Management and Operation of the Advanced Modular Incoherent Scatter Radar (AMISR) Facilities at Poker Flat, AK, and Resolute Bay, Canada (AMISR-M&O)

PROGRAM SOLICITATION

NSF 17-539



National Science Foundation

Directorate for Geosciences
Division of Atmospheric and Geospace Sciences

Preliminary Proposal Due Date(s) (required) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

May 01, 2017

Deadline for submission

Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

October 03, 2017

Deadline for submission

IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND REVISION NOTES

Any proposal submitted in response to this solicitation should be submitted in accordance with the revised NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide (PAPPG) (NSF 17-1), which is effective for proposals submitted, or due, on or after January 30, 2017.

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

General Information

Program Title:

Management and Operation of the Advanced Modular Incoherent Scatter Radar (AMISR) Facilities at Poker Flat, AK, and Resolute Bay, Canada (AMISR-M&O)

Synopsis of Program:

NSF hereby solicits proposals to manage and operate each of the two Advanced Modular Incoherent Scatter Radar (AMISR) observatories located at Poker Flat, AK, and at Resolute Bay, Canada. The two AMISR facilities will serve national goals in Geospace science research and education. These facilities are designated as Poker Flat Incoherent Scatter Radar (PFISR) and Resolute Bay Incoherent Scatter Radar - North Face (RISR-N), respectively.

Awardees would work closely with NSF and the Geospace scientific community to ensure that each AMISR facility supports, sustains, and advances frontier Geospace science. In cooperation with NSF and within available resources, the Awardees would plan and execute a viable, coherent, and inclusive AMISR observing program to support research and education, consistent with guidance and oversight by the Geospace scientific community.

Proposals should describe how the proposing institution(s) would: (1) provide observing capabilities and scientific data distribution centering upon the use of the one or the other AMISR facility; (2) support the needs of NSF-funded, peer-reviewed research and education projects; (3) foster an integrated program of education, workforce development, and outreach; (4) develop, manage, and maintain the AMISR facility capabilities; and (5) establish appropriate partnerships with the community, both national and international, to support AMISR research goals.

NSF anticipates that successful proposals for management and operations (M&O) of each AMISR facility would be awarded as a separate cooperative agreement (CA). As necessary, the Awardees will define and execute a budgeted, scheduled, and tracked project plan to manage any transition from the current to the proposed model of AMISR M&O for each AMISR facility. Each cooperative agreement would have a duration of five years contingent on the availability of funds and the successful outcome of comprehensive external reviews of Awardees performance and facility success. Such an award would be renewable for an additional five years after peer review.

Cognizant Program Officer(s):

Please note that the following information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

John W. Meriwether, Program Director, Geospace Facilities, telephone: (703) 292-8529, email: jmeriwet@nsf.gov

Applicable Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number(s):

47.050 --- Geosciences

Award Information

Anticipated Type of Award: Cooperative Agreement

Estimated Number of Awards: 2

One for Poker Flat Incoherent Scatter Radar (PFISR)

One for Resolute Bay Incoherent Scatter Radar North Face (RISR-N)

Anticipated Funding Amount: \$15,000,000

Anticipated Award Amounts: up to \$6,000,000 for PFISR up to \$9,000,000 for RISR-N

This is the projected total amount of NSF funding for the 5-year duration of all awards starting in FY2018 and subject to the availability of funding. NSF anticipates any awards would be for an initial duration of five years, with the possibility of renewal for a further five years contingent on performance, peer review, and the availability of funds.

Eligibility Information

Who May Submit Proposals:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Universities and Colleges Universities and two- and four-year colleges (including community colleges) accredited in, and having a
 campus located in, the US acting on behalf of their faculty members. Such organizations also are referred to as academic institutions.
- Non-profit, non-academic organizations: Independent museums, observatories, research labs, professional societies and similar organizations in the U.S. associated with educational or research activities.

Who May Serve as PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or Co-PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

- · Letters of Intent: Not required
- Preliminary Proposals: Submission of Preliminary Proposals is required. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.
- · Full Proposals:
 - Full Proposals submitted via FastLane: NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide (PAPPG) guidelines apply. The complete
 text of the PAPPG is available electronically on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.
 - Full Proposals submitted via Grants.gov: NSF Grants.gov Application Guide: A Guide for the Preparation and Submission of NSF Applications via Grants.gov guidelines apply (Note: The NSF Grants.gov Application Guide is available on the Grants.gov website and on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=grantsgovguide).

B. Budgetary Information

. Cost Sharing Requirements:

Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.

• Indirect Cost (F&A) Limitations:

Not Applicable

• Other Budgetary Limitations:

Other budgetary limitations apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

C. Due Dates

• Preliminary Proposal Due Date(s) (required) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

May 01, 2017

Deadline for submission

• Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

Deadline for submission

Proposal Review Information Criteria

Merit Review Criteria:

National Science Board approved criteria. Additional merit review considerations apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

Award Administration Information

Award Conditions:

Additional award conditions apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

Reporting Requirements:

Standard NSF reporting requirements apply.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary of Program Requirements

- I. Introduction
- **II. Program Description**
- **III. Award Information**
- IV. Eligibility Information
- V. Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions
 - A. Proposal Preparation Instructions
 B. Budgetary Information

 - C. Due Dates
 - D. FastLane/Grants.gov Requirements

VI. NSF Proposal Processing and Review Procedures

- A. Merit Review Principles and Criteria
 B. Review and Selection Process

VII. Award Administration Information

- A. Notification of the Award
- **B.** Award Conditions
- C. Reporting Requirements
- VIII. Agency Contacts
- IX. Other Information

I. INTRODUCTION

The National Science Foundation (NSF) is authorized by the National Science Act of 1950, as amended, to initiate and support basic and applied scientific research and to initiate and support programs to strengthen scientific research potential. To achieve these goals, NSF supports facilities that provide research capabilities in various scientific disciplines. Each Advanced Modular Incoherent Scatter Radar (AMISR) facility will provide services and infrastructure to support research and education in the Geospace sciences.

This solicitation is solely for the **management and operation** (M&O) of the AMISR facilities at Poker Flat, AK, and at Resolute Bay, Canada, for user and inhouse research activities. It is anticipated that the competition would result in two separate awards, one for each AMISR site. Proposing organizations are encouraged to present creative but realistic plans to deal with the long-term budget constraints imposed on the Geospace Facilities program.

II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

A. Background

Since the early 1970s, AGS has supported four incoherent scatter radar (ISR) facilities at Jicamarca, Arecibo, Millstone Hill, and Sondrestrom to provide range-resolved measurements of ionospheric parameters (electron density, ion and electron temperatures, the bulk plasma velocity, and the ion-neutral collision frequency) for the purpose of basic research. These ISR observatories were constructed for Department of Defense clients for defense-related missions, and then, using NSF funding they were re-purposed to support peer-reviewed Geospace research studies.

