires a comprehensive and integrative approach to a grand challenge issue;
- Raising new fundamental questions or interesting new directions for research at the interface of disciplines; or
- Making major changes in understanding by integrating existing concepts or methods in new ways to address complex phenomena.

The justification must be specific, e.g., what form of conventional wisdom is being challenged and what is the pathway and potential for overturning it. Reviewers will be asked to consider the extent to which a proposal addresses the issue across two or more traditionally separate disciplines in terms of substantive components of the proposed research. Collaboration between PIs and co-PIs with expertise in separate disciplines is highly encouraged. One measure of interdisciplinary research may be the extent to which the proposed research spans disciplines covered by two or more of the participating NSF directorates.

B. Review and Selection Process

Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation will be reviewed by Ad hoc Review and/or Panel Review.

Reviewers will be asked to evaluate proposals using two National Science Board approved merit review criteria and, if applicable, additional program specific criteria. A summary rating and accompanying narrative will be completed and submitted by each reviewer. The Program Officer assigned to manage the proposal's review will consider the advice of reviewers and will formulate a recommendation.

After scientific, technical and programmatic review and consideration of appropriate factors, the NSF Program Officer recommends to the cognizant Division Director whether the proposal should be declined or recommended for award. NSF strives to be able to tell applicants whether their proposals have been declined or recommended for funding within six months. Large or particularly complex proposals or proposals from new awardees may require additional review and processing time. The time interval begins on the deadline or target date, or receipt date, whichever is later. The interval ends when the Division Director acts upon the Program Officer's recommendation.

After programmatic approval has been obtained, the proposals recommended for funding will be forwarded to the Division of Grants and Agreements for review of business, financial, and policy implications. After an administrative review has occurred, Grants and Agreements Officers perform the processing and issuance of a grant or other agreement. Proposers are cautioned that only a Grants and Agreements Officer may make commitments, obligations or awards on behalf of NSF or authorize the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of NSF should be inferred from technical or budgetary discussions with a NSF Program Officer. A Principal Investigator or organization that makes financial or personnel commitments in the absence of a grant or cooperative agreement signed by the NSF Grants and Agreements Officer does so at their own risk.

Once an award or declination decision has been made, Principal Investigators are provided feedback about their proposals. In all cases, reviews are treated as confidential documents. Verbatim copies of reviews, excluding the names of the reviewers or any reviewer-identifying information, are sent to the Principal Investigator/Project Director by the Program Officer. In addition, the proposer will receive an explanation of the decision to award or decline funding.

VII. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Notification of the Award

Notification of the award is made to the submitting organization by a Grants Officer in the Division of Grants and Agreements. Organizations whose proposals are declined will be advised as promptly as possible by the cognizant NSF Program administering the program. Verbatim copies of reviews, not including the identity of the reviewer, will be provided automatically to the Principal Investigator. (See Section VI.B. for additional information on the review process.)

B. Award Conditions
An NSF award consists of: (1) the award notice, which includes any special provisions applicable to the award and any numbered amendments thereto; (2) the budget, which indicates the amounts, by categories of expense, on which NSF has based its support (or otherwise communicates any specific approvals or disapprovals of proposed expenditures); (3) the proposal referenced in the award notice; (4) the applicable award conditions, such as Grant General Conditions (GC-1)*; or Research Terms and Conditions* and (5) any announcement or other NSF issuance that may be incorporated by reference in the award notice. Cooperative agreements also are administered in accordance with NSF Cooperative Agreement Financial and Administrative Terms and Conditions (CA-FATC) and the applicable Programmatic Terms and Conditions. NSF awards are electronically signed by an NSF Grants and Agreements Officer and transmitted electronically to the organization via e-mail.

*These documents may be accessed electronically on NSF's Website at http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/award_conditions.jsp?org=NSF. Paper copies may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.


C. Reporting Requirements

For all multi-year grants (including both standard and continuing grants), the Principal Investigator must submit an annual project report to the cognizant Program Officer at least 90 days prior to the end of the current budget period. (Some programs or awards require submission of more frequent project reports). Within 90 days following expiration of a grant, the PI also is required to submit a final project report, and a project outcomes report for the general public.

Failure to provide the required annual or final project reports, or the project outcomes report, will delay NSF review and processing of any future funding increments as well as any pending proposals for all identified PIs and co-PIs on a given award. PIs should examine the formats of the required reports in advance to assure availability of required data.

PIs are required to use NSF's electronic project-reporting system, available through Research.gov, for preparation and submission of annual and final project reports. Such reports provide information on accomplishments, project participants (individual and organizational), publications, and other specific products and impacts of the project. Submission of the report via Research.gov constitutes certification by the PI that the contents of the report are accurate and complete. The project outcomes report also must be prepared and submitted using Research.gov. This report serves as a brief summary, prepared specifically for the public, of the nature and outcomes of the project. This report will be posted on the NSF website exactly as it is submitted by the PI.


