



Table 1.
National Science Foundation
Conference Costs Report -- FY 2012

#	Conference Name / Description	Directorate, Office/Division	Start Date	End Date	Number of Participants		Number of Participants Whose Travel / Conference Costs were Paid by NSF		Location: City, State / Venue	Final NSF Net Conference Expenses
					Staff	Other	Staff	Other		
1	Integrative Graduate Education Research Traineeship (IGERT) Principal Investigator Meeting	Directorate for Education & Human Resources / Division of Graduate Education	05/31/2012	06/02/2012	17	356	0	356	Washington, DC / Capital Hilton	\$115,855
		Justification for how conference advanced NSF Mission:		The purpose of the meeting was to enable IGERT Principal and Co-Principal Investigators and project trainees to identify interdisciplinary research and education strategies. Additionally, this meeting facilitated attendee discussion of how collaborative/integrative Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) research contributes to students' preparation and ability to solve large and complex research problems of significant scientific and societal importance at the national and international levels.						
2	Chemical, Bioengineering, Environmental, and Transport Systems (CBET) Grantee Conference	Directorate for Engineering/Chemical, Bioengineering, Environmental, and Transport Systems Division	06/06/2012	06/08/2012	19	337	19	0	Baltimore, MD Baltimore Convention Center	\$274,838
		Justification for how conference advanced NSF Mission:		The goal of the NSF CBET Grantee Conference was to provide the opportunity for building more effective and collaborative relationships amongst the community of CBET grantees and Program Directors. The conference was designed to enable awardees to share their technical achievements with NSF Program Directors and also to learn about current and/or new NSF/CBET programs, practices, and supplemental funding mechanisms. The Conference also facilitated discussions amongst smaller communities to address topics of specific interest. The conference agenda provided multiple outlets for networking and interaction with program officers and other grantees in efforts to promote discussions and potential collaborations.						
3	Human Resource Development (HRD) Joint Annual Principal Investigator Meeting	Directorate for Education & Human Resources (EHR) / Division of Human Resource Development	06/12/2012	06/15/2012	19	845	19	845	Washington, DC / Washington Hilton	\$536,628
		Justification for how conference advanced NSF Mission:		The purpose of this meeting was to disseminate information about NSF, its activities, procedures and policies, and awards; to train Principal Investigators and award project staff; to support collaboration among researchers, educators, and administrators; to leverage resources across projects, and to further advance NSF's EHR and HRD missions.						



**National Science Foundation
Conference Costs Report -- FY 2012**

#	Conference Name / Description	Directorate, Office/Division	Start Date	End Date	Number of Participants		Number of Participants Whose Travel / Conference Costs were Paid by NSF		Location: City, State / Venue	Final NSF Net Conference Expenses
					Staff	Other	Staff	Other		
4	Global Environment for Networking Innovations (GENI) Engineering Conference 14	Directorate for Computer & Information Science & Engineering / Division of Computer and Network Systems	07/09/2012	07/11/2012	2	257	2	0	Boston, MA / Westin	\$147,775
		Justification for how conference advanced NSF Mission:			GENI is a virtual laboratory funded by NSF for exploring future internets at scale. The development of GENI creates major opportunities to understand, innovate and transform global networks and their interactions with society. Dynamic and adaptive, GENI opens up new areas of research at the frontiers of network science and engineering, and increases the opportunity for significant socio-economic impact. The purpose of GENI Engineering Conferences (GEC) is to provide opportunities for the GENI research and development communities to showcase prototypes for future internets, to review the status of GENI architecture and components, to decide on subsequent steps in its evolution, to learn how to use GENI, receive help in setting up experiments, and help plan for GENI's expansion across dozens more US campuses.					
5	NSF Civil, Mechanical & Manufacturing Innovation (CMMI) Engineering Research and Information Conference	Directorate for Engineering, Division of Civil, Mechanical, and Manufacturing Innovation	07/09/2012	07/12/2012	22	1,317	22	20	Boston, Massachusetts / Hynes Convention Center	\$515,732
		Justification for how conference advanced NSF Mission:			This conference is the primary means of grant oversight for the Division and it provides the Division with documentation on research progress to date. It also provides a venue to disseminate research findings and information about NSF, and to support interdisciplinary collaboration between researchers. It also provided a venue for selected Committee of Visitors members to get an overview of the Division's activities in preparation for their oversight function.					
6	Transforming Undergraduate Education in Science Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (TUES), Type 1 Panel Meeting	Directorate for Education & Human Resources / Division of Undergraduate Education	07/19/2012	07/20/2012	45	324	0	324	Arlington, VA / DoubleTree Hotel	\$709,046
		Justification for how conference advanced NSF Mission:			NSF review panels are used for external merit review evaluation of proposals. These panels play a central and essential role in the proposal review process and provide expert and unbiased input for award and declination recommendations. The panel reviewed 955 proposals.					
7	NSF Scholarships in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (S-STEM) Panel Meeting	Directorate for Education & Human Resources / Division of Undergraduate Education	09/20/2012	09/21/2012	25	165	0	165	Arlington, VA / DoubleTree Hotel	\$348,955
		Justification for how conference advanced NSF Mission:			NSF review panels are used for external merit review evaluation of proposals. These panels play a central and essential role in the proposal review process and provide expert and unbiased input for award and declination recommendations. The panel reviewed 435 proposals.					
Total					149	3,601	62	1,710	-	\$2,648,829