In contrast, the Poker Flat Incoherent Scatter (PFISR) and Resolute Incoherent Scatter Radar-North (RISR-N) AMISR facilities were the first ISR systems funded by NSF specifically designed and constructed for the Geospace scientific community. While the older ISR facilities are characterized by massive antenna structures and powerful, centralized transmitters, each AMISR facility uses a phase array modular design with a large number of identical electronic subsystems. Consequently, AMISR facilities have the characteristics of almost instantaneous pulse-to-pulse beam steering, remote accessibility for observing operations, and the ability to tolerate hardware failures of individual antenna element units (AEU).

Completion of the AMISR construction and first light for PFISR and RISR-N by SRI International took place in 2006 and 2009, respectively. A major PFISR upgrade replacing failed components was carried out in 2015-2016. Science and engineering/technician staffing by SRI International, the current awardee, for the two AMISR facilities combined is approximately 3.5 full-time equivalents. In 2015, approximately 12% of the AMISR award went toward the support of science research activities, 30% toward the M&O for RISR-N, 23% toward the M&O expenses for PFISR, and 27% toward general M&O expenses. It is NSF policy that large facility awards will be re-competed after the second 5 year award period is completed, which for the AMISR award will be on February 28, 2017. This award will be extended to July 15, 2018. Consequently, this solicitation invites proposals for the M&O of each AMISR facility with the award period to start after July 15, 2018, after a possible transition period.

B. AMISR Facility Baseline Scope

NSF will accept proposals to provide ongoing operations and maintenance support for each of the two AMISR facilities, provided those proposals meet all requirements described in this solicitation. Each AMISR facility will provide the geophysical capabilities to advance the scientific goals of the AGS-supported research and education community, as articulated in multiple guiding documents, including the Avery report (2004), the ISR report (2008), the NAS 2012 Decadal Survey, and the AGS 2016 Portfolio Review Panel Report. Note that estimated annual budget amounts given below should be viewed as guidelines subject to revision and the availability of funding, and that budgetary and other resource requests in any given proposal must be commensurate with the scope of work therein proposed.

Operation and Maintenance of geophysical instrumentation primarily for use by NSF-funded investigators for targeted research projects with AMISR observations

- The AMISR facilities (PFISR and RISR-N) will provide fundamental long-term observations of range-resolved ionospheric parameters (electron density, ion and electron temperatures, bulk plasma convection motion, and ion-neutral collision frequency). Data from each AMISR observatory will be made freely available to any user without artificial delay or restriction.
- Each AMISR facility will support user-defined experiments in regard to possible AMISR observing plan proposed by an user.
- Each of the two AMISR observatories will provide logistics, field, data ingestion support, and user training to enable investigator-driven research in the
 harsh polar environment of Alaska and Resolute Bay. The AMISR instrument support should include significant capabilities to aid optical and radio
 science research in harsh environments.

A major achievement of the AMISR radar design objectives underlying its modular construction was a relocation capability that might extend the AMISR facility lifecycle.

NSF notes that any AMISR M&O proposal that includes re-deployment of PFISR to a new site of strong geophysical interest if well justified would be
considered. Any expenses of the new location site preparation, relocation, and re-assembly of the AMISR frame structure necessary to house the
AMISR panels would be included as part of the AMISR operations and management proposal budgets.

Data management systems for collection, quality assurance, curation, management, and distribution of a large volume of diverse geophysical data products

 AMISR data management activities should include incorporation of geophysical data and metadata to the Madrigal database or its equivalent from the AMISR facility, PI-driven experiments using the AMISR facility, and other instrumental sources with agreement from NSF.

Education, workforce development, and public outreach programs

• In addition to contributing to Geospace scientific studies, AMISR will provide excellent opportunities for distance learning and training for the next generation of radar experts. Each AMISR award is to support the operations and scientific leadership associated with each AMISR project, and will

ensure that the AMISR radar and the data are effectively used by a broad segment of the national and international scientific community.

AMISR programs should foster integration between researchers, educators, and other experts to ensure those programs are carried out in accordance
with applicable national and community standards and foster greatly expanded participation of underrepresented groups. Examples of such programs
may include, but are not limited to, workshops and short courses; and development of educational materials; software tools, and other distribution
methods.

Awardee management of AMISR observatory providing for Geospace user support and AMISR data distribution

 NSF believes that multiple viable AMISR management structures may exist. However, any viable structure will require professional management by highly dedicated and expert staff, carried out in direct collaboration with the AMISR Geospace community.

C. Description of Awardee Responsibilities

Responsibilities

The Awardee shall be responsible for the management, operation, and maintenance of the AMISR facility in accordance with the proposal submitted in response to this solicitation and Annual Program Operating Plans approved by NSF prior to each year of work. The Awardee shall ensure that AMISR capabilities enable world-class research, education, and related activities in the Geospace sciences by operating and maintaining the AMISR facility, developing and incorporating new capabilities, planning for future new initiatives, supporting a skilled and diverse work force, sustaining innovative and vigorous research and education projects awarded by NSF through the merit review process, and enabling use of AMISR for other critical stakeholder activities.

Expectations of the Awardee

The Awardee shall promote a culture of excellence that meets the highest standards for service and delivery to the scientific community and shall demonstrate a proactive and effective approach to facility management. The Awardee shall carry out all user support and research activities with direct oversight and governance from the Geospace scientific community served by AMISR operations. The Awardee shall be expected to:

- Define and implement an organizational structure for the AMISR facility that provide leadership and service to manage each AMISR facility as a vibrant, user-focused facility.
- Operate the AMISR observatory whether PFISR or RISR-N at full power in the support of high-quality research programs. For example, in 2015, the full
 power mode resulted in 2600 hours for PFISR and 1600 hours for RISR-N.
- When not running in the full power mode, as feasible, operate the PFISR observatory in a low power mode to obtain continual measurements of basic ionospheric parameters.
- Disseminate and publish in a timely manner scientific and technical results and conclusions developed in the course of the project.
- Schedule, coordinate, and plan experiments making use of the PFISR or RISR-N radar system. The awardee should implement a review process for
 evaluating time allocation of the AMISR radars, including steps to announce availability of time to outside scientists, criteria for allocating time,
 procedures for review of requests, and describe a process for resolving disputes.
- Develop and share with the scientific community software for AMISR operating modes, data acquisition, and data analysis that will aid outside users in planning and conducting experiments, as well as in using the AMISR data for scientific research.
- Assist users in the acquisition and analysis of data obtained with each AMISR radar. Contribute reduced data acquired during the coordinated
 community experiments such as those pertaining to the "World Day" experiments or the CEDAR (Coupling, Energetics, and Dynamics of Atmospheric
 Regions) initiative- to the Madrigal data base or its equivalent. The data are to be reduced to physical parameters electron density, electron and ion
 temperatures, and line-of-sight velocities- and where appropriate to electric fields (or vector velocities or electrostatic potential). These data products
 are normally to be sent to the database within two months of data acquisition.
- Convene and organize workshops to facilitate AMISR science planning, demonstrate radar capabilities, and educate scientists and students on radar usage and data analysis and interpretation.
- Establish and maintain an effective, broadly representative, community-based governance and oversight structure to guide each AMISR radar activities. Structure proposed must be based fundamentally on ongoing direct involvement of the scientific community in all aspects of AMISR research.
- · Assist visiting scientists in logistical arrangements, such as transportation, housing, and shipping of auxiliary site instruments.
- Draft and maintain a facility user manual.
- If the proposal makes reference to a possible relocation of PFISR to an alternate site of strong geophysical interest, then the proposal should include specific details regarding the expected relocation timeline, site preparation, AMISR structure engineering requirements, and estimated expenses.
- In the event of multiple Awardees being selected, all Awardees will be expected to coordinate with each other, the scientific community, and NSF to
 ensure that all AMISR activities are carried out efficiently, effectively, and responsively.

Important Considerations in the Preparation of a Proposal

Proposed management and business structures should be described fully in the proposal, including descriptions of:

- 1. The proposed organizational structure, with well-defined lines of authority and responsibility within the organization;
- Business systems capacities, including accounting systems with procedures for auditing and oversight; the ability to segregate and account separately for funding from NSF and that from other sources; procurement staff and processes; a property management system capable of acquiring, tracking and controlling equipment funded by NSF and, separately, equipment funded by other sources; and
- 3. Processes for planning future operations and initiatives carried out in full collaboration with the stakeholder communities.

D. General Information

For the purpose of this solicitation two NSF-maintained Resource Libraries will be established. Proposing organizations should review documents that are being made available through these Resource Libraries. General information will be made available for all organizations submitting preliminary proposals. Access to this library is open - the link to all published AMISR-related document is here: http://amisr.com/amisr/pubs/ and access to a selection of published AMISR technical documents is here: http://www.amisr.com/database/tmp/NSF/AMISRpubs.docx.

For organizations invited to submit full proposals, more specific documentation will be made available in a separate password-protected Resource Library. Access to this material will be granted upon request to the Cognizant Program Officers and may require signing a non-disclosure agreement. Any added and updated material and information relating to this solicitation, including NSF responses to frequently asked questions, will be made available as appropriate.

For additional information on this competition, NSF practices and policies, and/or access to the Resource Libraries that provides further detail, proposing organizations should contact the Cognizant Program Officer (John Meriwether, imeriwet@nsf.gov).

III. AWARD INFORMATION

Estimated program budget, number of awards and average award size/duration are subject to the availability of funds.

A successful proposal will be awarded as a cooperative agreement or in the case of a collaborative proposal, as a cooperative agreement with subawards. NSF anticipates that the initial award commitment will be for five years, with continuation for a maximum of a further five years contingent on the availability of funds and the successful outcome of comprehensive external reviews of Awardee or Awardees performance and facility success over the first five-year period

All budget amounts given herein are for planning purposes only; actual annual funding increments will be determined on the basis of Annual Program Operating Plan(s) submitted by the Awardee(s) to NSF and approved by NSF, subject to the availability of appropriated funds and contingent upon successful performance of the Awardee(s).

If new Awardee(s) are selected to replace any incumbent responsible for AMISR observations, NSF will fund appropriate transition costs. During this transition period, the new awardee will have appropriate access to incumbent personnel and facilities including site visit(s) as deemed necessary.

IV. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

Who May Submit Proposals:

Proposals may only be submitted by the following:

- Universities and Colleges Universities and two- and four-year colleges (including community colleges) accredited in, and having a
 campus located in, the US acting on behalf of their faculty members. Such organizations also are referred to as academic institutions.
- Non-profit, non-academic organizations: Independent museums, observatories, research labs, professional societies and similar organizations in the U.S. associated with educational or research activities.

Who May Serve as PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI or Co-PI:

There are no restrictions or limits.

Additional Eligibility Info:

Consortia may include commercial and international partnerships, but NSF funds may be awarded only to U.S.-based organizations.

V. PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

Preliminary Proposals (*required*): Preliminary proposals are required and must be submitted via the NSF FastLane system, even if full proposals will be submitted via Grants.gov.

Preliminary proposals will be reviewed by NSF staff and selected external reviewers to determine the merit and suitability of the proposed project for the AMISR competition. A subset of applicants will be invited to submit full proposals. Invitations to submit full proposals will be sent by email no later than May 22, 2017.

Preliminary proposals should be submitted by a single institution, with a PI who will be the project lead. If multiple institutions are involved, this information should be included in the lead institution's submission.

The preliminary proposal must include the following:

- 1. Single Copy Documents Proposers must include the following as text searchable PDFs uploaded in Fastlane as Single Copy Documents. Proposals lacking either of these documents will be returned without review. NSF staff will use this information in the merit review process to determine collaborators and other affiliations. The description of content of this document follows:
 - 1. Project Personnel Each preliminary proposal must submit a single unified participant list for the entire project. For each person known at the time of the preliminary proposal submission, provide the last name, first name, and institution/organization. Undergraduate students, graduate students, and postdoctoral researchers not yet specifically identified do not need to be included in this list. This information should be

uploaded in the Single Copy Documents section of FastLane as "Additional Single Copy Documents."