VIII. AGENCY CONTACTS

Please note that the program contact information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

General inquiries regarding this program should be made to:

- Glen Langston, telephone: (703) 292-4937, email: glangsto@nsf.gov
- Lawrence S. Goldberg, ENG/ECCS, telephone: (703) 292-8339, email: lgoldber@nsf.gov
- George Haddad, ENG/ECCS, telephone: (703) 292-8897, email: ghaddad@nsf.gov
- Wenjing Lou, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703) 292-8950, email: wlou@nsf.gov
- Muralidharan S. Nair, ENG SBIR/STTR, telephone: (703) 292-7059, email: mnair@nsf.gov
- Thyagarajan Nandagopal, CISE/CNS, telephone: (703) 292-8950, email: tnandago@nsf.gov

For questions related to the use of FastLane, contact:

- FastLane Help Desk, telephone: 1-800-673-6188; e-mail: fastlane@nsf.gov.

For questions relating to Grants.gov contact:

- Grants.gov Contact Center; If the Authorized Organizational Representatives (AOR) has not received a confirmation message from Grants.gov within 48 hours of submission of application, please contact via telephone: 1-800-518-4726; e-mail: support@grants.gov.

IX. OTHER INFORMATION

The NSF website provides the most comprehensive source of information on NSF Directorates (including contact information), programs and funding opportunities. Use of this website by potential proposers is strongly encouraged. In addition, "NSF Update" is an information-delivery system designed to keep potential proposers and other interested parties apprised of new NSF funding opportunities and publications, important changes in proposal and award policies and procedures, and upcoming NSF Grants Conferences. Subscribers are informed through e-mail or the user's Web browser each time new publications are issued that match their identified interests. "NSF Update" also is available on NSF's website at https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USNSF/subscriber/new?topic_id=USNSF_179.
Grants.gov provides an additional electronic capability to search for Federal government-wide grant opportunities. NSF funding opportunities may be accessed via this mechanism. Further information on Grants.gov may be obtained at http://www.grants.gov.

ABOUT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

The National Science Foundation (NSF) is an independent Federal agency created by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 USC 1861-75). The Act states the purpose of the NSF is “to promote the progress of science; [and] to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare by supporting research and education in all fields of science and engineering.”

NSF funds research and education in most fields of science and engineering. It does this through grants and cooperative agreements to more than 2,000 colleges, universities, K-12 school systems, businesses, informal science organizations and other research organizations throughout the US. The Foundation accounts for about one-fourth of Federal support to academic institutions for basic research.

NSF receives approximately 55,000 proposals each year for research, education and training projects, of which approximately 11,000 are funded. In addition, the Foundation receives several thousand applications for graduate and postdoctoral fellowships. The agency operates no laboratories itself but does support National Research Centers, user facilities, certain oceanographic vessels and Arctic and Antarctic research stations. The Foundation also supports cooperative research between universities and industry, US participation in international scientific and engineering efforts, and educational activities at every academic level.

Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities provide funding for special assistance or equipment to enable persons with disabilities to work on NSF-supported projects. See Grant Proposal Guide Chapter II, Section D.2 for instructions regarding preparation of these types of proposals.

The National Science Foundation has Telephonic Device for the Deaf (TDD) and Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) capabilities that enable individuals with hearing impairments to communicate with the Foundation about NSF programs, employment or general information. TDD may be accessed at (703) 292-5090 and (800) 281-8749, FIRS at (800) 877-8339.

The National Science Foundation Information Center may be reached at (703) 292-5111.

The National Science Foundation promotes and advances scientific progress in the United States by competitively awarding grants and cooperative agreements for research and education in the sciences, mathematics, and engineering.

To get the latest information about program deadlines, to download copies of NSF publications, and to access abstracts of awards, visit the NSF Website at http://www.nsf.gov

- Location: 4201 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22230
- For General Information (NSF Information Center): (703) 292-5111
- TDD (for the hearing-impaired): (703) 292-5090
- To Order Publications or Forms:
  - Send an e-mail to: nsfpubs@nsf.gov
  - Telephone: (703) 292-7827
- To Locate NSF Employees: (703) 292-5111

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENTS

The information requested on proposal forms and project reports is solicited under the authority of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended. The information on proposal forms will be used in connection with the selection of qualified proposals; and project reports submitted by awardees will be used for program evaluation and reporting within the Executive Branch and to Congress. The information requested may be disclosed to qualified reviewers and staff assistants as part of the proposal review process; to proposer institutions/grantees to provide or obtain data regarding the proposal review process, award decisions, or the administration of awards; to government contractors, experts, volunteers and researchers and educators as necessary to complete assigned work; to other government agencies or other entities needing information regarding applicants or nominees as part of a joint application review process, or in order to coordinate programs or policy; and to another Federal agency, court, or party in a court or Federal administrative proceeding if the government is a party. Information about Principal Investigators may be added to the Reviewer file and used to select potential candidates to serve as peer reviewers or advisory committee members. See Systems of Records, NSF-50, "Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records," 69 Federal Register 26410 (May 12, 2004), and NSF-51, "Reviewer/Proposal File and Associated Records," 69 Federal Register 26410 (May 12, 2004). Submission of the information is voluntary. Failure to provide full and complete information, however, may reduce the possibility of receiving an award.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 3145-0058. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 120 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions. Send comments regarding the burden estimate and any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Suzanne H. Plimpton