- 2. Collaborators and Other Affiliations Matrix Table (created as a spreadsheet and uploaded as a text-searchable PDF in the Single Copy Documents section of FastLane under "Collaborators and Other Affiliations") Each proposal must include a single table with the names of all individuals associated with the project, including international participants. The table must also include the individuals' collaborators and other affiliations. Collaborators and other affiliations. Collaborators and other affiliations to be identified include (1) Ph.D. thesis advisors or advisees; (2) collaborators or co-authors, including postdoctoral researchers, for the past 48 months; (3) co-editors of special journal volumes or conference proceedings within the past 24 months; (4) any other individuals with whom or institutions with which the senior personnel (PI, co-PIs, and any named personnel, including on subawards) have financial ties, including advisory committees (please specify type); and (5) any other individual who, if asked to review the proposal, might have a potentially disqualifying affiliation as described in the PAPPG, NSF 17-1, Exhibit II-2 (https://www.nsf.gov/pubs/policydocs/pappg17_1/pappg_2.jsp#2ex2). Note that undergraduate students, graduate students, and postdoctoral researchers not yet specifically identified do not need to be included in this list. This table will serve as a specifically defined format for the "Collaborators and Other Affiliations Information" required by the PAPPG. For each participant known at the time of proposal submission, the matrix must include the information according to the following list, alphabetized by Column A and then Column C):
 Column A: Last name and first name (in that order) for the PI, co-PI, Senior Personnel, or consultant (including sub-awardees) on
 - Column A: Last name and first name (in that order) for the PI, co-PI, Senior Personnel, or consultant (including sub-awardees) or project, or any individual or organization providing a letter of collaboration
 - Column B: Institution of individual in column A
 - Column C: Last name and first name (in that order) of the person with whom there is a collaboration or other affiliation for the person in column A
 - Column D: Institution of individual in column C
 - Column E: Type of collaboration or other affiliation
- NSF Cover Page Cover Page with the box labeled "If this is a preliminary proposal" checked. Title The title must begin with "AMISR Preliminary Proposal".
- 3. Project Summary (Maximum 1 page). The project summary should include a brief summary of the collaborating institutions and personnel. It should clearly summarize the major features of the project and explicitly address intellectual merit and broader impacts.
- 4. Project Description (7 pages total; maximum of 5 pages for project description, maximum 2 pages for management plan) The 5 page description should lay out the project objectives, their relationship to the AMISR program goals, and the work plan to accomplish the objectives. The 2 page management plan should include a list of the full set of collaborators and their role in the project, as well as a description of the management plan that addresses how the project and people will be coordinated.
- Biographical Sketches Biographical Sketches of proposed PI, Co-PIs and collaborators from all institutions, must follow the format of the Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide (PAPPG): https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.
 Budget A cumulative budget should be included in the official budget section of the proposal (annual budgets are not necessary). Any amounts going
- 6. Budget A cumulative budget should be included in the official budget section of the proposal (annual budgets are not necessary). Any amounts going to collaborating institutions should be totaled and indicated under subawards. Also include an additional page in the budget justification to show a breakdown of the totals going to each institution listed in the subawards using the same categories used for NSF budgets. This page should be a single spreadsheet showing the total budget by major category and institution.

Full Proposal Preparation Instructions: Proposers may opt to submit proposals in response to this Program Solicitation via Grants.gov or via the NSF Fastl ane system

- Full proposals submitted via FastLane: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation should be prepared and submitted in accordance
 with the general guidelines contained in the NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide (PAPPG). The complete text of the PAPPG is
 available electronically on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg. Paper copies of the PAPPG may be
 obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov. Proposers are reminded to identify
 this program solicitation number in the program solicitation block on the NSF Cover Sheet For Proposal to the National Science Foundation.
 Compliance with this requirement is critical to determining the relevant proposal processing guidelines. Failure to submit this information may delay
 processing.
- Full proposals submitted via Grants.gov: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation via Grants.gov should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the NSF Grants.gov Application Guide: A Guide for the Preparation and Submission of NSF Applications via Grants.gov. The complete text of the NSF Grants.gov Application Guide is available on the Grants.gov website and on the NSF website at: (https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=grantsgovguide). To obtain copies of the Application Guide and Application Forms Package, click on the Apply ab on the Grants.gov site, then click on the Apply Step 1: Download a Grant Application Package and Application Instructions link and enter the funding opportunity number, (the program solicitation number without the NSF prefix) and press the Download Package button. Paper copies of the Grants.gov Application Guide also may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.

See PAPPG Chapter II.C.2 for guidance on the required sections of a full research proposal submitted to NSF. Please note that the proposal preparation instructions provided in this program solicitation may deviate from the PAPPG instructions.

AMISR full proposals may be submitted by invitation only. Pls will be notified by email no later than May 22, 2017 if they are invited to submit a full proposal. Any deviations from the research team cited in the preliminary proposal, deviations from the scope of the preliminary proposal, or deviations from the preliminary proposal budget by more than 10% must be approved by NSF prior to full proposal submission.

All AMISR proposals must be submitted by a single institution (not as separately submitted "collaborative proposals"), with the lead PI as the project director. When multiple institutions are involved, budgets should be included for subawards to secondary institutions and collaborating personnel.

- Single copy documents Proposers must include the following as text searchable PDFs uploaded in Fastlane or Grants.gov as Single Copy
 Documents. Proposals lacking any of these documents will be returned without review. This list will be used by NSF to determine collaborators
 and other affiliations for the merit review process. The description of content of this document follows: Full proposals that do not provide the following
 information will be returned without review.
 - 1. Project Personnel List all Senior Personnel in the project. For each person, provide the last name, first name, and institution/organization. In the main body of the proposal, a corresponding biographical sketch should be provided for all individuals included on this list, as instructed in Section II.C.2.f of the PAPPG. This information should be uploaded in the Single Copy Document section of FastLane as "Additional Single Copy Documents."
 - 2. Collaborators and Other Affiliations Matrix Table (created as a spreadsheet and uploaded as a text-searchable PDF in the Single Copy Documents section of FastLane under "Collaborators and Other Affiliations") Each proposal must include a single table with the names of all individuals associated with the project, including international participants. The table must also include the individuals' collaborations and other affiliations. Collaborations and other affiliations. Collaborations and other affiliations to be identified include (1) Ph.D. thesis advisors or advisees; (2) collaborators or co-authors, including postdoctoral researchers, for the past 48 months; (3) co-editors of special journal volumes or conference proceedings within the past 24 months; (4) any other individuals with whom or institutions with which the senior personnel (PI, co-PIs, and any named personnel, including

on sub-awards) have financial ties, including advisory committees (please specify type); and (5) any other individual who, if asked to review the proposal, might have a potentially disqualifying affiliations as described in the PAPPG, NSF 17-1, Exhibit II-2

(https://www.nsf.gov/pubs/policydocs/pappg17_1/pappg_2.jsp#2ex2). Note that undergraduate students, graduate students, and postdoctoral researchers not yet specifically identified do not need to be included in this list. This table will serve as a specifically defined format for the "Collaborators and Other Affiliations Information" required by the PAPPG. For each participant known at the time of proposal submission, the COI matrix must include the information according to the following list, alphabetized by Column A and then Column C):

- · Column A: Last name and first name (in that order) for the PI, co-PI, Senior Personnel, or consultant (including sub-awardees) on project, or any individual or organization providing a letter of collaboration
- Column B: Institution of individual in column A
- Column C: Last name and first name (in that order) of the person with whom there is a collaboration or other affiliation for the person in column A
- Column D: Institution of individual in column C
- Column E: Type of affiliation
- 2. NSF Cover page The "Center/Research Infrastructure" type of proposal should be selected in the proposal preparation module in FastLane or Grants.gov. The title of the proposed project should begin with the string "AMISR"
- 3. Project Summary The first text of the Project Summary must be the NSF-generated PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL NUMBER (to be placed in the overview section text box). The Project Summary may be no more than 1 page in length. It should clearly summarize the major features of the project and explicitly address intellectual merits and broader impacts in the text boxes provided.
- 4. Project Description comprised of three separate sections with specific length conditions:
 - 1. Proposed Research (Maximum 50 pages) Per guidance in the PAPPG, the Project Description must contain, as a separate section within the narrative, a section labeled "Broader Impacts." You can decide where to include this section within the project description. The project description must also include the following:
 - A description of the proposed AMISR research by the AMISR user community and how it fits into the overall Geospace research theme. The proposal should plan to address possible studies on the coupling of dynamic processes across temporal and/or spatial scales for the magnetosphere-ionosphere-thermosphere system including an explanation of the scientific context and timeliness of the proposed AMISR science;
 - Describe and justify any potential plans for relocation of the PFISR facility;
 - Provide a complete list of all personnel and institutions involved in the AMISR O&M activity with explicit justification for all personnel;
 - A work plan and timeline to achieve the proposed AMISR scientific objectives;

 - A section describing any partnership and collaborative science activity;
 Plans for disseminating the results, including the sharing of data, models, infrastructure and other tools developed as part of the proposed research;
 - Plans for student mentoring, outreach, and broadening participation in addition to the broader impacts section described above.
 - 2. Management Plan (Maximum 10 pages) The management plan should describe how the proposed effort will be coordinated with the AMISR community users. The plan should also summarize how data, models, infrastructure developments and ideas will be disseminated and shared with the user community. A clear time line of expected outcomes should be included, as well as plans for the integration of research and education
 - 3. Results of prior support (Maximum 1 page per team member) At least one award for any researcher listed on the cover page on this project (PI, co-PI, collaborator, postdoc, etc.) who has received prior support from any NSF grant in the last five years must include results of prior support. If a collaborator has not had prior support, an explicit statement should be included to that effect in this section.
- 5. References Cited Publications in the references section that include any of the team collaborators should have an asterisk as the first character of the
- Biographical Sketches (Maximum 2 pages per person) For all key personnel, including senior personnel and other collaborators as necessary, please provide a brief biographical sketch. Up to five products most closely related to the proposal and up to five other significant products may be included, including those accepted for publication. Biographical Sketches must conform to the guidelines described in the PAPPG.
- 7. Current and Pending Support A full description of the total level of current and pending support from all sources for the senior personnel. It is important to identify the number of salary-months covered by each source and whether these are summer, academic or calendar months.
- 8. Facilities, Equipment and Other Resources A description of the facilities (including laboratories, meeting or office space, and computational facilities) that will be made available to the AMISR project. This section should also describe the contributions of unfunded collaborators and must conform to the guidelines of the PAPPG.
- 9. Supplementary Documents:
 - 1. Documentation of collaborative arrangements of significance to the proposal: Proposers should document with formal letters of collaboration any collaborative arrangements of significance in performing the proposed work. Letters of support are not permitted under this solicitation, and proposals containing such letters may be returned without review.
 - 2. Postdoctoral Research Mentoring Plan: Proposals that request funding for postdoctoral researchers must include a one-page mentoring plan in accordance with guidance in the PAPPG.
 - 3. A Data Management Plan, in accordance with guidance in the PAPPG.
 - 4. Transition Plan (Maximum 10 pages) Proposing organizations, other than the incumbent, may be funded for a transition period preceding the transfer of operating authority. In this section, provide a description for the transition of M&O from the incumbent, with specific attention to uninterrupted support for AMISR science. The transition plan should include a work plan, budget, and budget justification. Additional costs and plans necessary to move an AMISR facility to a new location should be described here.

B. Budgetary Information

Cost Sharing:

Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.

Other Budgetary Limitations:

Other budgetary limitations apply. Please see the full text of this solicitation for further information.

Budget Preparation Instructions:

Full proposals should include a budget on the budget form in FastLane or the R&R Budget Form in Grants.gov for each year of the five-year period proposed. The proposal should provide all staffing and budgeting information needed to describe how the organization would carry out the proposed activities. Requested budget amounts for each year of the proposal should reflect the level considered necessary to perform the NSF-funded activities described in the proposal. Proposers should be cognizant of budget constraints implied by the estimated funding levels provided under Sections II and III of this solicitation.

Proposing organizations may include a fee in their proposed budget for completion of the work effort under the award. The fee must be clearly identified as such in the budget justification. If submitting through Fastlane, fee is entered on line "G (6) Other", of the NSF budget form. Fee may not be burdened with indirect rate or any other costs. Fees will be evaluated for reasonableness by NSF using a structured approach as prescribed in Agency procedures.

NSF will provide guidelines for recipients that receive fee to encourage the utmost discretion and appropriate consideration in the use of fee, to include examples of inappropriate uses of fee (e.g., including but not limited to not using fee on alcoholic beverages or lobbying as set forth at 2 CFR § 200.450 and 48 CFR 31.205-22). NSF will reserve the authority to review a recipient's actual use of fee. Accordingly, recipients must separately track and account for uses of fee provided under NSF awards. The terms and conditions of the award will specify the fee arrangement including the basis for incremental fee payments. NSF will consider reductions in future fee if a recipient's actual use of fee is in contravention with the guidelines on inappropriate uses.

C. Due Dates

• Preliminary Proposal Due Date(s) (required) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

May 01, 2017

Deadline for submission

• Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. submitter's local time):

October 03, 2017

Deadline for submission

D. FastLane/Grants.gov Requirements

For Proposals Submitted Via FastLane:

To prepare and submit a proposal via FastLane, see detailed technical instructions available at: https://www.fastlane.nsf.gov/a1/newstan.htm. For FastLane user support, call the FastLane Help Desk at 1-800-673-6188 or e-mail fastlane@nsf.gov. The FastLane Help Desk answers general technical questions related to the use of the FastLane system. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this funding opportunity.

For Proposals Submitted Via Grants.gov:

Before using Grants.gov for the first time, each organization must register to create an institutional profile. Once registered, the applicant's organization can then apply for any federal grant on the Grants.gov website. Comprehensive information about using Grants.gov is available on the Grants.gov Applicant Resources webpage: http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants.html. In addition, the NSF Grants.gov Application Guide (see link in Section V.A) provides instructions regarding the technical preparation of proposals via Grants.gov. For Grants.gov user support, contact the Grants.gov Contact Center at 1-800-518-4726 or by email: support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Contact Center answers general technical questions related to the use of Grants.gov. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this solicitation.

Submitting the Proposal: Once all documents have been completed, the Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) must submit the application to Grants.gov and verify the desired funding opportunity and agency to which the application is submitted. The AOR must then sign and submit the application to Grants.gov. The completed application will be transferred to the NSF FastLane system for further processing.

Proposers that submitted via FastLane are strongly encouraged to use FastLane to verify the status of their submission to NSF. For proposers that submitted via Grants.gov, until an application has been received and validated by NSF, the Authorized Organizational Representative may check the status of an application on Grants.gov. After proposers have received an e-mail notification from NSF, Research.gov should be used to check the status of an application.

VI. NSF PROPOSAL PROCESSING AND REVIEW PROCEDURES

Proposals received by NSF are assigned to the appropriate NSF program for acknowledgement and, if they meet NSF requirements, for review. All proposals are carefully reviewed by a scientist, engineer, or educator serving as an NSF Program Officer, and usually by three to ten other persons outside NSF either as ad hoc reviewers, panelists, or both, who are experts in the particular fields represented by the proposal. These reviewers are selected by Program Officers charged with oversight of the review process. Proposers are invited to suggest names of persons they believe are especially well qualified to review the proposal and/or persons they would prefer not review the proposal. These suggestions may serve as one source in the reviewer selection process at the Program Officer's discretion. Submission of such names, however, is optional. Care is taken to ensure that reviewers have no conflicts of interest with the proposal. In addition, Program Officers may obtain comments from site visits before recommending final action on proposals. Senior NSF staff further review recommendations for awards. A flowchart that depicts the entire NSF proposal and award process (and associated timeline) is included in PAPPG Exhibit III-1.

A comprehensive description of the Foundation's merit review process is available on the NSF website at: https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/merit_review/.

Proposers should also be aware of core strategies that are essential to the fulfillment of NSF's mission, as articulated in *Investing in Science, Engineering, and Education for the Nation's Future: NSF Strategic Plan for 2014-2018.* These strategies are integrated in the program planning and implementation process, of

which proposal review is one part. NSF's mission is particularly well-implemented through the integration of research and education and broadening participation in NSF programs, projects, and activities.

One of the strategic objectives in support of NSF's mission is to foster integration of research and education through the programs, projects, and activities it supports at academic and research institutions. These institutions must recruit, train, and prepare a diverse STEM workforce to advance the frontiers of science and participate in the U.S. technology-based economy. NSF's contribution to the national innovation ecosystem is to provide cutting-edge research under the guidance of the Nation's most creative scientists and engineers. NSF also supports development of a strong science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) workforce by investing in building the knowledge that informs improvements in STEM teaching and learning.

NSF's mission calls for the broadening of opportunities and expanding participation of groups, institutions, and geographic regions that are underrepresented in STEM disciplines, which is essential to the health and vitality of science and engineering. NSF is committed to this principle of diversity and deems it central to the programs, projects, and activities it considers and supports.

A. Merit Review Principles and Criteria

The National Science Foundation strives to invest in a robust and diverse portfolio of projects that creates new knowledge and enables breakthroughs in understanding across all areas of science and engineering research and education. To identify which projects to support, NSF relies on a merit review process that incorporates consideration of both the technical aspects of a proposed project and its potential to contribute more broadly to advancing NSF's mission "to promote the progress of science; to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare; to secure the national defense; and for other purposes." NSF makes every effort to conduct a fair, competitive, transparent merit review process for the selection of projects.

1. Merit Review Principles

These principles are to be given due diligence by Pls and organizations when preparing proposals and managing projects, by reviewers when reading and evaluating proposals, and by NSF program staff when determining whether or not to recommend proposals for funding and while overseeing awards. Given that NSF is the primary federal agency charged with nurturing and supporting excellence in basic research and education, the following three principles apply:

- All NSF projects should be of the highest quality and have the potential to advance, if not transform, the frontiers of knowledge.
- NSF projects, in the aggregate, should contribute more broadly to achieving societal goals. These "Broader Impacts" may be accomplished through the
 research itself, through activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are
 complementary to, the project. The project activities may be based on previously established and/or innovative methods and approaches, but in either
 case must be well justified.
- Meaningful assessment and evaluation of NSF funded projects should be based on appropriate metrics, keeping in mind the likely correlation between
 the effect of broader impacts and the resources provided to implement projects. If the size of the activity is limited, evaluation of that activity in isolation
 is not likely to be meaningful. Thus, assessing the effectiveness of these activities may best be done at a higher, more aggregated, level than the
 individual project.

With respect to the third principle, even if assessment of Broader Impacts outcomes for particular projects is done at an aggregated level, PIs are expected to be accountable for carrying out the activities described in the funded project. Thus, individual projects should include clearly stated goals, specific descriptions of the activities that the PI intends to do, and a plan in place to document the outputs of those activities.

These three merit review principles provide the basis for the merit review criteria, as well as a context within which the users of the criteria can better understand their intent

2. Merit Review Criteria

All NSF proposals are evaluated through use of the two National Science Board approved merit review criteria. In some instances, however, NSF will employ additional criteria as required to highlight the specific objectives of certain programs and activities.

The two merit review criteria are listed below. **Both** criteria are to be given **full consideration** during the review and decision-making processes; each criterion is necessary but neither, by itself, is sufficient. Therefore, proposers must fully address both criteria. (PAPPG Chapter II.C.2.d(i). contains additional information for use by proposers in development of the Project Description section of the proposal). Reviewers are strongly encouraged to review the criteria, including PAPPG Chapter II.C.2.d(i), prior to the review of a proposal.

When evaluating NSF proposals, reviewers will be asked to consider what the proposers want to do, why they want to do it, how they plan to do it, how they will know if they succeed, and what benefits could accrue if the project is successful. These issues apply both to the technical aspects of the proposal and the way in which the project may make broader contributions. To that end, reviewers will be asked to evaluate all proposals against two criteria:

- Intellectual Merit: The Intellectual Merit criterion encompasses the potential to advance knowledge; and
- Broader Impacts: The Broader Impacts criterion encompasses the potential to benefit society and contribute to the achievement of specific, desired societal outcomes.

The following elements should be considered in the review for both criteria:

- 1. What is the potential for the proposed activity to
 - a. Advance knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields (Intellectual Merit); and
 - b. Benefit society or advance desired societal outcomes (Broader Impacts)?
- 2. To what extent do the proposed activities suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts?
- 3. Is the plan for carrying out the proposed activities well-reasoned, well-organized, and based on a sound rationale? Does the plan incorporate a mechanism to assess success?
- 4. How well qualified is the individual, team, or organization to conduct the proposed activities?
- 5. Are there adequate resources available to the PI (either at the home organization or through collaborations) to carry out the proposed activities?

Broader impacts may be accomplished through the research itself, through the activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complementary to, the project. NSF values the advancement of scientific knowledge and activities that contribute to achievement of societally relevant outcomes. Such outcomes include, but are not limited to: full participation of women, persons with disabilities, and underrepresented minorities in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM); improved STEM education and educator development at any level;

increased public scientific literacy and public engagement with science and technology; improved well-being of individuals in society; development of a diverse, globally competitive STEM workforce; increased partnerships between academia, industry, and others; improved national security; increased economic competitiveness of the United States; and enhanced infrastructure for research and education.

Proposers are reminded that reviewers will also be asked to review the Data Management Plan and the Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan, as appropriate.

Additional Solicitation Specific Review Criteria

In addition to the National Science Board approved merit review criteria, reviewers will be asked to apply several specific criteria when reviewing the AMISR proposal. Specifically, the reviewers will be asked to review the extent to which the proposed activities address the Awardee Responsibility and Expectations criteria. Specific attention will be paid to the following items:

- Scientific merit objectives specific to the AMISR capabilities for either the auroral zone or central polar cap regions:
 - The extent to which the proposed AMISR research effort for each site is focused on a cohesive, well-delineated goal or set of scientific goals for the AMISR user community;
 - The degree to which the proposed scientific programs, priorities, and technical capabilities address the needs of the AMISR user community
 while taking advantage of the unique research capabilities of the AMISR observatories within the auroral and central polar cap regions,
 respectively;
 - The ability to respond to and prioritize evolving scientific and engineering needs and opportunities as expressed by the AMISR user community.
- Quality and appropriateness of the Management Plan. This includes:
 - The degree to which the proposal presents a management plan with a highly qualified project director that includes engaging with the AMISR user community to specify possible observing strategies for user-defined experiments;
 - The quality of the proposal plans for dissemination and sharing of data, models, tools and ideas;
 - The extent to which the proposed management plan provides for the AMISR user community assessment of performance and evaluation of the AMISR facility service to the user community.
- The extent to which the proposed AMISR broader impact activities are creative and effective:
 - The appropriateness of the proposed modes of collaboration, training, and outreach to members of the AMISR user community.

B. Review and Selection Process

Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation will be reviewed by Ad hoc Review and/or Panel Review, or Site Visit Review

The two-step process of the AMISR proposal evaluation would begin with the submission of a preliminary proposal that is evaluated with a panel review. The PIs of the highly-rated preliminary proposals would then be invited in mid-May 2017 to submit full proposals with a deadline for this submission being 3 October, 2017. Upon receipt of the full proposals, an ad hoc mail review would take place followed by a panel review held at NSF that would take place prior to late-November, 2017. A final decision is expected in December, 2017. The reason for having a preliminary proposal phase of this process is to allow NSF to screen the preliminary proposal submissions to select the highly-ranked preliminary proposals for the more intensive full proposal effort expected for a successful facility proposal.

Reviewers will be asked to evaluate proposals using two National Science Board approved merit review criteria and, if applicable, additional program specific criteria. A summary rating and accompanying narrative will generally be completed and submitted by each reviewer and/or panel. The Program Officer assigned to manage the proposal's review will consider the advice of reviewers and will formulate a recommendation.

After scientific, technical and programmatic review and consideration of appropriate factors, the NSF Program Officer recommends to the cognizant Division Director whether the proposal should be declined or recommended for award. NSF strives to be able to tell applicants whether their proposals have been declined or recommended for funding within six months. Large or particularly complex proposals or proposals from new awardees may require additional review and processing time. The time interval begins on the deadline or target date, or receipt date, whichever is later. The interval ends when the Division Director acts upon the Program Officer's recommendation.

After programmatic approval has been obtained, the proposals recommended for funding will be forwarded to the Division of Acquisition and Cooperative Support for review of business, financial, and policy implications. After an administrative review has occurred, Grants and Agreements Officers perform the processing and issuance of a grant or other agreement. Proposers are cautioned that only a Grants and Agreements Officer may make commitments, obligations or awards on behalf of NSF or authorize the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of NSF should be inferred from technical or budgetary discussions with a NSF Program Officer. A Principal Investigator or organization that makes financial or personnel commitments in the absence of a grant or cooperative agreement signed by the NSF Grants and Agreements Officer does so at their own risk.

Once an award or declination decision has been made, Principal Investigators are provided feedback about their proposals. In all cases, reviews are treated as confidential documents. Verbatim copies of reviews, excluding the names of the reviewers or any reviewer-identifying information, are sent to the Principal Investigator/Project Director by the Program Officer. In addition, the proposer will receive an explanation of the decision to award or decline funding.

VII. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Notification of the Award

Notification of the award is made to the submitting organization by a Grants and Agreements Officer in the Division of Acquisition and Cooperative Support. Organizations whose proposals are declined will be advised as promptly as possible by the cognizant NSF Program administering the program. Verbatim copies

of reviews, not including the identity of the reviewer, will be provided automatically to the Principal Investigator. (See Section VI.B. for additional information on the review process.)

B. Award Conditions

An NSF award consists of: (1) the award notice, which includes any special provisions applicable to the award and any numbered amendments thereto; (2) the budget, which indicates the amounts, by categories of expense, on which NSF has based its support (or otherwise communicates any specific approvals or disapprovals of proposed expenditures); (3) the proposal referenced in the award notice; (4) the applicable award conditions, such as Grant General Conditions (GC-1)*; or Research Terms and Conditions* and (5) any announcement or other NSF issuance that may be incorporated by reference in the award notice. Cooperative agreements also are administered in accordance with NSF Cooperative Agreement Financial and Administrative Terms and Conditions (CA-FATC) and the applicable Programmatic Terms and Conditions. NSF awards are electronically signed by an NSF Grants and Agreements Officer and transmitted electronically to the organization via e-mail.

*These documents may be accessed electronically on NSF's Website at https://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/award_conditions.jsp?org=NSF. Paper copies may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.

More comprehensive information on NSF Award Conditions and other important information on the administration of NSF awards is contained in the NSF *Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* (PAPPG) Chapter VII, available electronically on the NSF Website at https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.

Special Award Conditions:

Additional award conditions apply.

The award associated with this solicitation will be a cooperative agreement with the lead institution. Associated collaborators would be supported through subawards. Any special requirements not stated herein will be negotiated at time of award.

Programmatic Terms and Conditions:

The cooperative agreement(s) awarded as a result of this competition will be administered by the NSF Division of Atmospheric and Geospace Sciences. The following are some of the measures NSF envisions using to conduct oversight for any award of a cooperative agreement:

- Review of annual reports, program plans and performance metrics;
- · Site visits as necessary;
- Annual estimated cost reviews;
- Possible Panel Review of AMISR activities and management performance in the third or fourth year of the initial award period.

C. Reporting Requirements

For all multi-year grants (including both standard and continuing grants), the Principal Investigator must submit an annual project report to the cognizant Program Officer no later than 90 days prior to the end of the current budget period. (Some programs or awards require submission of more frequent project reports). No later than 120 days following expiration of a grant, the PI also is required to submit a final project report, and a project outcomes report for the general public.

Failure to provide the required annual or final project reports, or the project outcomes report, will delay NSF review and processing of any future funding increments as well as any pending proposals for all identified PIs and co-PIs on a given award. PIs should examine the formats of the required reports in advance to assure availability of required data.

Pls are required to use NSF's electronic project-reporting system, available through Research.gov, for preparation and submission of annual and final project reports. Such reports provide information on accomplishments, project participants (individual and organizational), publications, and other specific products and impacts of the project. Submission of the report via Research.gov constitutes certification by the PI that the contents of the report are accurate and complete. The project outcomes report also must be prepared and submitted using Research.gov. This report serves as a brief summary, prepared specifically for the public, of the nature and outcomes of the project. This report will be posted on the NSF website exactly as it is submitted by the PI.

More comprehensive information on NSF Reporting Requirements and other important information on the administration of NSF awards is contained in the *NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide* (PAPPG) Chapter VII, available electronically on the NSF Website at https://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=pappg.

VIII. AGENCY CONTACTS

Please note that the program contact information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

General inquiries regarding this program should be made to:

John W. Meriwether, Program Director, Geospace Facilities, telephone: (703) 292-8529, email: jmeriwet@nsf.gov

For questions related to the use of FastLane, contact:

• FastLane Help Desk, telephone: 1-800-673-6188; e-mail: fastlane@nsf.gov.

For questions relating to Grants.gov contact:

• Grants.gov Contact Center: If the Authorized Organizational Representatives (AOR) has not received a confirmation message from Grants.gov within 48 hours of submission of application, please contact via telephone: 1-800-518-4726; e-mail: support@grants.gov.

IX. OTHER INFORMATION

The NSF website provides the most comprehensive source of information on NSF Directorates (including contact information), programs and funding opportunities. Use of this website by potential proposers is strongly encouraged. In addition, "NSF Update" is an information-delivery system designed to keep potential proposers and other interested parties apprised of new NSF funding opportunities and publications, important changes in proposal and award policies and procedures, and upcoming NSF Grants Conferences. Subscribers are informed through e-mail or the user's Web browser each time new publications are issued that match their identified interests. "NSF Update" also is available on NSF's website.

Grants.gov provides an additional electronic capability to search for Federal government-wide grant opportunities. NSF funding opportunities may be accessed via this mechanism. Further information on Grants.gov may be obtained at http://www.grants.gov.

ABOUT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

The National Science Foundation (NSF) is an independent Federal agency created by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 USC 1861-75). The Act states the purpose of the NSF is "to promote the progress of science; [and] to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare by supporting research and education in all fields of science and engineering."

NSF funds research and education in most fields of science and engineering. It does this through grants and cooperative agreements to more than 2,000 colleges, universities, K-12 school systems, businesses, informal science organizations and other research organizations throughout the US. The Foundation accounts for about one-fourth of Federal support to academic institutions for basic research.

NSF receives approximately 55,000 proposals each year for research, education and training projects, of which approximately 11,000 are funded. In addition, the Foundation receives several thousand applications for graduate and postdoctoral fellowships. The agency operates no laboratories itself but does support National Research Centers, user facilities, certain oceanographic vessels and Arctic and Antarctic research stations. The Foundation also supports cooperative research between universities and industry, US participation in international scientific and engineering efforts, and educational activities at every academic level.

Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities (FASED) provide funding for special assistance or equipment to enable persons with disabilities to work on NSF-supported projects. See the NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide Chapter II.E.6 for instructions regarding preparation of these types of proposals.

The National Science Foundation has Telephonic Device for the Deaf (TDD) and Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) capabilities that enable individuals with hearing impairments to communicate with the Foundation about NSF programs, employment or general information. TDD may be accessed at (703) 292-5090 and (800) 281-8749, FIRS at (800) 877-8339.

The National Science Foundation Information Center may be reached at (703) 292-5111.

The National Science Foundation promotes and advances scientific progress in the United States by competitively awarding grants and cooperative agreements for research and education in the sciences, mathematics, and engineering.

To get the latest information about program deadlines, to download copies of NSF publications, and to access abstracts of awards, visit the NSF Website at https://www.nsf.gov

• Location: 4201 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22230

• For General Information (703) 292-5111 (NSF Information Center):

• TDD (for the hearing-impaired): (703) 292-5090

• To Order Publications or Forms:

Send an e-mail to: nsfpubs@nsf.gov

or telephone: (703) 292-7827

• To Locate NSF Employees: (703) 292-5111

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENTS

The information requested on proposal forms and project reports is solicited under the authority of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended. The information on proposal forms will be used in connection with the selection of qualified proposals; and project reports submitted by awardees will be used for program evaluation and reporting within the Executive Branch and to Congress. The information requested may be disclosed to qualified reviewers and staff assistants as part of the proposal review process; to proposer institutions/grantees to provide or obtain data regarding the proposal review process, award decisions, or the administration of awards; to government contractors, experts, volunteers and researchers and educators as necessary to complete assigned work; to other government agencies or other entities needing information regarding applicants or nominees as part of a joint application review process, or in order to coordinate programs or policy; and to another Federal agency, court, or party in a court or Federal administrative proceeding if the government is a party. Information about Principal Investigators may be added to the Reviewer file and used to select potential candidates to serve as peer reviewers or advisory committee members. See Systems of Records, NSF-50, "Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records," 69 Federal Register 26410 (May 12, 2004). Submission of the information is voluntary. Failure to provide full and complete information, however, may reduce the possibility of receiving an award.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 3145-0058. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 120 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions. Send comments regarding the burden estimate and any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Suzanne H. Plimpton Reports Clearance Officer Office of the General Counsel National Science Foundation Arlington, VA 22230

Policies and Important Links | Privacy | FOIA | Help | Contact NSF | Contact Web Master | SiteMap

The National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230, USA
Tel: (703) 292-5111, FIRS: (800) 877-8339 | TDD: (800) 281-8749

14